

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8198/2026
CNR: RJHC010592192026
URN: CRLMB / 17756U / 2026

Anil Kumar S/o Lekhraj, Aged About 31 Years, R/o 19/07, Devilal Colony, Police Station Sector 09, Gurugram (Haryana) (Presently Petitioner Is Confined In District Jail Bhilwara)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sikander Khan Mr. Mohsin Bhurat
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Lalit Kishor Sen, PP Mr. Naved Khan Sindhi

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL
Order

20/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	12/2026
2.	Date of lodging FIR	14.04.2026
3.	Concerned Police Station	Cyber Police Station (Bhilwara)
4.	District	Bhilwara
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 308(2), 318(4) and 319(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66D of Information Technology Act, 2008
6.	Offences added, if any	-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and that false allegations have been levelled against him. He further submits that the offence alleged against the petitioner is triable by a

Magistrate. Although the petitioner has criminal antecedents but out of a total of 15 criminal cases registered against him, he has been acquitted in seven cases. He also submits that, except for the present case, there is no other criminal case of a similar nature is pending against the petitioner.

2.1 Learned counsel also submits that the amount alleged to have been transferred in the petitioner's account, has already been remitted back to the complainant's account, and the complainant has also entered into a compromise with the petitioner. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 08.05.2026 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the complainant submits that the amount, which was fraudulently transferred to petitioner's account, has been remitted back to complainant's account and he has no objection if the petitioner is enlarged on bail.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

6. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the challan papers so also considering the fact that petitioner is in judicial custody since 08.05.2026; the offence alleged against him is triable by Magistrate; there are no previous cases registered against the present petitioner of like nature; the amount which is stated to have fraudulently been transferred to petitioner's account has

been remitted back to complainant's account and complainant has no objection in allowing bail application of the present petitioner and that the prosecution has not expressed any apprehension qua the petitioner fleeing away from justice, in case he is enlarged on bail, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner- **Anil Kumar S/o Lekhraj** shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided he executes personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

8. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J