

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8510/2026
CNR: RJHC010605102026 | URN: CRLMB / 18473U / 2026

Bhavik Vaishnav S/o Shri Dhaneshwar Vaishnav, Aged About 21
Years, R/o Saliya. Police Station Gharhi, District Banswara Raj.
(Lodged In District Jail,banswara)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jubin Mehta
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

24/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	30/2025
2.	Date of lodging FIR	08.02.2025
3.	Concerned Police Station	Rajtalab
4.	District	Banswara
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Section 55 of BNS.
6.	Offences added, if any	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against him. He further submits that as a matter of fact, the petitioner has been arrested merely on apprehension that

he along with other co-accused persons, was planning to commit a crime, however, no such crime has actually been committed. The present FIR is in continuation of the earlier FIR No.262/2024, wherein the co-accused though arrested, but were subsequently enlarged on bail. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 07.05.2026 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the challan papers so also considering the facts that the present FIR was lodged along with another FIR No.262/2024; there is no allegation regarding the actual commission of offence; the petitioner has been arrested merely on apprehension that he along with the other co-accused was planning to commit some crime; and that the prosecution has not expressed any apprehension qua the petitioner fleeing away from justice, in case he is enlarged on bail, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner- **Bhavik Vaishnav S/o Shri Dhaneshwar Vaishnav**, shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided he executes personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J