




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8655/2026
CNR: RJHC010600192026
URN: CRLMB / 18748U / 2026

Anil Kumar @ Vicky S/o Shri Lal Chand Bishnoi, Aged About 29
Years, Ward No 05 17 Tk Muklawar Police Station Muklawar District
Sri Ganganagar. (At Present Lodged In Central Jail Sri Ganganagar).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Amandeep
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hathi Singh Jodha, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP SHAH

Order

06/07/2026

1. The applicant has filed the present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS being aggrieved against the order dated 11.06.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge, NDPS Cases, District Sri Ganganagar, in Criminal Misc. Bail Case No.225/2026 (CIS No.170/2026), whereby the bail application filed by the accused-applicant under Section 483 B.N.S.S. was rejected. The accused-applicant is behind the bars, pursuant to the F.I.R. No.224/2026 registered at Police Station Jawaharnagar, District Sri Ganganagar against the accused-applicant for offences punishable under Sections 8/21 and 25 of NDPS Act 1985.

2. Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.



3. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant submits that the recovered contraband is below the demarcated commercial quantity, inasmuch as 81 grams of Heroin/Chitta were recovered. He submits that the similarly situated co-accused, Sunil Kumar @ Sethi, from whom a comparatively larger quantity of contraband was recovered, has already been enlarged on bail by Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 04.06.2026 passed in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7180/2026 (Sunil Kumar @ Sethi v. State of Rajasthan), and therefore, the accused-applicant also deserves to be enlarged on bail on the ground of parity. He also submits that the accused-applicant is in judicial custody since 17.05.2026 and that the trial of the case is likely to take a sufficiently long time to conclude, which was also the position in the case of the aforesaid co-accused at the time of allowing the application for grant of bail. He further submits that the accused-applicant has no criminal antecedents. He, therefore, implores this Court to allow the present bail application.

4. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the bail application. However, he is not in a position to dispute the fact that the similarly situated co-accused, Sunil Kumar @ Sethi, has already been enlarged on bail by Coordinate Bench of this Court, nor does he dispute that the recovered contraband is below the demarcated commercial quantity.

5. Having considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, more particularly the facts that the recovered contraband is below the demarcated commercial



quantity, the similarly situated co-accused, Sunil Kumar @ Sethi, has already been enlarged on bail by Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 04.06.2026, the accused-applicant has no criminal antecedents, the accused-appellant is in judicial custody since 17.05.2026 and the trial of the case is likely to take a sufficiently long time to conclude, this Court *prima facie* finds it a fit case to enlarge the accused-applicant on bail.

6. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the accused-applicant on bail.

7. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-applicant; **Anil Kumar @ Vicky S/o Shri Lal Chand Bishnoi**, arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.224/2026, shall be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case, provided he furnishes a personal bond of Rs.50,000/- and two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each, to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court, for his appearance before that Court on each & every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till completion of the trial.

8. It is further, made clear that findings recorded/observations made herein-above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application and the same shall not prejudice the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANDEEP SHAH),J