

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2898/2026

1. Payal D/o Saturam, Aged About 20 Years, W/o Dinesh Adiwal, R/o Harijano Ka Mohalla, Kushalpur, District Pali, Rajasthan.
2. Dinesh Adiwal S/o Pappu Lal, Aged About 28 Years, R/o 246, Indra Colony, Rajawas Malsa Baori, District Pali, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, Jaipur.
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.
3. Station House Officer, Police Station Raipur, District Pali.
4. Raju Ram S/o Jawarilal, R/o Padasala Kalla, Tehsil And District Pali.
5. Deepak S/o Kishore Ji, R/o Kushalpur, District Pali.
6. Bharat S/o Ghisha Ram, R/o Aauwa, Tehsil Marwar Junction, District Pali
7. Sunil S/o Pappu Ram, R/o Kushalpur, District Pali.
8. Aajad S/o Pappuram, R/o Harijano Ka Mohalla, Kushalpur, District Pali, Rajasthan.
9. Ramesh S/o Pappuram, R/o Guda Sursingh, Tehsil Marwar Junction, District Pali.
10. Indra Devi W/o Ramesh, R/o Guda Sursingh, Tehsil Marwar Junction, District Pali.
11. Harish S/o Ramesh, R/o Guda Sursingh, Tehsil Marwar Junction, District Pali.
12. Kalu Ram S/o Ramesh, R/o Guda Sursingh, Tehsil Marwar Junction, District Pali.
13. Prakash S/o Ramesh, R/o Guda Sursingh, Tehsil Marwar Junction, District Pali.
14. Durga Ram S/o Kishore, R/o Harijano Ka Mohalla, Kushalpur, District Pali, Rajasthan.
15. Kishan S/o Santosh, R/o V/o Udwarda, Sanchoe, District Pali.

16. Sunil S/o Sohan Ji, R/o Marwar Junction, District Pali.
17. Arun S/o Sunil, R/o Marwar Junction, District Pali.
18. Laxman S/o Giri Dayawar, R/o Marwar Junction, District Pali.
19. Saturam S/o Sesha Ram, R/o Harijano Ka Mohalla, Kushalpura, District Pali, Rajasthan.
20. Santosh W/o Saturam, R/o Harijano Ka Mohalla, Kushalpura, District Pali, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sampat Prajapat
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. SS Rathore, PP

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

25/06/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to have performed a love marriage. They submit that the marriage was performed against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities, with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and the marriage ceremony performed between them have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who have performed a love marriage.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),VJ