

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8045/2026
CNR: RJHC010583002026 | URN: CRLMB / 17519U / 2026

Naresh S/o Shyamlal, Aged About 50 Years, Naresh S/o Shyamlal, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of Nadakhada, Police Station Surajpole, Udaipur. Presently Lodged In District Jail Udaipur

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, State of Rajasthan Through Public Prosecutor.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Abhimanyu Singh Ranawat
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

27/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	398/2025
2.	Date of lodging FIR	30.11.2025
3.	Concerned Police Station	Hiranmagri
4.	District	Udaipur
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 3/25(6), 5/25(7) of Arms Act and Sections 111(2)(b), 111(3) and 111(4) of the BNS.
6.	Offences added, if any	--

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against him as he was not at all involved with the co-accused for sale of illegal arms. The petitioner was produced on production warrant in the present case. As a matter of fact, the petitioner has been made accused merely on the ground that the petitioner has criminal antecedents. The petitioner has already been enlarged on bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 25.03.2026 in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.2628/2026 and by this Court vide order dated 01.07.2026 passed in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.7963/2026, in connection with FIRs in which he was earlier arrested. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 05.01.2026 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application and submits that looking to the criminal antecedents, the petitioner is not entitled to be enlarged on bail.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the challan papers so also taking note of the fact that a Co-ordinate Bench so also by this Court, while granting bail to the present petitioner, has taken note of the fact that though there are numerous criminal cases which were registered against the petitioner, however, in as many as 21 cases, petitioner has been acquitted; and the petitioner was

not found to be indulged in selling of illegal arms and has been made accused on basis of the statement of co-accused, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner- **Naresh S/o Shyamlal**, shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided he executes personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J