

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8265/2026
CNR: RJHC010598452026
URN: CRLMB / 17979U / 2026

Abhishek S/o Sohanlal, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Ward No. 02,
Tibbi, Tehsil Tibbi District Hanumangarh Raj. (Presently Is
Lodged Jail Hanumangarh)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar Sihag
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

17/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	129/2026 dated 31.05.2026
2.	Concerned Police Station	Talwara
3.	District	Hanumangarh
4.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 109(1), 111(3), 111(4), 111(5), 115(2), 126(2), 189(2) and 324(2) of BNS and Sections 3(1)(s) and 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act.
5.	Offences added, if any	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against him. He further submits that the petitioner

has not been named in the FIR. The statements of three injured were recorded during investigation, however, none of them have named the present petitioner. Injured Rajesh and Gurdeep have sustained simple injuries, however, Pawan sustained one grievous injury on the little finger. There are omnibus allegations against the present petitioner and no specific role has been assigned on the petitioner in the crime in question. Principal allegation is on co-accused Naresh, who has inflicted grievous injury on the injured Pawan. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 03.06.2026 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application. However, he is not in a position to refute the fact that none of the injured witnesses have named the present petitioner nor any specific role has been assigned.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the case diary so also considering the facts that out of three injured persons, one has sustained grievous injury and that injury has been specifically assigned to co-accused Naresh; all the three injured have not identified the present petitioner and omnibus allegations have been levelled against him; and that the prosecution has not expressed any apprehension qua the petitioner fleeing away from justice, in case he is enlarged on bail, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the

petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner- **Abhishek S/o Sohanlal**, shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided he executes personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J