

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2917/2026

1. Mumtaj D/o Raisadeen, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Anadasar, Bap, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Raup Khan S/o Kamar Deen, Aged About 26 Years, R/o 89, Degawadi, Siwandi, Jamba, Phalodi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan - 342301.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary,home Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Director General Of Police, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Superintendent Of Police, Jodhpur Rural.
4. Superintendent Of Police, Phalodi, Rajasthan.
5. Station House Officer, Police Station Bap, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
6. Station House Officer, Police Station Jamba,district Phalodi, Rajasthan.
7. Raisdeen S/o Hussain Khan, R/o Andasar, Baap,.district Jodhpur.
8. Iqbal Khan S/o Hanif Khan, R/o Andasar, Baap,. District Jodhpur.
9. Firoz Khan S/o Hanif Khan, R/o Andasar, Baap,district Jodhpur.
10. Mohammad Sharif S/o Hanif Khan, R/o Andasar,baap, District Jodhpur.
11. Abdula Unus Umar Akram Salim S/o Unknown, R/oandasar, Bap, District Jodhpur.
12. Mumtaj Barkat S/o Unknown, R/o Andasar,baap, District Jodhpur.
13. Hasam Sikandar S/o Unknown, R/o Andasar,baap, District Jodhpur.
14. Abu Bakra S/o Unknown, R/o Andasar, Baap,district Jodhpur.
15. Majur Maksud S/o Unknown, R/o Andasar,baap, District Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sumit Rajpurohit
For Respondent(s) : Mr. V.S. Rajpurohit, PP

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

25/06/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and

when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU), VJ

320-Arjun/-