

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2900/2026

1. Manisha Bodalya D/o Sarwan Lal Bodalya, Aged About 18 Years, Wife Of Pradhan, Resident Of Prathvipura Khandel, District Jaipur, Rajasthan. (At Present Ratanada, Jodhpur.)
2. Pradhan S/o Vishram, Aged About 22 Years, Resident Of Village Katsura, Post Madanganj, Kishangarh, District Ajmer, Rajasthan. (At Present Ratanada, Jodhpur.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Pp
2. Superintendent Of Police, District Jaipur
3. The Sho, Police Station Phulera
4. Sarwan Lal Bodalya S/o Bhura Ram, Prathvipura, Khandel, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Ramkishan Choudhary S/o Ram Lal, Prathvipura, Khandel, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
6. Bajrang S/o Kaluram, Prathvipura, Khandel, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
7. Mahendra Surana S/o Gopal Lal Surana, Resident Of Ugras, Dhani Boraj, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
8. Dileep Bateshwar S/o Unknown, Pratapura, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
9. Mukesh Jakhar S/o Unknown, Resident Of Jobner, District Jaipur, Rajasthan
10. Gajju Sunda S/o Unknown, Resident Of Jobner, District Jaipur, Rajasthan
11. Rajaram Gohra S/o Unknown, Resident Of Jaipur.
12. Sethi S/o Unknown, Resident Of Jobner, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Vijesh

For Respondent(s) : Mr. SS Rathore, PP

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

25/06/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to have performed a love marriage. They submit that the marriage was performed against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities, with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and the marriage ceremony performed between them have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.** reported in **AIR 2006 SC 2522**, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who have performed a love marriage.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),VJ