




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**



D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11737/2024

1. Rodu Lal S/o Shri Devi Lal Dangi, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Vill - Banjari, Post - Dharoniya, Tehsil Raipur, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
2. Ramkaran Dangi S/o Shri Prabhu Lal Dangi, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Vill - Zikari, Post Banor, Tehsil Raipur, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
3. Hemant Kumar Patidar S/o Shri Ram Gopal Patidar, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Vill - Garwada, Post Semlikham, Tehsil Raipur, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
4. Eshvar Singh Solanki S/o Shri Bharat Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Vill - Kharpa Kalan, Post - Kharpa Kalan, Tehsil - Pidawa, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
5. Lokesh Patidar S/o Shri Tarachand, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Vill - Garwada, Post Semlikham, Tehsil Raipur, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
6. Yashwant Gurjar S/o Shri Chain Singh Gurjar, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Vill - Mandloi Gali Sunel, Teh - Sunel District Jhalawar (Raj.).
7. Tufan Singh Nagar S/o Shri Bheru Lal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Vill - Samiya, Post Samiya, Sunel, Jhalawar (Raj.).
8. Rakesh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Durgaprasad Sharma, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village Salari, Jhalawar (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector Cum District Programme



Coordinator, Egs, Jhalawar.

6. The Chief Executive Officer Cum Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, Jhalawar.

----Respondents

Connected With

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18475/2022

1. Dinesh Loyal S/o Maniram Loyal, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village Arwar, District Nagaur (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Chc Deh As Lab Assistant.
2. Rampratap Choudhary S/o Jal Singh Choudhary, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Ghat, District Alwar, Presently Working At Phc Pur (Kotkasim) As Lab Technician.
3. Afsar Ahmed S/o Nadeem Ahmed, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Gandhi Nagar, Didwana, District Nagaur (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Chc Choti Khatu As Lab Assistant.
4. Jagdish Ram S/o Chotaram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Meghwalo Ki Dhani, Asawari, District Nagaur (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Phc Ashwari As Helper.
5. Rajendra S/o Ramkishor, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Roon, Tehsil Mundawa, District Nagaur (Rajasthan) Presently Working At Phc Roon As Helper.
6. Rajesh Kumar S/o Dhramchand, Aged About 37 Years, R/o 6 Phm Abadi, Karmwala, Bikaner (Rajasthan) Presently Working At Chc Khajuwala As Helper.
7. Gafoor S/o Khalik Khan, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 3 Nosera, Khajuwala, District Bikaner (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Chc Khajuwala As Computer Operator.
8. Chenaram S/o Bhikharam, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 13 Khajuwala, District Bikaner (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Chc Khajuwala As Sweeper.
9. Ajaj Ahamad S/o Sayed Ahamad, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ward No. 17 Khajuwala, District Bikaner (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Chc Khajuwala As Helper.
10. Nema Ram S/o Madan Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Dhadhriya Khurd, District Nagaur (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Phc Ashwari As Lab Technician.
11. Deelip S/o Magani Ram, Aged About 34 Years, R/o



Harijano Ka Mohalla, Sankhwas, District Nagaur (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Phc Sankwas As Lab Technician.

12. Ram Singh S/o Devi Singh, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Chc Khajuwala, District Bikaner (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Chc Khajuwala As Lab Assistant.
13. Richpal S/o Omprakash, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Jamari Nadi, Tehsil Merta City, District Nagaur (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Phc Khajwana As Lab Technician.
14. Mohd. Mohsin S/o Umar Farook, Aged About 40 Years, R/o 337, Nuri Mohalla, Chhotikhatu, District Nagaur (Rajasthan), Presently Working At Chc Choti Khatu As Lab Technician.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Medical And Health Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Joint Secretary, Department Of Personnel (A Group 2), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Director, (Public Health) Medical And Health Service, Rajasthan, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, Jaipur.
4. Additional Director, (Administrative) Medical And Health Service, Rajasthan, Swasthya Bhawan, tilak Marg, Jaipur.
5. Finance Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Ist Floor, Main Building, Gate 2, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
6. Nodal Officer, Mndy, Medical And Health Services, Rajasthan, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, Jaipur.
7. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Nagaur.
8. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Bikaner.
9. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Alwar.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 99/2023

1. Jabbar Singh S/o Chhotoo Singh, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Village And Post Shergarh, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.) Working On The Post Of Computer



Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).

2. Mukesh Kumar S/o Bhanwar Lal, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Village And Post Himmatpura, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.) Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
3. Deepak S/o Narayan Ram, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Village And Post Nawatala, Tehsil Pachpadra, District Barmer. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
4. Chhail Singh S/o Kan Singh, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village And Post Solankiya Tala, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
5. Rahul Goyal S/o Kailash Goyal, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village And Post Darjiyon Ka Bas, Tinwari, Tehsil Tinwari, District Jodhpur. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Sbm).
6. Ibrahim Khan S/o Bhau Khan, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village And Post Cherai, Tehsil Tinwari, District Jodhpur. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
7. Ajeet Khan S/o Sakur Khan, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village And Post Cherai, Tehsil Tinwari, District Jodhpur. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
8. Kishore Singh S/o Gulab Singh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village Badabas Ramnagar, Post Cherai, Tehsil Tinwari, District Jodhpur. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
9. Mahesh Kumar S/o Ramdayal, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Behind Uco Bank, Baap, Tehsil Baap, District Jodhpur. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel,



Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector Cum District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Jodhpur.
6. The Chief Executive Officer Cum Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad District Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 243/2023

1. Ramesh Chandra Nayak S/o Rooplal Nayak, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village And Post Bichhiwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
2. Chandra Narayan Gaur S/o Bhagyaram Gaur, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Village And Post Nawalshyam, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
3. Ramchandra Nayak S/o Gehari Lal Nayak, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Village And Post Bicchiwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
4. Mahesh Kumar Patidar S/o Bhagwan Lal Patidar, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Village And Post Oda Bada, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
5. Yogesh Patidar S/o Ramlalpatidar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Post Oda Bada, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
6. Kiran Kumar Trivedi S/o Himmat Ram Trivedi, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Village And Postnawalshyam, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
7. Ramesh Chandra Patel S/o Dola Patel, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Village And Postchhapi, District Dungarpur



(Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).

8. Smt. Pratibha Nayak D/o Subhash Nayak, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Village And Postbicchiwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
9. Pankaj Kumar Choubisa S/o Narendra Kumar Choubisa, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village And Postbhadga, Tehsil Sabla, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
10. Mahipal Singh Sisodiya S/o Ranjeet Singh Sisodiya, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Village And Postnandliahara, Tehsil Sabla, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
11. Bharatlal Meghwal S/o Ratanji Meghwal, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Bhatoli, Post Pindawal, Tehsil Sabla, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
12. Vivek Joshi S/o Mukesh Joshi, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Village And Postghamdabamaniya, Tehsil Sagwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
13. Kavita Kumar Lohar S/o Balkrishanlohar, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Ward No.23, House No.31, Pipala Chock, Omprakash Book Sallerke Pass, Sagwara, District Dungarpur(Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
14. Julee Dave W/o Pradeep Kumar Dave, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No.9, Brahamanmohalla, Near Patwarghar, Obari, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
15. Nitin Saragiya S/o Rajendra Kumar Saragiya, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Ward No.12, Salathwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
16. Kiran Bhatt W/o Hemendra Kumar Bhatt, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Village And Postnandor, Tehsil Sagwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).



17. Mragendra Kumar Choubisa S/o Kanhaiya Lal Choubisa, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village And Postkherakachhawasa, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
18. Rakesh Jain S/o Tejpal Jain, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village And Post Ramgarh, Tehsil Aspuri, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
19. Lokesh Patidar S/o Late Shivramjipatidar, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village And Post Punali, Tehsil Dovra, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
20. Ronak Joshi S/o Narayan Lal Joshi, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Postpunali, Tehsil Dovra, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
21. Kushal Singh Rathore S/o Jaswant Singh Rathore, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Village And Postkherakachhawasa, Tehsil And District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
22. Naresh Patidar S/o Madhav Lal Patidar, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Village And Post Kherakachhawasa, Tehsil District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
23. Aftab Khan Pathan S/o Raees Khan Pathan, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Mohallafaraswara, Ward No.20, Tehsil And District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat,



Jaipur, Rajasthan.

5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Dungarpur.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zilaparishad, District Dungarpur.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 366/2023

1. Prakash Chander S/o Shri Mohan Lal Sen, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Post Suras, Tehsil Mandal, District Bhilwara (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
2. Ram Lal Khatik S/o Udai Lal Khatik, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Post Luhariya, Tehsil Mandal, District Bhilwara (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
3. Ghanshyam Lal Panwar S/o Heru Lal Panwar, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village Data, Post Luhariya, Tehsil Mandalgarh, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
4. Kanhaiya Lal Jat S/o Gopi Lal Jat, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village And Post Bhimdiyas, Tehsil Mandal, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
5. Seema Acharya D/o Shiv Prasad Acharya, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Tiwari Mohalla, Mandal, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega)
6. Kailash Chandra Dhobi S/o Badri Lal Dhobi, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village And Post Mokhunda, Tehsil Raipur, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
7. Satyanarayan Sen S/o Shyam Lal Sen, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village And Post Kot, Tehsil Raipur, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
8. Prithviraj Balai S/o Mitthulal Balai, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village And Post Kot, Tehsil Raipur, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine



(Mgnrega).

9. Laxmi Gurjar D/o Ganesh Lal Gurjar, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village And Post Nahri, Tehsil Raipur, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
10. Vinod Kumar Pareek S/o Shyam Lal Pareek, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Post Soniyana, Tehsil Sahara, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
11. Mukesh Kumar Bhati S/o Harlal Nayak, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Post Mahendragarh, Tehsil Sahara, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
12. Gopal Lal Joshi (Sharma) S/o Bheru Lal Joshi, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Village And Post Satliyas, Tehsil Sahara, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
13. Puranmal Jat S/o Chhoga Lal Jat, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Mandapiya, Post Surawas, Tehsil Sahara, District Bhilwara. Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Bhilwara.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zilaparishad, District Bhilwara.



----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 376/2023

1. Bhavesh Kumar S/o Indra Das, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Dhamvato Ka Bas, Desuri, District Pali (Raj.). Working As The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine.
2. Maga Ram S/o Mangi Lal Ji, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Vadava Jav, Bali District Pali (Raj.). Working As The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine.
3. Bharat Singh S/o Satyanarayan, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Naya Bas, Kile Ke Pas, Main Bazar, Desuri, District Pali. Working As The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector - Cum - District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Pali.
6. The Chief Executive Officer - Cum - Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, District Pali.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 768/2023

1. Prafull Kumar Choubisa S/o Mahendra Kumar Choubisa, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village Mandli, Post Jhallara, Tehsil Salumbar, District Udaipur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Computer Operator (Mgnrega)
2. Sunil Kumar Suthar S/o Gulabji Suthar, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Village And Post Pardathoor, Tehsil Aaspur, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Account Assistant.



3. Ranjeet Singh S/o Gajraj Singh Shaktawat, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Gehuwara, Post Punali, District Dungarpur (Raj.) Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
4. Prahlad Singh Sisodiya S/o Rajendra Singh Sisodiya, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village Relda, Post Mathugamra (Khas), District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
5. Jeevan Suthar S/o Bhanwar Lal Suthar, Aged About 37 Years, R/o 1/106, Shivaji Nagar, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
6. Laxman Lal Balai S/o Hakra Ji Balai, Aged About 51 Years, R/o Village And Post Sabli, Tehsil Bichhiwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
7. Ditesh Jain S/o Kesrimal Jain, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Village Navadera, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
8. Bhupesh Sant S/o Deva Roat, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Village And Post Favta, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).
9. Pushpraj Singh Sisodiya S/o Jai Singh Sisodiya, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Village And Post Mandwa, Khaparda, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Computer Operator With Machine (Mgnrega).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.



5. The District Collector Cum District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Jodhpur.
6. The Chief Executive Officer Cum Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad District Dungarpur.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 785/2023

1. Rajmal Ghotia S/o Shri Norang Ram Ghotia, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Bhadi, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
2. Pradeep Kumar S/o Shri Bhani Ram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Village Gogameri, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
3. Rajbala W/o Shri Ramesh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Munasari Barani, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
4. Rakesh Kumar S/o Shri Sharwan Kumar, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Puana Mohala, Gheu, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
5. Pawan Kumar S/o Shri Jai Chand, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 12, Beniwalo Ka Mohalla, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
6. Om Prakash S/o Shri Gopal Ram, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Ward No. 7, Vpo Dungrana, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
7. Mange Ram S/o Shri Puranamal Karir, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 18, Village - Nethrana, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
8. Ghasi Ram S/o Shri Ram Kumar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Thakur Ji Mandir Ke Pass, Mansuri, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
9. Madanlal Nai S/o Shri Kurda Ram Nai, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Village - Nahrana, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
10. Amar Singh S/o Shri Dharm Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Harijan Mohalla, Vpo Kalana, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
11. Sahab Ram Dhanka S/o Shri Ami Lal Dhanka, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Ward No. 14, Dhanka Mohalla, Village Nethrana, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
12. Sudhir Beniwal S/o Shri Ramswaroop, Aged About 29



Years, R/o 10 Jgw, Ramgadhia, Hanumangarh (Raj.).

13. Pankaj S/o Shri Prahlad, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ninan, 6 Jgw, Ninan, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
14. Rajesh S/o Shri Chanan Mal, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Dhani Berwal, 4 Msr, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
15. Sanjay Kumar S/o Shri Risal Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Bhanai, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
16. Sandeep Kumar S/o Shri Mangelal, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Kirara Bara, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
17. Suman Rani W/o Shri Sunil Kumar, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Khatiyon Ka Mohalla, Bain, Churu, (Raj.).
18. Sahab Ram S/o Shri Banwari Lal, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Chanan, Dobi, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
19. Bharat Singh S/o Shri Pratap Singh, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 2, Village Bhirani, Aam Chok, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
20. Surender Kumar S/o Shri Shishapal Singh, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Chhimpa Colony, Village Alayala, Bhadra, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
21. Sharwan Kumar S/o Shri Hari Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Gram Panchayat Dabri, Panchayat Samiti - Bhadra, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
22. Murlidhar S/o Shri Balram, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 22, New Colony, Bhadra, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
23. Vinod Kumar S/o Shri Ramkumar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Tras Faramar Area, Vpo Malkhera, 5 Ams, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
24. Suresh Kumar S/o Shri Balwan Singh, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 22, Ambedkar Chok, Bhadra, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
25. Savitri W/o Shri Mahavir Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Ninan, Vtc 6 Jgw, Po Ninan, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
26. Mukesh Kumar S/o Shri Hanuman Prasad, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Gandhi Barik, Gaushala Ke Pass, Ambedkar Chowk, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
27. Kailash Kumar Swami S/o Shri Matu Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 18, Nahto Ka Mohalla, Bhadra,



Hanumangarh (Raj.).

28. Ramesh Kumar S/o Shri Sagar Mal, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Vpo Karanpura, G.p. Rajpura, Bhadra, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
29. Rajeev S/o Shri Bajrang Lal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ward No. 18, Natho Ka Mohalla, Bhadra, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
30. Santosh W/o Shri Rajpal Bearar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Dhilki Jatan, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
31. Pooja W/o Shri Prahlad Saini, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No. 23, Sahwa, Churu, (Raj.).
32. Isver Singh S/o Shri Jaswant Singh, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 11, Vpo Sagra, Tehsil - Bhadra, Hanumangarh (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Hanumangarh.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, District Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 819/2023

1. Dinesh Patidar S/o Banshilal Patidar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Post Vikas Nagar, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
2. Rahul Joshi S/o Harishankerjoshi, Aged About 37 Years,



R/o Village And Post Punali, District Dungarpur (Raj.).

3. Suresh Kumar Damor S/o Dhula Ji Damor, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village Takari, Post Bandela, Tehsil Simalwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
4. Mahendra Singh Rathore S/o Gopal Singh Rathore, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Village Gadapattapeeth, Tehsil Simalwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
5. Virendra Singh Chundawat S/o Mahendra Singh Chundawat, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village And Post Panchkundi, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
6. Chirag Kalasua S/o Ratanlalkalasua, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Village And Post Bhinda, Tehsil Jhonthari, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
7. Devilal Roat S/o Hanja Ji Roat, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village And Post Jhonthari, Tehsil Jhonthari, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
8. Prakash Chandra Manat S/o Nandkishormanat, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Village Upla-Pada, Post Mahudi, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
9. Mahesh Kumar Kharadi S/o Chhaganlalkharadi, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Village Gorada, Post Venja, Tehsil Jhonthari, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
10. Mukesh Kumar Kharadi S/o Kaluramkharadi, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Village Villagegorada, Post Venja, Tehsil Jhonthari, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
11. Jayanti Lal Kalasua S/o Sohan Lal Kalasua, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Village And Post Sakarsi, Tehsil Simalwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
12. Sohanlal Roat S/o Arji Roat, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Village And Post Bhinda, Tehsil Jhonthari, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
13. Kantilal Damor S/o Nathulal Damor, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Village Mandela Nichali, Post Sasanpur, Tehsilsimalwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department,



Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Dungarpur.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zilaparishad, District Dungarpur.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 823/2023

1. Praveen Kumar S/o Satyanarayan, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Nai Baya Masjid Ke Pas, Ward No. 10, Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
2. Subhash Sharma S/o Hanuman Sharma, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village And Post Sardarpurakhalsa, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
3. Sharmila Kumari W/o Sunil Kumar, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Village And Post 22 A.g., Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
4. Surinder Kumar S/o Nekiram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Post Visrasar, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
5. Mahendra Singh S/o Amar Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o, Ward No.17, Police Thana Ke Pas, Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
6. Atma Ram S/o Sahabram, Aged About 34 Years, R/o, Village Chanderibadi, Post Purbasa, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
7. Ramesh Kumar S/o Sahabram, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village And Post Sedasar, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
8. Ramchandra S/o Leeladharsharma, Aged About 33 Years, R/o 29 D.w.d., Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh



(Raj.).

9. Rajendra Kumar S/o Devilal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Post Choulkhi, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
10. Birbal Ram Khalipa S/o Ramchandra, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Vpo Daniyasar, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
11. Banshilal S/o Sahabram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Village And Post Jhaidasar, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
12. Rakesh Kumar S/o Shyochand, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village And Post Chanderibadi, Pukhsar, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
13. Mahendra Singh S/o Ramlal, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village And Post Nyolakhi, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
14. Ramratan S/o Jotram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village And Post Khoda, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
15. Shrawan Kumar S/o Ramlal, Aged About 34 Years, R/o, Village And Post Khoda, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
16. Shiv Shankar S/o Brijmohan, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Village Udasarchhota, Post Moter, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
17. Sunil Kumar S/o Chananaram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No.20, Iti Colony Kesamne, Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
18. Ramesh Kumar S/o Rajuram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Vpo Prem Nagar, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
19. Vedprakash S/o Harlal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village And Post Poharka, Tehsil Pallu, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department,



Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Hanumangarh.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zilaparishad, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 827/2023

1. Amrit Pal S/o Shri Harbans Singh, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 4, Near Gawariyan Mohalla, Goluwala Niwadan, 24 Jrk, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
2. Tejpal S/o Shri Sohan Lal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ward No. 11, Goluwala, 2 Hdp, Hardayalpura, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
3. Madan Lal S/o Shri Raji Ram, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Ward No. 12, Jorawarpura, 3 Hlm, Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
4. Sunil Kumar S/o Shri Ved Prakash, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Kohla, Hanumangarh 8 Ssw, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
5. Sandeep S/o Shri Prem Kumar, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 11, Uttam Singhwala, 6 Uts, District - Hanumangarh (Raj.).
6. Anil Kumar S/o Shri Mahaveer Beniwal, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Post Office Dulmana, 24 Stg, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
7. Dinesh Kumar S/o Shri Ghanshyam, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Ranjeetpura, 9 Rp, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
8. Anil Kumar S/o Shri Krishan Lal, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Ward No. 09, Pakka Bhadwan, 19 Jrk, Hanumangarh (Raj.).



9. Gurdour Singh S/o Shri Darshan Singh, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Despitar Mandir Ke Pass, Makkasar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
10. Abhimanyu Tandi S/o Shri Bhoopraj Tandi, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 10, 14 Ndr-Cad, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
11. Ram Dayal S/o Shri Hansraj, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 5, Pakka Bhadwan, 19 Jrk, Goluwala, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
12. Jitender Kumar S/o Shri Hansraj, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 7, Thakur Ji Mandir Ke Pass, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
13. Mangal Singh S/o Shri Jaswant Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Near Water Works, Satipura, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
14. Ram Chander S/o Shri Krishna Kumar, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ward No. 2, V.p.o. Chahuwali, 1 Ag, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
15. Sunita W/o Shri Sherbahadur, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 5, Iti Basti, 2 Knj, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
16. Rohitash S/o Shri Daleep Kumar, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 5, 28 Ndr, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
17. Pawan Kumar S/o Shri Girdhari Lal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, 17 Hmh (Kohla), Hanumangarh (Raj.).
18. Mukesh Kumar S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Gali No. 18, Nai Abadi, Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).
19. Kajal Kanwar D/o Shri Jaipal Singh, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Ward No. 18, Near Railway Phatak, Sangaria, District - Hanumangarh (Raj.).
20. Vinod Kumar S/o Shri Chotu Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 2, Govt. School, Munsari, Nohar District - Hanumangarh (Raj.).
21. Krishan Kumar S/o Shri Het Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 7, Nayko Ka Mohala, Bahlol Nagar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
22. Amit Kumar S/o Shri Madan Lal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 4, Chak 5 Mwmb, Menawali, Hanumangarh



(Raj.).

23. Sharda Devi W/o Shri Ramlal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, Vpo Fatehgarh, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
24. Jaswant Singh S/o Shri Gurdeep Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 4, 8 Llw, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
25. Liladhar S/o Shri Ram Lal, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Suratgarh Road, Village - Makkasar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
26. Rupender Kumar S/o Shri Krishan Kumar, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 26, Gali No. 19, New Abadi, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
27. Vinod Kumari W/o Shri Vinod Kumar, Aged About 38 Years, R/o House No. 24, Ward No. 8, Sector No. 12 Hanumangarh (Raj.).
28. Monika Sharma W/o Shri Dinesh Kumar, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Ward No. 43, Behnid Targhar, Sector No. 6 L, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
29. Maninder Arora S/o Shri Rajender Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Vpo Roadwali, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
30. Mahaveer Parsad S/o Shri Surajaram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, 5 Ssw, Jhamber, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
31. Ranjhe Khan S/o Shri Alladita, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 12, Lakhuwali Head, 11 Rp, Rawatsar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
32. Sandeep Kumar S/o Shri Om Prakash, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Nagrana, 5 Ngr, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
33. Dharm Pal S/o Shri Jandu Ram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Vpo Chohilanwali, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
34. Sito Devi D/o Shri Ran Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Ward No. 13, Near Govt. Upper Primary School, Gurusar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
35. Ijaz Ahmed S/o Shri Sahfal Haq, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Lakhuwali, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
36. Suneder Kumar S/o Shri Hansraj, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Pakka Saharna, Hanumangarh (Raj.).



37. Bhani Ram S/o Shri Prithvi Raj, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Kishanpura, Dikhanada, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
38. Prem Kumar S/o Shri Brijlal, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Vpo Chohilanwali, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
39. Mukesh Kumar S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Gali No. 18, Nai Abadi, Hanumangarh Town, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
40. Ramchander S/o Shri Raghuvir Singh, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No. 4, Vill- Saliwala, 5 Mks, Tibbi, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
41. Vikash Kumar S/o Shri Bhura Ram, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Ward No. 13, Talwara Jheel, 5 Arw-A, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
42. Raghuveer Jinagal S/o Shri Srichyand Jinagal, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Ward No. 02, Peerkamaria, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
43. Sandeep Kumar S/o Shri Mahender Kumar, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No. 06, Beharwala, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
44. Sanjay Kumar S/o Shri Rameshwar Lal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 11, Sherekan, Tibbi, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
45. Mangi Ram S/o Shri Ram Kumar, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 01, Vpo Naiwala, Sangaria, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
46. Surender Kumar S/o Shri Krishna Lal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 05, Kharakhera, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
47. Sher Singh S/o Shri Balwant Ram, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, Dhani 11 Mks, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
48. Suresh Kumar S/o Shri Mahendera Kumar, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Ward No. 01, Peerkamria, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
49. Govind Ram S/o Shri Shyokaran Sharma, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 07, Chak 4 Ksp, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
50. Rakesh Kumar S/o Shri Jagdish, Aged About 42 Years, R/



o Ward No. 09, Goswamiyo Ka Mohalla, Hanumangarh (Raj.).

51. Inderjeet S/o Shri Dana Ram, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 14, Talwara Jheel, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
52. Inderjeet Kour W/o Shri Basant Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 06, Rampura 3 Rwd, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
53. Mohammad Goffar S/o Shri Falak Sher, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 03, Naiwala, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
54. Chhotu Ram S/o Shri Pala Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 4, Village - Shyodanpura, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
55. Kuldeep Kaur W/o Shri Jasvinder Singh, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Ward No. 7, Vpo 2 Ksp, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
56. Ramesh Kumar S/o Shri Mahaveer, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Ward No. 5, Gilawala, 3 Ftp, Kulchander, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
57. Manoj Kumar S/o Shri Hemraj, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 12, Meharwala, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
58. Khalil Ahmed S/o Shri Abdul Rasid, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 01, Gudia, Kharakhera, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
59. Umed Kumar S/o Shri Radhyshyam, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 02, Chahuwali, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
60. Iltaf Hussain S/o Shri Alisher Khan, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 5, Talwara Jheel, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
61. Subhash Chander Saini S/o Shri Chunnial, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Ward No. 04, 22 Ntr, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
62. Ram Narayan Sahu S/o Shri Rati Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Near Pani Ki Tanki, Udasar, Nohar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
63. Roopa Swami W/o Shri Diwan Singh, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 15, Partika Bass, Bhookar Ka Baran, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
64. Dasharth Singh S/o Shri Dharu Ram, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Ward No. 01, Deeplana, Barani, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
65. Rakesh Kumar S/o Shri Bhagat Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o House No. 779, Ward No. 10, Farlika



Hanumangarh (Raj.).

66. Mohar Singh S/o Shri Om Prakash, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 12, Near Govt. School, Kalana Tehsil Bhadra, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
67. Mool Chand S/o Shri Rameshwar Lal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 05, Pichakarai, 6 Barani, Phephana, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
68. Rakesh Kumar S/o Shri Mahaveer Prasad, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Nahrana, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
69. Banshidhar S/o Shri Maniram Beniwal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Bhograna, Soorpura, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
70. Sandeep Sharma S/o Shri Sunder Lal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 7, Kharsandi, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
71. Inderpal S/o Shri Matu Ram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o 7 Barani, Gogameri, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
72. Brijpal S/o Shri Kashiram, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Dhandhela, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
73. Surender Pal Bhambhu S/o Shri Ladu Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, Jojasar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
74. Balaram Sunda S/o Shri Ladhu Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Vtc Lalanawas, Nohar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
75. Sunil Kumar S/o Shri Amar Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 7, Ratanpura, 1 Rpm, Nohar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
76. Subash Chander S/o Shri Indraj, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Birkali, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
77. Shyolal S/o Shri Mani Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Ward No. 06, Dhani Bijaraniyan, Jhedasar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
78. Subhash Chander S/o Shri Revant Ram, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 06, Sirangsar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
79. Manju Rathore W/o Shri Karnel Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 11, Soti Badi, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
80. Ramswaroop Khoth S/o Shri Adram Khoth, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 14, Bhomiya Janti Ke Pass, Bhawal Desar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).



81. Surendra Singh S/o Shri Sarwan Singh, Aged About 32 Years, R/o 393, Dhansiya, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
82. Ravi Beniwal S/o Shri Dalip Kumar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 10, Nimla, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
83. Dhanraj Verma S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Vpo Longwala, Ward No. 3, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
84. Jitender Kumar S/o Shri Jaimal Ram, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Ward No. 4, Khothanwali, 31 Mod, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
85. Hardeep Singh S/o Shri Chhinderpal Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ward No. 01, Kalibanga, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
86. Anil Kumar S/o Shri Birbal Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 07, 3 Pwm, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
87. Manoj Kumar S/o Shri Anantram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 08, Hansaliya, 19 Mod, Goluwala, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
88. Omprakash S/o Shri Gurdeep Singh, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Bahlolnagar, Tehsil And District- Hanumangarh (Raj.).
89. Vijay Pal S/o Shri Om Prakash, Aged About 33 Years, R/o H.no. 29, Ward No. 07, 25 Mod, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
90. Naresh Kumar S/o Shri Tikam Chand, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Sahjipura, 37 Ssw, Karanisar, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
91. Kalu Ram S/o Shri Kumbharam, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, 18 Spd-B, Badopal, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
92. Hansraj S/o Shri Deva Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, 6 Bhm, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
93. Naresh Kumar S/o Shri Kala Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, Dabli Bas Molvi, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
94. Jagpreet Singh S/o Shri Sukhveer Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Jhandwala Sikhan, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
95. Jaspreet Singh S/o Shri Balkour Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
96. Amandeep Singh S/o Shri Malkiat Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Indergarh, 5 Idg, Hanumangarh



(Raj.).

97. Subhash Chander S/o Shri Ramkumar, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Ward No. 7, Vpo Rasuwala, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
98. Satpal Singh S/o Shri Surender Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, 7 Mjd, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
99. Arvind Kumar S/o Shri Naresh Kumar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 2, Inderpura, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
100. Mahender Singh S/o Shri Harpal Singh, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Ward No. 2, Ptp (Nukera), Hanumangarh (Raj.).
101. Devender Singh S/o Shri Lakha Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ratanpura, Sangaria, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
102. Tara Chand S/o Shri Krishan Lal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ward No. 11, 8 Mmk (Kikarwali), Hanumangarh (Raj.).
103. Kuldeep Kumar S/o Shri Rajender Kumar, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 7, Haripur, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
104. Surender Kumar S/o Shri Manohar Lal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Jal Vibhag Ke Samne, Deengarh, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
105. Banwari Lal S/o Shri Subhash Chander, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 10, Near Water Works, Hanumangarh (Raj.).
106. Awtar Singh S/o Shri Pritam Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Tali Wali Gali, Santpura, Sangaria, Hanumangarh (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.



5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Hanumangarh.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1620/2023

1. Bhag Chand Balai S/o Shri Nanuram Balai, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Balaiyo Ka Mohalla, Ward No. 8, Asind, Bhilwara (Raj.).
2. Mahadev Lal Bhambi S/o Shri Karma Ram, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Jodhgarh, District Bhilwara (Raj.).
3. Bhupendra Singh Rathore S/o Shri Ladu Singh Rathore, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Sardarpura, Badnor, Bhilwara (Raj.).
4. Rajesh Kumar Vaishnav S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal Vaishnav, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ajad Nagar, Tehsil Asind, Bhilwara (Raj.).
5. Banwari Lal Pareek S/o Shri Kailash Chandra Pareek, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Amesar, Tehsil Asind, District Bhilwara (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector Cum District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Bhilwara.
6. The Chief Executive Officer Cum Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad District Bhilwara.



----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4597/2023

Ladu Ram S/o Kesha Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Nainol,
Tehsil Sanchore, District Jalore (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Jalore.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, District Jalore.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5131/2023

1. Mukesh Kumar Sharma S/o Mal Chand Sharma, Aged About 34 Years, Ram Nagar Bas Ward No. 32 Near Balaji Temple Sardarshahar Churu (Raj.).
2. Baldev Singh Saini S/o Surjit Singh Saini, Aged About 30 Years, Ward No. 19 Motana Kunwa Ka Uttari Bhag Taranagar Churu (Raj.).
3. Vikas Upadhyay S/o Narayan Upadhyay, Aged About 36 Years, Ward No. 5 Oswal Panchayt Bhawan K Pass Taranagar Churu (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
4. Vikas Kumar S/o Pratap Kumar Saharan, Aged About 34 Years, Dhani Asha Churu (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
5. Hanuman Prasad Nauhal S/o Ramavtar Ji, Aged About 28



Years, Vishwakarma Mandir K Pass Ward No. 14
Sardarshahar Churu (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data
Entry Operator (Mgnrega).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum-District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Churu.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum-Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, District Churu.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5241/2023

1. Gajendra Kumar S/o Ladu Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Regaron Ka Bas, Village Baori, Tehsil Baori, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
2. Jasraj Gurjar S/o Tej Raj, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Chand Pol Ke Bahar, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat,



Jaipur, Rajasthan.

5. The District Collector-Cum District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Jodhpur.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, District Jodhpur.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6100/2023

1. Priyavrat Singh Rathore S/o Hameer Singh, Aged About 28 Years, Surya Colony, Naya Gaon, Pali (Raj.) (Posted As District Project Coordinator (Dpc) At Zila Parishad Jalore Date Of Appointment 14.02.2017).
2. Jitendra Singh S/o Gulab Singh, Aged About 31 Years, Village And Post Tikhi, District Jalore (Raj.). (Posted As M And E Cum Mis At Zila Parishad Jalore Date Of Appointment 07-07-2014).
3. Vinod Kumar S/o Shyamlal Rao, Aged About 30 Years, Sanjay Nagar, Jalore (Raj.) (Posted As Accountant At Zila Parishad Jalore Date Of Appointment 22-07-2019).
4. Mishra Ram S/o Devaram, Aged About 32 Years, Village And Post Kanba, Tehsil Ahore, District Jalore (Raj.) (Posted As Block Coordinator At Panchayat Samiti Ahore, District Jalore Date Of Appointment 01-01-2021).
5. Narayan Singh S/o Mukan Singh, Aged About 31 Years, Village And Post Kavtara, Tehsil Bhinmal Jalore (Raj.). (Posted As Block Coordinator At Panchayat Samiti Bhinmal, District Jalore - Date Of Appointment 04-07-2018).
6. Hanuman Ram Suthar S/o Bhalaram Suthar, Aged About 46 Years, Village Haryali, Tehsil Sanchore, District Jalore (Raj.). (Posted As Block Coordinator At Panchayat Samiti Sanchore, District Jalore Date Of Appointment 22-07-2019).
7. Digvijay Singh S/o Nain Singh, Aged About 29 Years, Village And Post Bhagli Sindhlan, District Jalore (Raj.). (Posted As Block Coordinator At Panchayat Samiti Jalore, District Jalore Date Of Appointment 12-02-2018).
8. Narpat Singh S/o Bhagwan Singh, Aged About 33 Years, Village And Post Janvi, Tehsil Chitalwana, District Jalore



(Raj.). (Posted As Block Coordinator At Panchayat Samiti Chitalwana, District Jalore - Date Of Appointment 04-07-2018).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Secretary, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 8Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
6. Director, Central Rural Sanitation Programme, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 12Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
7. The Director, Clean Indian Mission (Rural) (Swachh Bharat Mission), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
8. The District Collector, Jalore.
9. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jalore.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6137/2023

1. Om Prakash Khatik S/o Babroo Ji Khatik, Aged About 44 Years, Baliyon Ki Dhani, Post Bhaludi, Tehsil Bhadesar, District Chittorgarh (Raj.). (Posted As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Chittorgarh, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh Date Of Appointment 30-01-2008).
2. Mohd. Sharik S/o Siraj Mohammed, Aged About 30 Years, Talab Raod, Haidarpra, Badi Sadri, District Chittorgarh



(Raj.). (Posted As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Bari Sadri, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh Date Of Appointment 21-03-2021).

3. Mohan Lal Prajapat S/o Prithvi Raj Prajapat, Aged About 33 Years, In Front Of H.p. Gas Center, Kapasan, Chittorgarh Road, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (Presently Working As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Kapasan, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh Date Of Appointment 01-01-2021).
4. Shiv Dayal Tiwari S/o Ramchandra Tiwari, Aged About 40 Years, Ak-10, Housing Board, Gandhi Nagar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (Presently Working As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Gangrar, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh - Date Of Appointment 13-01-2021).
5. Badrilal Dhakar S/o Bhuralal Ji Dhakar, Aged About 45 Years, Village Mohammedpura, Post Rai, Via Mangrol, Tehsil Nimbahera, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (Presently Working As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Nimbahera, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh - Date Of Appointment - 30-06-2011).
6. Giriraj Singh Shaktawat S/o Kalu Singh Shaktawat, Aged About 40 Years, Shindwari, Post Bhavlima, Via Mangrol, Tehsil And District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (Presently Working As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Bhopalsagar, Zila Parishad, Chittorgarh - Date Of Appointment 12-02-2006).
7. Dadam Chandra Khatik S/o Mitthu Lal Khatik, Aged About 31 Years, Near Masjid, Tehsil Bhadesar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (Presently Working As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Bhadesar, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh - Date Of Appointment 01-01-2021).
8. Harshit Soni S/o Vishnu Soni, Aged About 23 Years, Village And Post Bambori, Tehsil Chhoti Sadri, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (Presently Working As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Dungla, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh Date Of Appointment 01-01-2021).
9. Shambhoo Al Suthar S/o Bhairu Lal Suthar, Aged About 31 Years, Village Bakhtawarpura, Post Uprenda, Tehsil



Rashmi, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (Presently Working As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Rashmi, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh Date Of Appointment 01-01-2021).

10. Suresh Chand Dhakar S/o Rameshwar Lal Dhakar, Aged About 26 Years, Village Ganeshpura, Post Gopalpura, Tehsil Rawatbhata District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (Presently Working As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Bhainsroadgarh, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh - Date Of Appointment 01-01-2021).
11. Bharat Kumar Tiwari S/o Shambhoo Lal Tiwari, Aged About 27 Years, Village Gopal Nagar, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (Presently Working As Block Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Panchayat Samiti, Begun, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Secretary, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 8Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
6. Director, Central Rural Sanitation Programme, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 12Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
7. The Director, Clean Indian Mission (Rural) (Swachh Bharat Mission), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
8. The District Collector, Chittorgarh.
9. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Chittorgarh.



----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6484/2023

1. Kuljeet Singh Bhati S/o Vijay Singh Bhati, Aged About 31 Years, New Colony, Railway Station Ke Pass, Amet, District Rajsamand (Raj.). (Posted As Block Coordinator At Panchayat Samiti, Amet, District Rajsamand - Date Of Appointment 01-05-2020).
2. Gopal Krishan Yadav S/o Sambhu Lal Yadav, Aged About 32 Years, Yadav Mohalla, Delwara, District Rajsamand (Raj.). (Posted As Block Coordinator At Panchayat Samiti, Delwara, District Rajsamand - Date Of Appointment 15-06-2021).
3. Rakesh Paliwal S/o Heera Lal Paliwal, Aged About 30 Years, Sagaliya, Tehsil Khamnor, District Rajsamand (Raj.). (Posted As Computer Operator At Panchayat Samiti, Khamnore Rajsamand - Date Of Appointment 15-12-2013).
4. Shravan Singh Sisodiya S/o Laxman Singh, Aged About 26 Years, Solanki Darwaja Ke Andar, Deogarh, District Rajsamand (Raj.). (Posted As Computer Operator At Panchayat Samiti, Deogarh, District Rajsamand - Date Of Appointment 02-05-2017).
5. Naresh Kumar Choudhary S/o Udai Ram Choudhary, Aged About 35 Years, Joonda, Junda, District Rajsamand (Raj.). (Posted As Computer Operator At Panchayat Samiti, Bhim, District Rajsamand - Date Of Appointment 03-09-2015).
6. Jagdish Chandra S/o Mohanlal, Aged About 31 Years, Goda Ji Ka Gaon, Tehsil Bhim, District Rajsamand (Raj.). (Posted As Computer Operator At Panchayat Samiti, Bhim, District Rajsamand - Date Of Appointment 08-07-2019).
7. Siraj Mohammad S/o Shabbir Mohammad, Aged About 28 Years, Ward No. 6, Gamri, Taladari, District Rajsamand (Raj.). (Posted As Computer Operator At Panchayat Samiti, Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand - Date Of Appointment 01-03-2016).

----Petitioners

Versus



1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Secretary, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 8Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
6. Director, Central Rural Sanitation Programme, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 12Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
7. The Director, Clean Indian Mission (Rural) (Swachh Bharat Mission), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
8. The District Collector, Rajsamand.
9. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rajsamand.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7946/2023

1. Surender Kumar S/o Shri Om Prakash, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Chak 13 Kjd (A) Gram Panchayat Madhodiggi, Tehsil Khajuwala, District - Bikaner (Raj.).
2. Dushyant Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Duga Dutt, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Chak 3 Kjd, Gram Panchayat 22 Kgd, Tehsil - Khajuwala, District - Bikaner (Raj.).
3. Rampal S/o Shri Jeet Singh, Aged About 26 Years, R/o 20 Kyd, 61 Head, Gram Panchayat 17 Kyd, Tehsil - Khajuwala, District - Bikaner (Raj.).
4. Sukhdev S/o Shri Prithviraj Mahar, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Chak 19 Kyd, Gram Panchayat 22 Kyd, Tehsil - Khajuwala, District - Bikaner (Raj.).



5. Deendayal S/o Shri Gajanand Ojha, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Gram Panchayat Nosera, Samrda, Tehsil - Khajuwala, District - Bikaner (Raj.).
6. Narender S/o Shri Khyali Ram, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Chak 28 Kjd, Gram Panchayat Guluwali, Tehsil - Khajuwala, District - Bikaner (Raj.).
7. Abdul Sattar S/o Shri Sardar Khan, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Chak 14 Kjd, Gram Panchayat Nosera Samrda, Tehsil - Khajuwala, District - Bikaner (Raj.).
8. Manish Kumar S/o Shri Lal Chand, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Ward No. 10, Sadar Market, Khajuwala, District - Bikaner (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Bikaner.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, District Bikaner.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9512/2023

1. Hemant Kumar Gour S/o Gopal Lal Gour, Aged About 26 Years, 180, Ward No. 05, Sadar Bazar, Chatawati, District Chittorgarh (Raj.). (Posted As Computer Operator At Panchayat Samiti, Rashmi, District Chittorgarh).
2. Mayank Nagar S/o Manna Lal Nagar, Aged About 28 Years, Panchayat Samiti Chittorgarh District Chittorgarh (Raj.) (Posted As Block Coordinator At Panchayat Samiti



Chittorgarh, District Chittorgarh).

3. Sunita Purbia D/o Mohan Lal Purbia, Aged About 33 Years, Ward No. 9 Purbiyon Ka Mohalla, Gangrar, District Chittorgarh (Raj.). (Posted As Computer Operator At Panchayat Samiti Gangrar, District Chittorgarh).
4. Lokesh Kumar Bharkatya S/o Hasti Mal Bharkatya, Aged About 26 Years, Village Satkhanda, Tehsil Nimbaheda, District Chittorgarh (Raj.). (Posted As M And E - Cum- Mis At Zila Parishad Chittorgarh, District Chittorgarh - Date Of Appointment 02-05-2017).
5. Raman Kumar Swarnkar S/o Krishan Chandra Swarnkar, Aged About 35 Years, 55, Krishan Vatika-Ii, Madhuban Sainti, Chittorgarh, District Chittorgarh (Raj.) (Posted As Accountant At Zila Parishad Chittorgarh, District Chittorgarh).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Secretary, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 8Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
6. Director, Central Rural Sanitation Programme, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 12Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
7. The Director, Clean Indian Mission (Rural) (Swachh Bharat Mission), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.



8. The District Collector, Chittorgarh.
9. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Chittorgarh.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12671/2023

1. Manoj Kumar Sharma S/o Pawan Kumar Sharma, Aged About 34 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 10, Kalana, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.
2. Rakesh Gupta S/o Bhagwan Das Gupta, Aged About 51 Years, Resident Of House No. 236, Sector-9, Vistar (Extension), Hanumangarh Junction, District Hanumangarh.
3. Arun Jassal S/o Laxman Singh, Aged About 35 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 15, Punjabi Mohalla, Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh.
4. Sunil Saini S/o Vijay Singh, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of Near Terapanth Bhawan, Sector No. 12, Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector Cum District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Hanumangarh.
6. The Chief Executive Officer Cum Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, District Hanumangarh.
7. The Chief Medical And Health Officer, Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12842/2023



Gajendra Verma S/o Shri Ramdayal Verma, Aged About 39 Years, Village Balwantpura, Post Khedla, Tehsil Sapotra, District Karauli (Raj.). (Posted As District Coordinator Under Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen), At Zila Parishad Chittorgarh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Secretary, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 8Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
6. Director, Central Rural Sanitation Programme, Ministry Of Rural Development, Department Of Drinking Water And Sanitation, Government Of India, 12Th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Cgo Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
7. The Director, Clean Indian Mission (Rural) (Swachh Bharat Mission), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
8. The District Collector, Chittorgarh.
9. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Chittorgarh.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14496/2023

1. Lokesh Chandra Choubisa S/o Kamla Chandra Choubisa, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Ward No.11, Nichala Phala, Village And Post Mowai, Via Bankora, Tehsil Aspur, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
2. Mukesh Meena S/o Nanuram Meena, Aged About 33



Years, R/o Divadiya Ghati, Katisor. Tehsil Aspur, District Dungarpur (Raj.). Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).

3. Prabhulal Meena S/o Jiva Meena, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Anela Phala, Jashpur, Lilwasa, Via Bankora, Tehsil Aspur, District Dungarpur. Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
4. Vikram Singh Chouhan, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No.08, Bedsa, Dhambola, District Dungarpur. Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
5. Hitendra Singh Rathore, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ratdiya, Tehsil Galiyakot, District Dungarpur. Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega)
6. Mohanpal Singh Rathore, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Ratdiya, Tehsil Galiyakot, District Dungarpur. Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).
7. Ajay Singh Chauhan S/o Vikram Singh Chauhan, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No.03, Village And Post Peeth, Tehsil Simalwara, District Dungarpur. Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega)
8. Pravin Kumr Chourmar S/o Jagdish Chandar Chourmar, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Village And Post Bankda, Tehsil Simalwara, District Dungarpur. Working On The Post Of Data Entry Operator (Mgnrega).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, District Dungarpur.



6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, Dungarpur, District Dungarpur.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14901/2023

1. Bharat Gour S/o Sh. Hemdra Gour, Aged About 35 Years, Resident Choupasani Housing Board, Jodhpur.
2. Neeta Mathur W/o Sh. Kundan Prakash Mathur, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 118 Golf Course Air Force Area, Jodhpur.
3. Shyam Sunder Rajpurohit S/o Sh. Babu Lal Rajpurohit, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Boharon Ka Bas, Bap, Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary To The Government, Department Of Personnel, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Principle Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayat Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. The Director And Special Secretary, Watershed Development And Soil Conservation, Rajasthan, Pant Krishi Bhawan, Jaipur (Raj.)

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5896/2024

1. Anil Kumar Suthar S/o Shri Vardi Chand Suthar, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Mandir Ke Pass, Kotri Kalan, Tehsil Nimbahera, District Chittorgarh (Raj.). (Date Of Appointment 07.11.2011)
2. Govind Singh Kitawat S/o Shri Kesar Singh Kitawat, Aged About 46 Years, R/o 5 Post Office Rashmi, District Chittorgarh (Raj.). (Date Of Appointment 01.04.2012)
3. Anil Vijayvargiya S/o Shri Ashok Vijayvargiya, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Near Old Hospital, Kapasan, District Chittorgarh (Raj.). (Date Of Appointment 01.05.2013)
4. Prem Shankar Jatav S/o Bheru Lal, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Village Jasma, Tehsil Bhupal Sagar, District Chittorgarh (Raj.). (Date Of Appointment 01.04.2016).



-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector, Chittorgarh (Raj.).
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, District Chittorgarh (Raj.).
7. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Nimbahera, District Chittorgarh (Raj.).
8. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Rashmi, District Chittorgarh (Raj.).
9. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Kapasan, District Chittorgarh (Raj.).
10. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Bhupal Sagar, District Chittorgarh (Raj.).

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8367/2024

1. Lal Chand S/o Om Prakash, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Ward No. 39, Prem Nagar, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
2. Chaina Devi W/o Lal Chand, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Village Fathepur Dhaliya, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
3. Shubham Sharma S/o Gauri Shankar Sharma, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 12, Vpo Makkasar, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
4. Pawan Kumar S/o Banta Ram, Aged About 32 Years, Ro Ward No. 1, Khilare Bass, 29 Ssw Fathegarh, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.



5. Laxmi Bai Sharma W/o Om Prakash Sharma, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Petrol Pump Ke Pass Tibbi Road, Ward No. 35 Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
6. Manjit Kaur W/o Sukh Dev Singh, Aged About 43 Years, R/o 13 G.g.r. Murani, Ward No. 5, Tibbi, District Hanumnagarh, Rajasthan.
7. Mamchand S/o Leela Dhar, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Reegar Mohalla, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
8. Keshav Kumar S/o Vinod Kumar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Chak 9 Ksp, Makan No. 8, Ward No. 2, Gram Panchayat 4 Ksp, Tehsil Tibbi, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
9. Ram Kumar S/o Bhadar Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Nai Abadi Gali No. 2, Ward No. 24, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
10. Rakhi Saini D/o Ramswroop Saini, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Ward No. 28 (New Ward No. 350, Railway Station Ke Pass Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
11. Pawan Kumar Sharma S/o Ravi Kant Sharma, Aged About 39 Years, R/o House No. 151, Sectore-6, Near Kalian Dham Ashram, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
12. Akshy S/o Sanjay, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Ward No. 36 Shorgar Mohalla, Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
13. Saroj Devi W/o Ravish Kumar, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Mukhrji Colony, Ward No. 44 Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
14. Rajbala W/o Subhash, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Mukhrji Colony, Ward No. 44 Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
15. Nirmala W/o Dharmendra, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Mukhrji Colony, Ward No. 33 Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
16. Ashok Kumar S/o Hansraj, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Ward No. 13, Vpo Pakka Sharana 24 Llwa, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
17. Seema Soni W/o Suresh Kumar, Aged About 47 Years, R/



o Ward No. 10, Shankar Kirana Store Ke Pass Gandhi Nagar, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

18. Julefa Bano W/o Mohammad Aalam, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 33, Pram Nagar, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
19. Indra Kumari W/o Subhash, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 20, Panjabi Mohalla Bus Ke Piche, Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
20. Subhash S/o Ram Pratap, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Ward No. 20, Panjabi Mohalla Bus Ke Piche, Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
21. Sharan Jeet W/o Jadgeet Singh, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 31, Prem Nagar, Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
22. Ramesh Kumar S/o Rajender Singh, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Vpo Bhirani, Tehsil Bhadara, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
23. Ravinder Kumar S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Chak 10 Cdrc (A) Kulchander, Tehsil Tibbi, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
24. Shishpal S/o Dhanraj, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Gali No. 5, Ward No. 32, Surya Nagar, Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
25. Mahender Dhaka S/o Om Prakash Dhaka, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Chak 9 Ird Khetanwali Dhani, Vpo 15, 16 Kwd, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
26. Aaditya Vardhan S/o Shri Gori Shankar, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Sbi Bank Ke Pass, Valmiki Chowk, Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
27. Vikas Singh S/o Jagdish Singh, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 16, Rodawali, Hanumangarh Junction, District Hanumangarh,
28. Nutan W/o Birju Chaudhary, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 15, Shetiya Colony, Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary,



Department Of Medical Health And Services, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Director (Public Health), Medical And Health Services, Rajasthan, Tilak Marg, Swasthya Bhawan, Jaipur.
5. The Director (Non-Gazetted), Medical And Health Services, Rajasthan, Tilak Marg, Swasthya Bhawan, Jaipur.
6. The Chief Medical And Health Officer, Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8389/2024

1. Chandrashekhar Vaishnav S/o Shri Liladhar Vaishnav, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Shastri Nagar, Devgarh, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
2. Krishna Chouhan D/o Shri Hari Singh, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Keshring Ghati, Rajputo Ka Mohalla, Ward No. 12, Devgarh, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan
3. Anand Kunwar Solanki W/o Shri Nahar Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Rajputo Ka Mohalla, Ward No. 12, Devgarh, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan
4. Pallavi Joshi D/o Bharat Kumar Upadhyay, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Inside Maru Darwaja, Devgarh, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
5. Fateh Singh S/o Shri Nain Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Mandawara, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
6. Bharat Singh S/o Shri Bhanwar Singh, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ratadiya Ka Choda, Devgarh, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
7. Rekha Bhati W/o Shri Kanti Lal, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Ward No. 15, Devgarh, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
8. Bheru Lal Regar S/o Shri Hira Lal, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Behind Ramdev Mandir, Devgarh, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
9. Manoj Kumar Acharya S/o Shri Narayan Lal Acharya, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Sadar Bazar, Pipli Acharyan,



Mahalon Ki Pipli, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.

10. Shweta Dadhich, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Pipli Acharyan, Mahalon Ki Pipli, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
11. Laxmi Lal S/o Shri Har Lal, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Dhanyala, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
12. Govind Paliwal S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal Paliwal, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Sundarcha, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
13. Ibrahim Mohammad Rangrej S/o Chand Mohammad, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Nilgaro Ka Mohalla, Ward No. 3, Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
14. Paravin Banu W/o Ibrahim Mohammad, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Nilgaro Ka Mohalla, Ward No. 3, Bhilwara, Rajasthan
15. Mohammad Khalid S/o Shri Mohammad Hussain, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Main Market Kelwa, Kelwa, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
16. Prabhu Lal Sharma S/o Shri Panna Lal Sharma, Aged About 51 Years, R/o Sakroda, Binol, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
17. Meena Soni W/o Shri Nand Lal Soni, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Soni Sadan, Holithan, Amet, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
18. Ramesh Verma S/o Shri Mangi Lal, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Chhipo Ka Mohalla, Amet, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
19. Anu Sharma D/o Shri Purushottam Das, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Behind Mahi Ram Mandir, Papa Colony, Amet, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
20. Sunil Sen S/o Shri Shanker Lal, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Naio Ki Pawtia, Amet, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
21. Prem Singh Solanki S/o Shri Gen Singh, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Gajsingh Ji Ki Bhagal, Bikawas, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
22. Madhu Singh S/o Shri Jay Singh, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Gajsingh Ji Ki Bhagal, Bikawas, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
23. Vishnu Kanwar W/o Shri Vane Singh, Aged About 50



Years, R/o Rawala Chowk, Sela Gurha, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.

24. Vijay Singh S/o Shri Narayan Singh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Kishanpuriya, Ghosundi, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
25. Harishanker Sen S/o Shri Sohan Lal Sen, Aged About 46 Years, Resident Of Village And Tehsil Railmagra, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
26. Kishan Lal Jat S/o Shri Ratan Lal Jat, Aged About 43 Years, Resident Of Village Khad Bamaniya, Block Railmagra, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
27. Lokesh Singh Solanki S/o Shri Bhojraj Singh Solanki, Aged About 36 Years, Resident Of Village Jodhapuriya, Block Railmagra, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
28. Sohan Lal Mali S/o Shri Mangi Lal Mali, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Hava Mangri, Khamnor, Nathdwara, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
29. Pawan Kumar Tak S/o Shri Ram Chandra Tak, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Paramaro Ki Bhagal, Khamnor, Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
30. Ram Singh Solanki S/o Shri Heer Singh Solanki, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Kundal, Sema, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
31. Poonam Vyas D/o Shri Satyanarayan Vyas, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Teliyon Ka Talab, Nathdwara, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
32. Pooja Suthar D/o Shri Laxminarayan Suthar, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Teliyon Ka Talab, Nathdwara, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
33. Jamna Lal Balai S/o Shri Mangi Lal Balai, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Gayari Mohalla, Sema, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
34. Manju Sain W/o Shri Mahesh Kumar Nai, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Sema Ka Choraya, Sema, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
35. Ratan Singh Solanki S/o Shri Kishan Singh Solanki, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Lawariya, Sema, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.



36. Bhanwar Lal Gayri S/o Shri Himmat Lal, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Sema, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
37. Khem Chand Sharma S/o Shri Omprakash Sharma, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Madarpur Road, Govind Nagar, Bharatpur, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
38. Teja Ram S/o Shri Tila Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 2, Gundi Ka Bhilwara, Taladari, Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
39. Udai Lal Bheel S/o Shri Gheesaram, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Chambua, Serjela, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
40. Khaman Lal Bhil S/o Shri Nana Lal Bhil, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 03, Matara, Gundi Ka Bhilwara, Hamerpal, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
41. Devi Lal S/o Shri Khema Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ward No. 2, Nichali Bhilo Ki Bhagal, Kakarwa, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
42. Tanisha Kumahar D/o Shri Keshar Lal, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Van Vibhag Kelwara, Kelwara, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
43. Dimple Sharma D/o Shri Mangi Lal Sharma, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Kolpipali, Kelwara, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
44. Bheru Singh S/o Shri Ranjeet Singh, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Uparala Vas, Kookar Khera, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
45. Dinesh Kumar S/o Shri Prem Raj, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Godaji Ka Gaon, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
46. Narendra Singh S/o Shri Teel Singh, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Todgarh, Gujargamma, Beawar, Ajmer, Rajasthan.
47. Dali Devi W/o Shri Prakash Singh, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Kali Ghati, Kookra, Bhim, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
48. Tippu Kumari D/o Shri Devendra Pal Singh, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Kali Ghati, Kookra, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
49. Tila Ram S/o Shri Noja Ram, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Gundi Ka Bhilwara, Dharam Nadi, Ward No. 3, Hamerpal,



District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.

50. Shankar Lal Bhil S/o Shri Babra Ram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Vangres, Kambora, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Additional Chief Secretary, Rajasthan Council Of School Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Secretary, Department Of Education (Group-1), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The State Project Director, Rajasthan Council Of School Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
6. The Additional State Project Director-1, Rajasthan Council Of School Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
7. The Additional District Project Coordinator, Samagra Shiksha, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
8. The Additional District Project Coordinator, Samagra Shiksha, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
9. The Additional District Project Coordinator, Samagra Shiksha, District Ajmer, Rajasthan.
10. The District Education Officer, School Education, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
11. The District Education Officer, School Education, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
12. The District Education Officer, School Education, District Ajmer, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9707/2024

Maya Kumari Yadav W/o Shri Jagdish Chandra, D/o Shri Shanker Lal Yadav, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Gayawada, Tehsil Sagwada, District Dungarpur (Raj.) (Presently, Working As Data Entry Assistant, Panchayat Samiti, Sagwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.)



-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector Cum District Programme Coordinator, Dungarpur (Raj.).
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, District Dungarpur (Raj.).
7. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Sagwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.).

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11277/2024

1. Sunil Kumar Tailor S/o Shri Shanti Lal Tailor, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Pathari Mohalla, Dag, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
2. Aashish Kumar Tiwari S/o Shri Avanti Lal, Aged About 46 Years, R/o 172, Jhanda Chowk, Station Road, Kolvi, Jhalawar (Raj.).
3. Imtiyaz Mohammad S/o Shri Yunus Mohammad, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Nai Abadi Idgah Pathari, Dag, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
4. Suraj Mal Tailor S/o Shri Vishnu Kumar Tailor, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Nai Mohalla Dag, District Jhalawar (Raj)
5. Subrat Saraswat S/o Shri Rajendra Prasad Saraswat, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Neem Chowk, Master Gali, Dag, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
6. Durga Shankar S/o Shri Ram Narayan Vyas, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Brahmano Ka Mohalla, District Jhalawar (Raj.).



7. Meharban Singh S/o Shri Man Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Tai Ka Khera, Gurha, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
8. Tofan S/o Shri Dev Kanya, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Gurjar Mohalla, Narayan Khera, Bhawani Mandi, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
9. Nitesh Jain S/o Ramesh Jain, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Chhipa Mohalla, Aldera, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
10. Niraj Kumar S/o Shri Ramchandra Kahar, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Bandory Chowk Ke Pass, Aldera, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
11. Manoj Kumar S/o Shri Ashok Kumar, Aged About 33 Years, R/o 51, Road Ke Pass, Molakya Khurd, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
12. Vijay Karn S/o Shri Brij Kishor, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Karn Mohalla, Near Karn Mandir, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
13. Lalit Pareta S/o Shri Dayal Pareta, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Nai Ke Mandir Ke Pass, Aklera, District Jhalawar (Raj.).
14. Kanhiya Lal Patidar S/o Shri Jagdish Chand Patidar, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Patidar Mohalla, Jhalarapatan, District Jhalawar (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum-District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Jhalawar.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum-Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, Jhalawar.

-----Respondents



D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15816/2024

1. Karn Singh S/o Shri Manak Singh Ji, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Basni Tamboliya, Magra Punjala, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Himmat Singh Rajput S/o Shri Govind Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ram Rahim Nagar, Kachhi Basti, Mormangri, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
3. Umeda Ram Meghwal S/o Shri Khakhu Ram Meghwal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o 288, Village Roopsi, Tehsil And District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.
4. Jagmohan Meena S/o Bajrang Lal Meena, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Nimoda Station, Village Kherla, Tehsil Sapotra, District Karauli, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Elementary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Secretary, Rajasthan Rajya Pathya Pustak Mandal, Office At 2-2-A Pustak Bhawan, Jhalana Dungari, Jaipur.
3. Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. M/s Mandore Security Service And Men Power Suppliers, Through Its Sole Proprietor Arjun Singh Gehlot, Office At Sainiks Nagar, Bhinyali Bera, Mandore, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
6. M/s Paliwal Associates, Through Its Sole Proprietor Gopal Paliwal, Office At C-73, Near Saint Paul School, Pratap Nagar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
7. M/s Sh Aainath Security Services, Through Its Sole Proprietor, Office At Shop No. 73, Ps Jaisalmer Complex, Airforce Circle, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.
8. Aarvansh Infotech Opc Pvt Ltd, Through Its Sole Director Varsha Lipi Singhal, Office At, 48 Mishra Mohalla, City, Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents



D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16094/2024

Hukamdeen S/o Shri Ata Mohammed, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Kikarwali, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Department Of Medical Health And Services, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Director (Public Health), Medical And Health Services, Rajasthan, Swasthya Bhawan, C-Scheme, Tilak Marg, Jaipur.
5. The Director (Non-Gazetted), Medical Health Services, Rajasthan, Tilak Marg, Swasthya Bhawan, Jaipur.
6. The Chief Medical And Health Officer, Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18220/2024

1. Ved Prakash S/o Nand Ram, Aged About 32 Years, Ward No. 2, Tehsil - Sangariya, District - Hanumangarh. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Tibbi, Hanumangarh.
2. Rajkumar S/o Nemichand, Aged About 28 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 4, Oswal School Key Piche, Nohar. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Nohar, Hanumangarh.
3. Khilanand Sharma S/o Ramdutt Sharma, Aged About 48 Years, Resident Of Hanumangarh Town. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Hanumangarh.
4. Vinod Kumar S/o Ramesh Kumar, Aged About 39 Years, Resident Of Tehsil Bhadra. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Bhadra, Hanumangarh.
5. Rajpal S/o Poorna Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Rawatsar. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Rawatsar, Hanumangarh.
6. Satpal S/o Mahaveer Prasad, Aged About 31 Years, Resident Of Peelibanga. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Peelibanga, Hanumangarh.
7. Rajesh Suthar S/o Harlal, Aged About 34 Years, Resident



Of Ward No. 1, Sadulshehar, Shri. Ganganagar. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Sadulshehar, Shri. Ganganagar.

8. Dinesh Kumar S/o Surender Kumar, Aged About 31 Years, Resident Of 8 Eea 2 Rb, Tehsil Padampur, Shri. Ganganagar. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Padampur, Shri. Ganganagar.
9. Harjinder Singh S/o Krishan Lal, Aged About 28 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 3, 2 Alal, Dalewala, Shri. Ganganagar. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer Shri. Ganganagar.
10. Bhupendra Singh S/o Charanjeet Singh, Aged About 37 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 5, 23 S.s.b, Ghadsana. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer Ghadsana, Shri. Ganganagar.
11. Chagan Lal S/o Puran Ram, Aged About 25 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 6, Kikrawali. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer Rai Singh Nagar, Shri. Ganganagar.
12. Gagandeep Singh S/o Sarjeet Singh, Aged About 27 Years, Resident Of 19 Ptd, Chak 75, Np. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer - Vijaynagar, Shri. Ganganagar.
13. Sandeep Kumar S/o Kirshan Lal, Aged About 36 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 2, 2 Alal, Dhalewala. Presently Posted At District Education Officer (Headquarter - Primary), Shri. Ganganagar.
14. Lalchand S/o Keshra Ram, Aged About 39 Years, Resident Of V.p.o - 2, Fc Mukan, Tehsil - Karanpur. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer Shri. Karanpur, Shri. Ganganagar.
15. Manju Yadav W/o Arvind Yadav, Aged About 35 Years, Resident Of 5 P, 6 P, Anoopgarh. Presently Posted At District Education Officer (Primary) Anoopgarh.
16. Narendra Kumar S/o Satish Kumar, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 4/7, Kedar Chowk, Purani Abadi, Shri Ganganagar. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer - Anoopgarh.
17. Jitendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shree Suresh Chand Jangid, Aged About 39 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 27, Banshipuri Colony, Jagatpura, Jaipur.
18. Raja Ram Gupta S/o Ashwind Kumar Gupta, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Latta House Key Pass, Ramnagar,



Udayi Mod, Karoli. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer Nadoti, Karoli.

19. Vinod Kumar S/o Babu Lal, Aged About 39 Years, Resident Of Bayosa Ka Kshetra, Post - Bera, District - Pali. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Bali, Pali.
20. Chetan Prakash S/o Durga Shankar, Aged About 39 Years, Resident Of Nazdik Rajkiya Chikitsalya, Marwar Junction, District - Pali. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer Marwar Junction, Pali.
21. Surendra Kumar S/o Teekamram, Aged About 41 Years, Resident Of 365, Nayi Basti, Itandara, Mertiyan, Tehsil - Rani, District - Pali. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Rani, Pali.
22. Durgashankar Mali Son Of Pokar Lal Mali, Khanpura, Tehsil Nainwan, Bundi. Presently Posted At Chief Block Education Officer, Bundi.
23. Raghuveer Prajapat S/o Ramkishan, Aged About 38 Years, Vtc Sangawada, Po Bamori, Sub District Bundi, District Bundi. Presently Posted At District Education Officer Headquarter Primary Bundi.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Additional Chief Secretary, Finance Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, School Education And Language Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
4. Administrative Secretarty, School Education And Language Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
5. Joint Secretary Cum Commissioner, Mid-Day Meal, Office Of Commissioner, Mid-Day Meal Program Navjeevan Sahkari Bazaar, (Uphaar) First Floor, Bhawani Singh Marg, Jaipur.
6. District Education Officer, (Primary), Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
7. Chief Block Education Officer, Tibbi, District - Hanumangarh.
8. Chief Block Education Officer, Nohar, District - Hanumangarh.
9. Chief Block Education Officer, District - Hanumangarh.



10. Chief Block Education Officer, Bhadra, Hanumangarh, District- Hanumangarh.
11. Chief Block Education Officer, Ravatsar, District - Hanumangarh.
12. Chief Block Education Officer, Peelibanga, District - Hanumangarh.
13. District Education Officer, (Primary), Shri Ganganagar, Rajasthan.
14. Chief Block Education Officer, Sadulshehar, District - Shri, Ganganagar.
15. Chief Block Education Officer, Padampur, District - Shri. Ganganagar.
16. Chief Block Education Officer, Ghadsana, District - Shri. Ganganagar.
17. Chief Block Education Officer, Rai Singh Nagar, District - Shri. Ganganagar.
18. Chief Block Education Officer, Vijaynagar, District - Ganganagar.
19. District Education Officer, (Primary Education), Shri. Ganganagar.
20. Chief Block Education Officer, Shri. Karanpur, District- Shri. Ganganagar.
21. District Education Officer, (Primary), Anoopgarh.
22. Chief Block Education Officer, Anoopgarh, District - Anoopgarh.
23. District Education Officer, (Primary), Karauli, Rajasthan.
24. District Education Officer, (Primary), Pali.
25. Chief Block Education Officer, Bali, District - Pali.
26. Chief Block Education Officer, Marwar Junction, District - Pali.
27. Chief Block Education Officer, Rani, District - Pali.
28. District Education Officer, Primary, Bundi.
29. Chief Block Education Officer, Nainwa, Bundi.

----Respondents

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19593/2024

1. Saleem Khan S/o Shri Jalaldin, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 4., Gram Panchayat Nachna, Panchayat Samiti Nachna District Jaisalmer (Raj.).
2. Heera Ram S/o Shri Ganga Ram, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Meghwal Sakdiya Basti, Nachana, District Jaisalmer.



3. Paras Ram S/o Shri Sagta Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Meghwalo Ka Bas, Away, Jaisalmer.
4. Maga Ram S/o Shri Rama Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Baramsar With Baisakhi, Jaisalmer.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Commissioner (Egs), Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Collector-Cum- District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Jaisalmer.
6. The Chief Executive Officer-Cum- Additional District Programme Coordinator, Egs, Zila Parishad, Jaisalmer.
7. The Vikas Adhikari, Panchayat Samiti, Nachana, District Jaisalmer

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Bhaleria Mr. Nikhil Dungawat Mr. Dilip Kumar Mr. Pawan Singh Mr. Harsh Gupta Mr. Deepak Jangid Mr. Gajendra Singh Mr. Deepak Pareek
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Panwar, AAG with Mr. Ravindra Puri Goswami & Mr. Ayush Gehlot Mr. Deepak Chandak & Mr. Sukhdev Sharma for Mr. B.L. Bhati, AAG Ms. Mehali Mehta for Mr. S.S. Rathore, AAG Ms. Aditi Sharma for Mr. N.S. Rajpurohit, AAG Mr. Mahaveer Bishnoi, AAG with Mr. Harshvardhan Singh Chundawat



HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUNNURI LAXMAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Order

Reserved on 05/08/2025

Pronounced on 26/08/2025

Per Mr. Bipin Gupta, J:

1. By way of these writ petitions, a common issue has been raised by the petitioners, therefore, with the consent of all the parties, all the petitions are heard together and are being disposed of by this common order.

2. Brief facts as stated in a bunch of writ petitions being led by D.B. Civil Writ Petition No.11737/2024 are that the petitioners are individuals who joined the services as "Data Entry Operator/ Computer Operator" through placement agencies under the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act Scheme (hereinafter referred to as 'MGNREGA Scheme') against posts created under schemes by Administrative Department with the concurrence of Finance Department. The respondent State thereafter promulgated the law governing the contractual employees in order to regulate the services and thus for the said purpose, a notification was issued on 11.01.2022, whereby, the rules namely *Rajasthan Contractual Hiring to Civil Posts Rules, 2022* (hereinafter referred to as the 'Rules of 2022') were promulgated.

3. The case of the petitioners, at the outset, is that having been appointed on contractual basis under government schemes, the petitioners must be declared as covered under the Rules of 2022 by harmoniously reading Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 or in



alternate, Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 may be declared as *ultra vires* as being violative of the principle of equality enshrined under Article 14 of the Constitution of India. The respondent Authorities have arbitrarily and without any intelligible differentia has discriminated between the contractual employees working under schemes having been appointed through two different modes, i.e., one by the Government directly on contractual basis and another through placement agency, on contractual basis.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the mode of appointment cannot be a ground to deny benefit of the Rules of 2022 as framed by the respondents. Counsel further submitted that the petitioners carry out the same nature of work and duties therefore they are at parity with the contractual employees who have been working under the scheme on contractual basis employed directly through the Government.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submit that as per Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, per se there is no distinction between the employees appointed on contractual basis either through a placement agency or directly through Government. Further, the said Rule provides that the same shall be applicable only to the posts created by the Administrative Department with the concurrence of the Finance Department and that the selection to the said posts ought to have been made on the contractual basis only after inviting applications through public advertisement. Learned counsel for the petitioners thus submitted that the petitioners have been selected and appointed to the posts created by the Administrative Department with the concurrence of Finance Department and the said selection was duly made by way of



inviting applications through public advertisement. Therefore, the petitioners are covered under Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners, in the alternate, submitted that if the petitioners are found not covered under the ambit of Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, and the said benefits are only applicable to the individuals who have been selected by the Government directly through public advertisement and not to those who have been appointed through any inter-mediate employer, i.e. a placement agency, then in that circumstances, Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 may be declared as *ultra vires* and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and against the objectives laid down in the Preamble to the Rules of 2022.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners while referring to the Preamble to the Rules of 2022 submitted that the Departmental Schemes/Projects/Central Sponsored Scheme/Projects undertaken by the State Government for social and economic development, require specialists, experts and man-power for its effective implementation and the post for such do not exist in any other Service Rules in the Government for regulating appointments and conditions of service of such posts. Therefore, to regulate the services which are not against any regular post and are not governed by any Service Rules are required to be covered under the Rules of 2022. Counsel for the petitioners thus submitted that the distinction made by the respondent Authorities without any intelligible differentia is therefore *prima facie* arbitrary and discriminatory and contrary to the object of the Rules also.

8. Per contra learned counsels for the respondents have contended that since the petitioners have not been appointed by



the Government directly on contractual basis but through placement agency, therefore they are not covered under the ambit of Rules of 2022.

9. Counsel for the respondents further submits that, since no advertisement was issued prior to the appointment of the petitioners through the placement agency, the pre-condition as required under Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 has not been complied with. Therefore, the petitioners do not fulfill the said mandatory condition and, as such, cannot be covered under the Rules of 2022. It has been further argued by the respondents that the rules are neither beyond the legislative competence nor are against the fundamental rights. It has been further argued that the necessary ingredient under Rule 3 is appointment through public advertisement and thus categorization is having an intelligible differentia which cannot be said to be discriminatory. In support of his submission learned counsel for the respondents have relied upon the judgment passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd. Vs. Mahendra Prasad Jakhmola & Ors.; (2019) 13 SCC 82*** to buttress their case.

10. Learned counsel for the petitioners in rejoinder to the arguments advanced by the respondents submitted that there is no word under Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 which *prima facie* excludes the persons appointed on contractual basis through placement agency. Thus, the interpretation adopted by the respondents is misconceived and contrary to the existing Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022.



11. Learned counsel for the petitioners, in support of his contention, has placed reliance on the judgment passed by the constitutional Bench of Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Mangalore Ganesh Beedi Works Etc. Vs. Union of India; AIR 1974 SC 1832** wherein the issue before the Court was that who would be the principal employer or real master in case of an employee appointed through a contractor in a manufacturing industry. While specifically dealing with the challenge to provisions of Beedi and Cigar Workers (Conditions of Employment) Act, 1966, the Hon'ble Apex Court held as under:-

"37. The contention on behalf of the petitioners and the appellants is that in common law a person cannot be made responsible for actions of an independent contractor and that he should not be penalised for the contravention of any law by an independent contractor is to be examined in view of the language employed in defining the expressions contract labour, contract, establishment, employer and principal employer. It was particularly said that when home workers were given tobacco and leaves directly by the manufacturers the home workers would not be under their control and the manufacturers should not be made responsible for providing any amenities or leave facilities for those home workers.

40. The present legislation is intended to achieve welfare benefits and amenities for the labour. That is why the manufacturer or trade marks holder becomes the principal employer though he engages contract labour through the contractor. He cannot escape liability imposed on him by the statute by stating that he has engaged the labour through a contractor to do the work and therefore he is not responsible for the labour. The Contractor in such a case employs the labour only for and on behalf of the principal employer. The contractor being an agent of the principal employer for manufacturing beedis is amenable to the control of principal employer. That is why the statute says that even if the contract engages labour without the knowledge of the employer the principal employer is answerable for such labour because the labour is engaged for or on his behalf. The act and the Rules thereunder prescribe maintenance of log books and registers. Where the manufacturer or the trade mark holder engages labour directly, the manufacturer maintains registers and lo books.



Where the manufacturer engages contract labour through a contractor the manufacturer will require the contract or to maintain such log books of the contract labour and through such books and registers will keep control over not only the contractors but also the labour.

41. The principal employer is the real master of the business. He has real control of the business. He is held liable because he exercises supervision and control over the labour employed for and on his behalf by contractor. The benefits of the welfare measure reach the workmen only by direct responsibility of the principal employer. The basis of the welfare measure is in the interest of the workers with regard to their health, safety and wages including benefits of leave and family life. The Bombay High Court and the Kerala High Court struck down the provisions contained in sections 2(g) (a) and 2(m) of the Act in regard to the principal employer being liable for contract labour as an unreasonable restriction on the manufacturer's right to carry on business. This view proceeds on the basis that the principal employer is liable for acts of the independent contractor. The Act does not define an independent contractor, nor mention the independent contractor.' The Act speaks of the principal employer in relation to contract labour and employer in relation to other labour. When a contractor engages labour for or on behalf of another person that other person becomes the principal employer. The Attorney General rightly said that if it were established on the facts of any particular case that a person engaged labour for himself he would be the principal employer of contract labour. In such an instance there is no question of agency on behalf of another person."

12. Learned counsel for the petitioners also placed reliance on the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Hussainbhai Vs. The Alath Factory Tezhilali Union & Ors; AIR 1978 SC 1410*** wherein the principle regarding the employer-employee relationship and the fact that who shall be the principal employer, has been reiterated by the Court.

13. Learned counsel for the petitioners further relied on the case of ***Jaggo Vs. Union of India & Ors.; AIR Online 2024 SC 870*** wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court has deprecated the appointment of contractual employees by the Government Departments as the



long contractual employment leads to contravention of International Labour Standards which in turn also exposes the organization to legal challenges and undermines the morale of the employee. The Hon'ble Apex Court while reiterating the said position stated that by ensuring fair employment practices, Government Institutions can reduce the burden of unnecessary litigation, promote job security and uphold and embody the principles of justice and fairness in the system. The said approach aligns with International Standards and also sets a positive precedent for the private sector to follow, thereby contributing to the overall betterment of the labour practices in the country.

The relevant paragraph of the judgment is reproduced herein below:-

"28. In light of these considerations, in our opinion, it is imperative for government departments to lead by example in providing fair and stable employment. Engaging workers on a temporary basis for extended periods, especially when their roles are integral to the organization's functioning, not only contravenes international labour standards but also exposes the organization to legal challenges and undermines employee morale. By ensuring fair employment practices, government institutions can reduce the burden of unnecessary litigation, promote job security, and uphold the principles of justice and fairness that they are meant to embody. This approach aligns with international standards and sets a positive precedent for the private sector to follow, thereby contributing to the overall betterment of labour practices in the country."

14. Learned counsel for the petitioners have also relied upon the judgment passed by this Court in the case of **Mitendra Singh Rathore & 121 Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan and Ors; 2013 (4) WLC (Raj.) 523**, to buttress their claim and arguments.



Lastly, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the contractual employees appointed through the placement agency in the schemes/projects, through placement agency may be covered under the Rules of 2022 or in alternate, the rule may be declared as *ultra vires* and discriminatory as it violates the principles of equality enshrined under Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

15. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book of the writ petition and the judgments cited by the counsel.

16. The following questions arise for consideration of this Court :-

(a) Whether Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 has to be read harmoniously in a manner to include the persons appointed on contractual basis through the placement agency or not?

(b) Whether Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 excluding the persons appointed on contractual basis through placement agency discriminates petitioners and therefore violates Article 14 of the Constitution of India?

17. Before adverting to decide the issues as framed in Para 13, this Court deems it appropriate to refer the order dated 21.05.2024 passed in one of the connected writ petition No.4597/2023 whereby following questions were framed and the reply was sought from the respondents;-

"1. Learned Additional Advocate General is directed to respond the following points:-

a) Whether the petitioners entered into the arena of service strictly in accordance with the norms laid down by the State Government/placement agency.

b) Whether the petitioners were having necessary eligibility or qualification required for the post.



- c) *Whether prolonged employment of the petitioners gives them a right to be treated at par with other contractual employees.*
- d) *whether the petitioners, who have prolonged service record, have discharged the same duties as that of similarly situated contractual employees holding the same post.*
- e) *whether the State has any scheme to regularize the persons entered into the service in accordance with the norms of the State and have entered the service with the same job chart for the same working hours and for the same tenure as that of the contractual employees."*

18. In response to those questions, an affidavit was filed by the respondent – State whereby following was replied by letter dated

16.07.2024:-

बिंदु संख्या	माननीय न्यायालय के आदेश के बिंदु	जवाब
A	whether the petitioners entered into the arena of service strictly in accordance with the norms laid down by the state Government placement agency.	हाँ
B	whether the petitioners were having necessary eligibility or qualification required for the post.	हाँ
C	whether prolonged employment of the petitioners gives them a right to be treated at par with other contractual employees.	विभाग के पत्र दिनांक 05.01.2023 के संबंध में निर्देश है कि " एजेन्सी के माध्यम से अथवा जोब बेसिस पर कार्यरत कार्मिकों को इन नियमों के अन्तर्गत नहीं लिया जायेगा। लोक विज्ञापन के माध्यम से चयनित संविदा कर्मियों को ही इन नियमों के अन्तर्गत लाया जायेगा " पिटिशनर की सेवाएँ एजेन्सी के माध्यम से ली गईं।
D	whether the petitioners, who have prolonged service record, have discharged the same duties as that of similarly situated contractual employees holding the same post.	प्लेसमेन्ट एजेंसी के माध्यम से पिटिशनर की सेवाएँ डाटा एन्ट्री आपरेटर ली गई तथा उनके द्वारा भी संविदा कार्मिकों के समान ही कार्य किया जा रहा है।
E	whether the State has any scheme to regularize the persons entered into the service in accordance with the norms of the State and have entered the	पिटिशनर प्लेसमेन्ट एजेन्सी के माध्यम से कार्यरत है तथा प्लेसमेन्ट एजेन्सी के माध्यम से कार्यरत कार्मिकों को Rajasthan



	service with the same job chart for the same working hours and for the same tenure as that of the contractual employees.	Contractual Hiring to civil Post Rules 2022 के नियमों अधीन नहीं लिया जायेगा। लोक विज्ञापन के माध्यम से संविदा कार्मिकों को ही इन नियमों के अन्तर्गत लाया जायेगा।
--	--	---

19. Perusal of the reply indicates that the respondent admits the fact that the petitioners had entered into the services strictly in accordance with the norms laid down by the State Government/ placement agency.

20. Further, the State admitted the fact that petitioners were having necessary eligibility/qualification required for the post. Moreover, the respondents have even admitted the fact that the person employed through placement agency as well as the person employed by the State directly under contractual employment carry out the same nature of work and duties. However, in the same breadth, in spite of the three admissions as indicated above, the respondent – State has denied the benefit of the Rules of 2022 to the petitioners on the basis of the departmental letter dated 05.01.2023 whereby, the contractual employee appointed through the placement agencies were decided not to be considered under the Rules of 2022 and only the persons appointed on contractual basis directly through public advertisement were to be considered.

21. In light of the affidavit filed by the respondents, this Court deems it appropriate to evaluate the scheme under the Rules of 2022. By promulgation of Rules of 2022, the State Government had taken a decision to deprecate the practice of hiring the persons on contractual basis and the persons who were already working on contractual basis have been brought under the Rules to be regulated by the same. Under the scheme of the Act, after



the implementation of the Rules of 2022, hiring on contractual basis has to be done according to the procedure provided under the said Rules.

22. Further, there is nothing in the Rules which states that the persons already hired on contractual basis in accordance with Rule 3 under the schemes, prior to the implementation Rules of 2022, are to be discharged.

23. However, the State in its reply had denied the benefit of the Rules of 2022 to the petitioners on two counts, one that the petitioners have been appointed through the placement agency, and secondly that the petitioners have not been appointed through issuance of a public advertisement.

24. This Court is of the opinion that according to Rule 3, the necessary consideration for application of Rules of 2022, is per se that a person should have been appointed under the scheme. Rule 3 of Rules of 2022 is reproduced as under:

"3. Scope and application. – *These rules shall apply to posts created by the Administrative Department with the concurrence of the Finance Department for implementation of any project or scheme and to person appointed on such posts in accordance with the provisions of these rules or person working on the post so created on contract basis on the date of commencement of these rules provided his/her selection was made after inviting applications through public advertisement.*"

25. Under Rule 3, four conditions are necessary for application of the Rules of 2022. *Firstly*, that the post has to be created by the Administrative Department; *secondly*, there has to be concurrence of the Finance Department; *thirdly*, the individuals should have been selected by inviting applications through public



advertisement, and *fourthly*, the individual working on the post must be on contract basis. Under the said Rule, there is per se no differentiation between the contractual employees who have been appointed either by the government or through the placement agency. The Rule does not categorize such contract as either direct or indirect contract.

26. Undisputedly, the petitioners and similarly situated persons have been appointed admittedly on the post created by the Administrative Department with the concurrence of the Finance Department. They are working on contract basis. Therefore, the contention of the respondents that the individuals appointed through the placement agency will not be covered under the Rules of 2022 is without any force as Rule 3 of the said Rules does not contemplate the same. So far as the condition that the individuals ought to have been appointed after inviting applications through public advertisements is concerned, the reason behind the same is likely to prevent back-door entries since public advertisement provides equal opportunity for everyone to participate in the process by applying for the post.

27. It is pertinent to note that in some of the cases as argued by the petitioners, the placement agency had duly issued an advertisement and thereafter the petitioners have been selected. Thus, the individuals who fulfill all the four conditions required under Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 cannot be discriminated merely on count of the fact that they have been appointed through a placement agency.

28. The appointment of the employees through a placement agency by the State Government appears to have been made with



an intent to avoid any liability that could have arise on the State due to such employment. However, a Constitutional Bench of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Mangalore Ganesh Beedi Works** (supra) held that in light of welfare legislation, the liability could be imposed on the principal employer even if the employee has been appointed through a contractor.

29. Relying upon the said judgment, this Court is of the opinion that the Rules of 2022 are in nature of welfare legislation which has been framed by the State to deprecate the practice of the Departments to appoint contractual employees without their being any regulations to govern them and further with an intent to ensure that the employees who have been working on contractual basis are brought within the purview of the said rules. Moreover, the Rules of 2022 had been given a retrospective effect as it has been intended to cover even those employees who have been working on contractual basis as on the date of enforcement of the Rules of 2022. By such rules, the unregulated employment is made into regulated employment.

30. In light of the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **Mangalore Ganesh Beedi Works** (supra), the denial of benefit of the Rules of 2022 to the petitioner and other similarly situated persons having been appointed through placement agency is not sustainable. Thus, the interpretation of Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 as done by the respondent-State Government by way of reply as well as the instructions issued by the employers is an incorrect interpretation against the intent and object which are sought to be achieved by the Rules of 2022. If such interpretation is drawn, it would amount creating two classes from one class.



The legislation intends only one class i.e. contract employee. If such classification is allowed, it would amount creating classes within class and such classification defeat the legislative intention.

31. Moreover, the respondents cannot discriminate against the employees appointed through placement agency, if they fulfill the conditions as provided under Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 which specifically states four conditions only, as enumerated in earlier part of this judgment. Therefore, the petitioners and similarly situated persons ought to be considered for the purpose of coverage under the Rules of 2022, if they fulfill the conditions i.e. post has been created by the Administrative Department in concurrence with the Finance Department and the appointment has been made through public advertisement. If the appointment has been made pursuant to a public advertisement, whether through the placement agency or directly by the Government, the same cannot be differentiated merely on count of having been appointed by the placement agency and thus, the action of the respondents cannot be countenanced by denying the benefits of the Rules of 2022 to the employees who have been appointed through placement agency in spite of fulfilling all the conditions as required under the Rules of 2022.

32. The Rules of 2022, being a welfare legislation, intends not only to regulate the persons who will be appointed in future on contractual basis but also applies to those persons who are already working on contractual basis as on the date of the rules.

33. The object of the Rules of 2022 enforcement, is reproduced hereinafter:-



"Whereas the State Government in public interest undertakes departmental Schemes /Projects/Central Sponsored Schemes/ Projects for social and economic development as a welfare State. For implementation of most of these projects/ schemes requires the subject matter specialists, experts and manpower and posts for which do not exists in any other Service Rules in the government for regulating appointments and conditions of service of such posts. By their very nature the development schemes/projects undertaken by the Government are usually required for short term or medium term. Therefore, State Government needs to allow such posts to be filled in by way of hiring persons on contract basis in the State Government.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India, the Governor of Rajasthan hereby makes the following rules, regulating the hiring of subject matter specialists, experts and manpower on contract, and the conditions of the services of persons hired to the Rajasthan Contractual Hiring to Civil Posts Rules, 2022, namely:-"

34. The Court is of the firm opinion that the said Rules have been framed with an object to regulate the contractual employees engaged under various schemes by the State. The Rules of 2022 does not carve out a distinction between the employees appointed on contractual basis either directly by the Government or through a placement agency. However, by way of caution, it is clarified that the persons who are appointed by the placement agency only after inviting applications by way of public advertisement are to be considered and not the persons appointed without there being any public advertisement, as the absence of public advertisement may lead to the back-door entries.

35. Further, this Court is of the opinion that the notification promulgating the Rules of 2022 does not provide for any



substantive right to claim regularization and therefore, the argument of the respondents that the contractual employees appointed through placement agency cannot claim the right of regularization as there is no privity of contract between the State and employee is not tenable and the judgments cited on this issue are not relevant for decision of the present controversy.

36. Further, the judgment of ***Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd.*** (supra) cited by learned counsel for the respondents has no application as it was a case where employees of contractors working in BHEL were not found to be employees of BHEL and therefore, termination was found valid and reinstatement of service award was set aside by the Hon'ble Apex Court. The said case is not applicable in present set of facts. Furthermore, that judgment has not considered the Constitutional Bench judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Mangalore Ganesh Beedi Works*** (supra).

37. The Rules of 2022 provides the manner in which the appointments are to be made on contractual basis for a particular period. Further, Rule 11 provides that the contractual appointment shall stand terminated automatically on expiry of the period of contract and there would be no requirement of issuing a separate order for terminating the services.

38. On application of said rules, the State Government, in respect of contractual employees working on the date of commencement of these rules, is required to issue an appointment order as provided under Rule 12 in the prescribed form as appended to the Rules. However, the issuance of an appointment order does not confer any right upon the employee to



claim the regularization. It is merely an appointment order on contract basis for fixed term and therefore, the action of the respondents to exclude the individuals appointed by placement agency through public advertisement cannot be allowed to be continued.

39. Further, the respondents in their reply or during the course of arguments have not come out with a case that the services of the petitioner and similarly situated persons working through placement agency in the scheme is no more required, rather it reflects that even after the promulgation of the Rules of 2022, the petitioners and similarly situated persons appointed through placement agency are carrying out their duties and it is not the case of the respondents that the posts on which they have been working are abolished by virtue of completion of the scheme under which they were engaged. Therefore, when once the petitioners and similarly situated persons are continuing on their posts under the scheme, the State Government cannot deny to regulate their service by applying the Rules of 2022, as with the implementation of the said Rules, every contractual employee has to be regulated by the Rules framed by exercising powers under Article 309 of the Constitution of India who fulfill the criteria as given under Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022.

40. This Court is further of the firm opinion that if the respondents continue with the services of the petitioners, without covering them under the Rules of 2022 would be against the principles as enumerated by the Hon'ble Apex Court in a catena of judgments wherein the Court has opined that the practice of appointment of contractual employees without any rules would



lead to a situation of exploitation by the employer. With this intent only, the Rules of 2022 have been framed and therefore, the benefit of the said rules cannot be denied to the petitioners and similarly situated persons merely on the count of having been appointed through placement agency.

41. In light of the aforesaid facts & findings and the judgments, this Court is of the opinion that Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022 has to be read harmoniously, whereby, the petitioners and similarly situated persons, who have been appointed through placement agency after issuance of public advertisement are to be covered under the ambit of Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022. Since, the above rule has been read harmoniously in favour of the petitioners, therefore, there is no requirement to decide question No. (b), which was framed under para 13. The harmonious reading of the Rule itself clarifies that, there ought to be no discrimination between the contractual employees appointed through placement agency as well as the contractual employees appointed directly.

42. For the aforesaid reasons, the writ petitions are allowed in the following terms :

(i) The respondents shall consider the individual case of each contractual employee, appointed prior to enforcement of the Rules of 2022 strictly in accordance with Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, meaning thereby, that if an employee has been appointed on a post created by the Administrative Department with the concurrence of the Finance Department and the appointment has been through issuance of a public advertisement further without there being any differentiation whether the public advertisement



has been issued by the State Government or by the placement agency.

(ii) If the case of the individual is in conformation with the Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, as interpreted above, then the benefit of the Rules of 2022 shall be extended to such petitioners.

43. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J

68s-80s to 82s-101s-AnilKC/-

(MUNNURI LAXMAN),J