




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13261/2026

1. Mukesh Bhakal S/o Narendra Kumar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Vpo Bhakrod, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
2. Dr. Lal Chand Yadav S/o Kalyan Sahay Yadav, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Damba Ka Bas, Mandha Bhinda, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Dr. Mukesh Kumar Yadav S/o Aanandi Lal Yadav, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Vpo Dhodhsar, Tehsil Chomu, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Dr. Ashish Raja Jangid S/o Durga Lal Jangid, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Cheta, District Bundi, Rajasthan.
5. Jagdish Chand Dangi S/o Chittar Lal Dangi, Aged About 28 Years, R/o 1, Jeta Kheri Po Odiya Khurd, Jhalawar, Rajasthan.
6. Rajkumar Bajya S/o Gopal Lal Bajya, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Kalakh, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
7. Aditya Choudhary S/o Hanuman Prasad Choudhary, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Pradhan Ji Ki Dhani, Village Mundiya Garh, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
8. Kiran Jingar D/o Fathehlal, Aged About 49 Years, R/o 21, Bhais Road, Ayurvedic Hospital Ke Pass, Udaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Higher Education, Secretariat, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Commissioner, College Education, Block 4, Shiksha Sankul, Jln Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal, M.I.v. Govt. College, Bhilwara.
4. The Principal, Govt. Bangur College, Didwana.
5. The Principal, Govt. Agriculture College, Jamwaramgarh, Jaipur.
6. The Principal, Govt. Agriculture College, Kherwada, Udaipur.
7. The Principal, Govt. Agriculture College Gangapur Bhilwara.



8. The Principal, Maharana Pratap Govt. Pg College
Chittorgarh.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Hansraj Nimbar
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Deepak Suthar, AGC for
Mr. S.S. Ladrecha, AAG

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

25/06/2026

1. The issue involved in the present case relates to the engagement of guest faculties in various subjects under the Vidya Sambal Yojna and other similar schemes in higher education institutions. The controversy essentially relates to whether such teaching posts in colleges should continue to be held by the existing guest faculties or be opened for fresh appointments in each academic session.

2. This issue involved in the present case has already been considered by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court at Jaipur in the case of State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 903/2023), decided on 19.09.2025, wherein the Court took note that the State of Rajasthan, in the year 2021, introduced the Vidya Sambal Yojna to engage experts and experienced teachers/trainers as guest faculties in educational institutions wherever more than 60% of the sanctioned posts were lying vacant, with the objective of ensuring continuity of teaching and completion of students' courses.



3. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 09.10.2025 passed in the case of Dr. Shashi Pandit Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Ors. (D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1270/2025) and other connected matters, referred the issue covered in the present writ petition by referring to another judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court at Jaipur in the case of State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (supra).

4. Relevant portion of the order dated 09.10.2025 passed in the case of Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (supra) is reproduced hereunder:

"4. The Hon'ble Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide its order dated 19.09.2025, while according due weight age to the experience of guest faculties, arrived at the following conclusion:-

1. "After carefully considering the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in the matter of Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (supra) as well as the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of Manish Gupta & Ors. (supra), we deem it just and proper to dispose of these appeals and modify the judgment dated 23.08.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge as follows:-

2.(1) The respondents/original petitioners/applicants are entitled to continue on their respective posts till they are replaced by existing regular employees or regularly selected candidates through RPSC.

3. (2) The respondents/original petitioners/ applicants would be continued on their posts provided that sufficient number of students are available for the particular courses(s) for which they were appointed.

4.(3) The respondents/original petitioners/applicants would be entitled to honorarium at the rate of Rs.800/- per hour as is being paid to them presently or to be modified by the State from time to time.

5. With the above modification, the present appeals are disposed of."

5. Though the matter is referred to the Larger Bench, the enforceability of the decision in the case of Ram Chaturvedi (supra) is still in operation.

6. Considering the aforesaid judgment passed in Ram Chaturvedi's case (supra), the co-ordinate Bench of this Court has



disposed of the identical writ petition in terms of the said judgment in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.12689/2026 vide order dated 16.06.2026.

7. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms, as passed in the case of State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Ram Chaturvedi & Ors. (supra). This direction is subject to the result of the decision of the Larger Bench.

8. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU), VJ

290-suraj/-