

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8159/2026

1. Ramgopal S/o Shri Lichhman Ram, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Miyanwali Dhani, Chak 16 S.S.W. Meharwala Police Station Talwara District Hanumangarh. At Present Lodged In District Jail Hanumangarh.
2. Dinesh Kumar S/o Shri Ramgopal, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of Miyanwali Dhani, Chak 16 S.S.W. Meharwala Police Station Talwara District Hanumangarh. At Present Lodged In District Jail Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ramawtar Singh Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vippin Makkad
		Mr. Sawai Singh
		Mr. Lalit Kishor Sen, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

02/07/2026

1. The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is filed by the applicants-accused **Ramgopal S/o Shri Lichhman Ram** and **Dinesh Kumar S/o Shri Ramgopal** seeking bail in respect of a criminal case registered as FIR No.56/2026 dated 27.03.2026 registered at P.S. Talwara, District- Hanumangarh, for the offence under Sections 115(2), 126(2), and 3(5) of BNS.
2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have been falsely implicated in the matter and the investigation against them is complete and they are no more

required in investigation. He further submits that there are no chance of fleeing of applicants-accused from the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. He also submits that the applicants undertake not to repeat offence and cooperate with investigation which will take time.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants-accused submits that FIR was registered against 8 persons and that too after a delay of two days. He also submitted that two accused Santaro Devi @ Reshma and Smt. Sita @ Sunita were already enlarged on bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court. He further referred the version of FIR and submitted that Ramgopal and Sita was assaulted injured Govind Kumar, whereas all four persons have assaulted Bhagwan He further referred the injury report prepared initially on first occasion and submitted that the injury reports were manipulated and injuries were exaggerated by the Medical Jurist, but after order of the Trial Court, a fresh examination was conducted by Medical Board and fresh injury report was prepared. He further referred the subsequent injury report and submitted that none of the injury was opined as dangerous to life. He also referred the material on record and submitted that it was a sudden provocation and not a premeditated assault and now, investigation is complete.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the allegations are grave and serious in nature. He also submitted that as per information dated 08.04.2026, one of the injury upon injured was opined as dangerous to life. He further referred statement of injured

and submitted that accused are involved in the incident and they cannot seek parity with the accused, who were granted bail.

5. Heard learned counsel for the applicants-accused and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material placed on record by both the parties.
6. On 27.03.2026, complainant Govind Kumar (injured) has lodged a report about incident of 25.03.2026 against four persons namely Ramgopal, Dinesh Kumar, Santaro @ Reshma and Sita @ Sunita. After investigation, police has already filed a charge-sheet, and both the accused are in custody since 11.05.2026. There is no criminal antecedents against the applicants. Initially, injury report were prepared on 28.03.2026, but after order of the Trial Court, fresh injury report were prepared on 06.05.2026. We have considered the difference between initial report and subsequent initial report in particular about the information about injury. All accused are from one family.
7. Upon hearing the arguments and perusing the record, we have found that the applicants-accused are no more required in the investigation and they are in custody for quite some time. The further proceedings will take its own time, therefore, looking to entirety of facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the Court deems it appropriate to grant bail to the applicants-accused.
8. Thus, the instant bail application filed on behalf of applicants-accused **Ramgopal S/o Shri Lichhman Ram**

and **Dinesh Kumar S/o Shri Ramgopal**, is hereby allowed and the applicants-accused are ordered to be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond of ₹50,000/- with two sureties of like amount by each of them to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the following conditions:-

- (i) The applicants-accused shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.
- (ii) The applicants-accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.
- (iii) The applicants-accused shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.
- (iv) In case of any violation of above conditions, the bail granted to the applicants-accused shall be liable to be canceled.

9. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the Trial Court through E-mail.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J