

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2813/2026

1. Sushila W/o Sh Papu Singh, Aged About 27 Years, D/o Bhanwar Singh R/o Village Jaloda Tehsil Lohawat P.s Lohawat District Phalodi
2. Papu Singh S/o Sh Mukan Singh, Aged About 34 Years, Resident Chouhano Ki Dhani Indo Ka Bass Denoak P.s Matoda District Phalodi

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, P P Through
2. Superintendent Of Police, Phalodi
3. Sho Police Station Lohawat, Phalodi
4. Sho Police Station Matoda, District Phalodi
5. Mool Singh S/o Durg Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi
6. Sangh Singh S/o Durga Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi
7. Bhanwar Singh S/o Sang Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi
8. Surendra Singh S/o Mool Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi
9. Umaid Singh S/o Durg Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi
10. Madan Singh S/o Durg Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi
11. Kishan Singh S/o Umaid Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi
12. Satar Singh S/o Sangh Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi
13. Himmat Singh S/o Madan Singh, R/o Village Kusalawa Lohawat District Phalodi
14. Devi Singh S/o Bhanwar Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi
15. Madho Singh S/o Devi Singh, R/o Jaloda Lohawat District Phalodi

----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Urmila Chauhan  
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Shriram Choudhary, PP

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU  
(VACATION JUDGE)**

**Order**

**22/06/2026**

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.

The petitioners both being major persons claim to have performed a love marriage. They submit that the marriage was performed against the wishes of their parents and thus, they apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities, with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to the request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and the marriage ceremony performed between them have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in ***AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be

provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who have performed a love marriage.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

**(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU), VJ**

249-Hanuman/-