

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2887/2026

1. Priyanka W/o Manish Saran, Aged About 24 Years, D/o Shri Ratna Ram, R/o 112, Dudiyo Ki Dhani, Shivnath Nagar, Rajlani, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Manish Saran S/o Madha Ram, Aged About 21 Years, Maliyo Ki Dhani, Kuri, Po Kudi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Jaipur Raj.
2. Director General Of Police, Govt. Of Rajasthan Police Head Quarter, Jaipur.
3. Commissioner Of Police, Jodhpur, Rajasthan
4. The Superintendent Of Police, Jodhpur Rural, Rajasthan
5. The Station House Officer, Police Station Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
6. The Station House Officer, Police Station Asop, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
7. Ratnaram S/o Bhiyaram, Village Rajlani, Tehsil Bhopalgarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
8. Ratnaram S/o Shri Bhiyaram Dudi, Dudiyo Ki Dhani, Shivnath Nagar, Rajlani, Tehsil Bhopalgarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan
9. Naresh S/o Sukhram Dudi, Dudiyo Ki Dhani, Shivnath Nagar, Rajlani, Tehsil Bhopalgarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan
10. Sumit S/o Ratnaram, Dudiyo Ki Dhani, Shivnath Nagar, Rajlani, Tehsil Bhopalgarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
11. Ramlal S/o Sukharam, Dudiyo Ki Dhani, Shivnath Nagar Rajlani, Tehsil Bhopalgarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
12. Sukharam S/o Bhiyaram, Dudiyo Ki Dhani, Shivnath Nagar, Rajlani, Tehsil Bhopalgarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
13. Sugnaram S/o Dinaram, Dudiyo Ki Dhani, Shivnath Nagar, Rajlani, Tehsil Bhopalgarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan
14. Babulal Tanda S/o Don T Know, Tada Ki Dhani, Harsolav, Gotan, Nagaur, Rajasthan.
15. Rameshwar Nardhaniya, Harsolav, Gotan, Nagaur,

Rajasthan.

16. Bhanuprakash Kadvasra S/o Not Known, Padampura, Harsolav, Gotan, Nagaur, Rajasthan.
17. Susil Tada S/o Not Known, Harsolav, Gotan, Nagaur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Mrinal Khatri
For Respondent(s) : Mr. S.S. Rathore, PP

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

23/06/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to have performed a love marriage. They submit that the marriage was performed against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities, with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and the marriage ceremony performed between them have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the

petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who have performed a love marriage.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU), VJ