




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8608/2026
CNR: RJHC010605262026 | URN: CRLMB / 18634U / 2026

Tulcha Ram S/o Shri Ummeda Ram, Aged About 71 Years, R/o Godaro Ki Dhani, Dewatra, P.s. Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur (Raj).(Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody,since 08.06.2026 At Sub-Jail Pichyak, Bilara, Jodhpur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8214/2026
CNR: RJHC010594592026 | URN: CRLMB / 17809U / 2026

Prakash Ram S/o Bhera Ram, Aged About 24 Years, Resident O Fdevasiyo Ki Dhani, Devatra, Bhopalgarh District Jodhpur.(At Present Lodged In Central Jail, Jodhpur.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kuldeep Purohit Mr. Akshaya Shukla Mr. Dev Kishan Mr. Jagmal Mr. Sravan Sainee
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Sonu Manawat,PP Ms. Aashu Devi

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT

Order

27/07/2026

1. The instant bail applications have been filed by the applicants **Tulcha Ram S/o Ummeda Ram and Prakash Ram S/o Bhera Ram** under Section 483 BNSS against the order impugned passed by learned court below in connection with **FIR No.17/2026** registered at **Police Station Bhopalgarh District Jodhpur** for



the offence(s) under **Sections 189(2), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109 & 125/190 of BNS.**

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have been falsely implicated in the case. Learned counsel submits that the main allegation is against Jitendra, from whose possession the alleged weapon (*lagiya*) was recovered. Learned counsel submits that the FIR does not specifically attribute the injuries to any particular accused. He further submits that applicants are behind the bars and trial may take long time to conclude thus, they deserve to be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant opposed the bail applications.

4. Considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties. The main allegation is against Jitendra, from whose possession the *lagiya* was recovered. No recovery has been made from the possession of any other accused. Looking to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits and demerits of the case, this court deems it just and proper to enlarge the applicants on bail.

5. Accordingly, the bail applications under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-applicants shall be enlarged on bail in the aforesaid FIR provided they furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Lower Court for their appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J