

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2841/2026

1. Sapna Johd Alias Sapna Pandit D/o Satyanarayan, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Near Railway Station, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur, Presently R/o New Harijan Basti, Ward No. 12, Mandapura, Tehsil Panchpadra, District Balotra.
2. Dinesh Kumar S/o Narpatlal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o New Harijan Basti, Ward No. 12, Mandapura, Tehsil Panchpadra, District Balotra.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Pp
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Balotra
3. The Sho, P.s, Panchpadra, District Balotra.
4. Arvind Pandit S/o Haribhajan, Resident Of Nandri, Banad, District Jodhpur.
5. Neeraj Pandit S/o Haribhajan, Resident Of Nandri, Banad, District Jodhpur.
6. Dhiraj Pandit S/o Haribhajan, Resident Of Nandri, Banad, District Jodhpur.
7. Prathviraj Bhanu S/o Satyanarayan, R/o Indranagar, Sangriya Fata, District Jodhpur.
8. Sumit Johd S/o Satyanarayan, R/o R/o Indranagar, Sangriya Fata, District Jodhpur.
9. Kishanlal S/o Ghamdaram, Resident Of Mandapura, Tehsil Panchpdra, District Balotra
10. Manoj S/o Kishanlal, Resident Of Mandapura, Tehsil Panchpdra, District Balotra
11. Kamlesh S/o Kishanlal, Resident Of Mandapura, Tehsil Panchpdra, District Balotra
12. Bheemraj S/o Kishanlal, Resident Of Mandapura, Tehsil Panchpdra, District Balotra

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Trilok Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Narendra Singh Chandawat, PP

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

23/06/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons, claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents. The petitioners allegedly approached the respondent police authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and live-in-relationship agreement have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.** reported in **AIR 2006 SC 2522**, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police concerned shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU) VJ