

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13497/2026

CNR: RJHC010603142026

URN: CW / 24528U / 2026

1. Madhav Technical Education Society, Through Its Secretary Manan Dev Singh S/o Lakhani Singh Choudhary Age-19 Years R/o 59 N Near Sukhadiya Park Sukhadiya Nagar Nathdwara Rajsamand
2. Saint Meera Shiksha Prakshishan Mahavidhyala, Through Its Authorized Representative Manan Dev Singh S/o Lakhani Singh Choudhary Age-19 Years R/o 59 N Near Sukhadiya Park Sukhadiya Nagar Nathdwara Rajsamand

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. National Council For Teacher Education, Through Its Member Secretary G-7 Sector 10 Dwarka Near Metro Station New Delhi
2. Western Regional Committee, Through Its Member Secretary National Council For Teacher Education G-7 Sector 10 Dwarka Near Metro Station New Delhi

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Hemant Ballani.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Vivek Shrivastava assisted by Ms. Yukti Joshi.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

08/07/2026

1. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the controversy related to transition from BA. B.Ed./BSc. BEd. Programme to four years Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi

in the writ petition **Global Education Institute & Anr. Vs. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr. (W.P. (C) 8109/2026, CM Appl. No.38665/2026)** decided on 08.06.2026. He, therefore, prays that the present writ petition may also be disposed of in the same terms.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE), while opposing the prayer, submits that the petitioners have an efficacious alternative statutory remedy by way of an appeal under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. He further submits that the controversy involves disputed questions of fact regarding deficiencies in the institution and, therefore, the petitioners ought to avail the statutory remedy.

3. In response, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the aforesaid preliminary objection regarding the availability of an alternative remedy under Section 18 of the NCTE Act has already been considered and rejected by the High Court of Delhi in the batch of writ petitions led by **W.P.(C) No.4860/2026 & CM Appl. 23901/2026 and CM Appl. No.23902/2026, (Vision College of Teachers Training Vs. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr.)**, decided on 29.05.2026. He draws the attention of this Court to paragraph 14 of the said judgment, which reads as under:-

"14. From a conspectus of the aforesaid judgment, I am of the view that even though the Section 18 of the NCTE Act provides an appellate statutory remedy but in the present case, the availability of such statutory remedy, does not stand in way of this Court in exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, as the show cause notice which forms the basis of the impugned order is itself vitiated by law for the

reason of being violative of principles of natural justice and violative of Regulation No. 7 of the NCTE (Recognition Norms & Procedure) Regulations, 2014."

4. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the judgment rendered by the High Court of Delhi in ***Global Education Institute (supra)***, this Court is of the view that the controversy involved in the present writ petition is covered by the aforesaid judgment.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition is allowed in the same terms as per the order dated 08.06.2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi in ***Global Education Institute (supra)*** and the impugned order (Annex.10) is set aside.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the respondents submits that, in compliance with the directions issued by the Court, the respondents are required to undertake inspection of a large number of institutions and, therefore, prays that the time for completion of the entire exercise be extended till 31.08.2026.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners opposed the said prayer.

8. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, it is directed that the entire exercise, including issuance of show cause notices, communication of deficiencies, submission of replies by the concerned institutions, adjudication of such show cause notices, and all ancillary and consequential proceedings arising therefrom, shall be completed on or before 31.08.2026 for the academic session 2026–27.

9. Stay petition as well as all pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J