

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13270/2026

1. Narendra Panwar S/o Dhanraj Panwar, Aged About 41 Years, R/o 8/396 Near Nandanwan Chopasni Housing Board Dist. Jodhpur Raj.
2. Himanshu Kansara S/o Chouth Mal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o 125 Sanjay Nagar Dist. Jalore Raj.
3. Bheema Ram Choudhary S/o Hema Ram Choudhary, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Nimbla Ki Dhani Nimbla Dist. Jalore Raj.
4. Bhagaram Patel S/o Mangila Patel, Aged About 30 Years, R/o 62 Ashapura Nagar Khodiya Balaji Mandir Ke Pichhe Dist. Pali Raj.
5. Hitendra Singh S/o Khet Singh, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Chandana Dist. Jalore Raj.
6. Ashok Kumar Khatik S/o Vena Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Khatiko Ka Bas Chanod Dist. Pali Raj.
7. Mangna Ram S/o Uka Ram Ji, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Dhibada Vera Leta B Jalor Dist. Jalor Raj.
8. Dharmendra Kumar S/o Narsingh Lal Acharya, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Inside Tilak Gate Near Thakur Ji Temple Sardar Patel Marg Dist. Jalore Raj.
9. Rajesh Vishwakarma S/o Narayan Lal Vishwakarma, Aged About 37 Years, R/o 420/1 Dahiya Bhawan Ke Pichae Nayi Abadi Sayla Dist. Jalore Raj.
10. Goparam S/o Padma Ram, Aged About 27 Years, Indra Colony Ahor Dist. Jalore Raj.
11. Narpat Singh S/o Chandan Singh, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Rajputo Ka Was Moroo Dist. Jalore Raj.
12. Jeetendra Kumar S/o Laxman, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Lead Bank Ke Pass Rajendra Nagar Dist. Jalore Raj.
13. Yogesh Kumar S/o Babu Lal Ji, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Sire Mandir Road Dist. Jalore Raj.
14. Sachin Lohar S/o Jogesh Lohar, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Mataji Mandir Ke Saamne Ahor Dist. Jalore Raj.
15. Pankhi Kalvi W/o Taloka Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o 106 Chouhano V Magwalo Ki Basti V/p Gavdi Tehsil Bagoda Doongarwa Dist. Jalor Raj.

16. Surendra Choudhary S/o Tulsa Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Bago Ka Bas Ward No. 7 Loha Dist. Churu Raj.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Dept. Of Higher Education Govt. Of Raj. Secretariat Jaipur
2. The Commissioner, Dept. Of College Education Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul Jln Marg Jaipur Block-Iv
3. Principal, Govt. Polytechnic College Aahor Road Village Godan Dist. Jalore Pin 307029 Raj.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pradhuman Singh Rajpurohit Ms. Poonam K. Chitara Mr. G.S. Meena
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Suthar, AGC for Mr. S.S. Ladreacha, AAG

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

25/06/2026

1. The issue involved in the present case relates to the engagement of guest faculties in various subjects under the Vidya Sambal Yojna and other similar schemes in higher education institutions. The controversy essentially relates to whether such teaching posts in colleges should continue to be held by the existing guest faculties or be opened for fresh appointments in each academic session.

2. This issue involved in the present case has already been considered by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court at Jaipur in the case of State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 903/2023), decided on 19.09.2025,

wherein the Court took note that the State of Rajasthan, in the year 2021, introduced the Vidya Sambal Yojna to engage experts and experienced teachers/trainers as guest faculties in educational institutions wherever more than 60% of the sanctioned posts were lying vacant, with the objective of ensuring continuity of teaching and completion of students' courses.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 09.10.2025 passed in the case of Dr. Shashi Pandit Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Ors. (D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1270/2025) and other connected matters, referred the issue covered in the present writ petition by referring to another judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court at Jaipur in the case of State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (supra).

4. Relevant portion of the order dated 09.10.2025 passed in the case of Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (supra) is reproduced hereunder:

"4. The Hon'ble Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide its order dated 19.09.2025, while according due weightage to the experience of guest faculties, arrived at the following conclusion:-

1. "After carefully considering the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in the matter of Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (supra) as well as the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of Manish Gupta & Ors. (supra), we deem it just and proper to dispose of these appeals and modify the judgment dated 23.08.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge as follows:-

2.(1) The respondents/original petitioners/applicants are entitled to continue on their respective posts till they are replaced by existing regular employees or regularly selected candidates through RPSC. 3. (2) The respondents/original petitioners/ applicants would be continued on their posts provided that sufficient number of

students are available for the particular courses(s) for which they were appointed.

4.(3) The respondents/original petitioners/applicants would be entitled to honorarium at the rate of Rs.800/- per hour as is being paid to them presently or to be modified by the State from time to time.

5. With the above modification, the present appeals are disposed of.”

5. Though the matter is referred to the Larger Bench, the enforceability of the decision in the case of Ram Chaturvedi (supra) is still in operation.

6. Considering the aforesaid judgment passed in Ram Chaturvedi's case (supra), the co-ordinate Bench of this Court has disposed of the identical writ petition in terms of the said judgment in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.12689/2026 vide order dated 16.06.2026.

7. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms, as passed in the case of State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (supra). This direction is subject to the result of the decision of the Larger Bench.

8. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),VJ