

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2889/2026

- 1. Darshna Devi D/o Shri Ram Swaroop, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Vpo 3 Y, District Sri Ganganagar Raj.
- 2. Gourav S/o Nathuram, Aged About 27 Years, Resident Of Village Haripura, District Fazilka Punjab.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Home, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur Raj.
- 2. Inspector General Of Police, Bikaner Range Bikaner
- 3. The Superintendent Of Police, Sriganganagar
- 4. The Station House Officer, Police Station Purani Abadi District Sriganganagar
- 5. Ramswaroop S/o Sh Lakhram, R/o Village 5Y Tehsil And District Sri Ganganagar.
- 6. Santosh S/o Lxman Ram, R/o Village 7Y Tehsil And District Sri Ganganagar
- 7. Nathuram S/o Shri Sohanlal, R/o Village Haripura District Fazilka Punjab.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shaitan Singh Hemaguda Mr. Kailash Chandra
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Singh Rajpurohit, Dy. GA

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

23/06/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU), VJ