

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8536/2026

CNR: RJHC010580662026

URN: CRLMB / 18506U / 2026

Devidayal Alias Devilal S/o Shanchand, Aged About 70 Years, R/o Chek 6-P, Anupgarh, District Shri Ganganagar.(Presently Lodged At Anupgarh Jail)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Anil Gupta
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Narendra Gehlot, PP with Mr. Om Prakash Choudhary

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

07/07/2026

1. The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is filed by the applicant-accused Devidayal @ Devilal S/o Shanchand seeking bail in respect of a criminal case registered as FIR No. 127/2017 dated 02.04.2017 registered at P.S. Anupgarh, District- Sri Ganganagar, for the offence under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the matter and the investigation against him is complete and he is no more required in investigation. He further submits that there are no chance of fleeing of applicant accused from the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. He also submits that the

applicant undertakes not to repeat offence and cooperate with investigation/trial, which will take time.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petitioner is a senior citizen and that the main accused, Jagdish @ Jaggi, has already been granted bail by this Court on 21.05.2026. He also submitted that, in another bail application under Section 483 of the BNSS, a Coordinate Bench of this Court has restrained the police from taking action against another accused, Suresh Kumar. He further submitted that the present petitioner has been in custody since his arrest on 06.06.2026.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the allegations are grave and serious in nature.
5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner-accused and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material placed on record by both the parties.
6. On 21.05.2026, we granted bail to Jagdish @ Jaggi, and at the time of granting bail, we observed as under:

"6. On the basis of a report dated 02.04.2017 for alleged act of 11.05.1987, lodged by complainant Prakash Chandra S/o Roshan Lal, Police Station Anupgarh has registered FIR No.127/2017 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC. The complainant has alleged that an agreement to sale was fabricated on 11.05.1987 and the land is purchased by present applicant. The allegation pertains to fabrication of agreement to sale. After registration of FIR, the matter was investigated and now police has drawn a conclusion that accused Mulakh Raj, Ramjilal and Pyara

Singh have expired and present applicant-accused has committed an offence and on 05.05.2026, arrested him.

7. As per police record, total 18 criminal cases are registered against the present applicant-accused, out of which 12 cases are closed or resulted into acquittal. In one case, applicant was found guilty for committing offence under Section 332 and 341 of IPC and was released on probation. As per the report, 5 criminal cases are still pending. The age of present applicant is 75 years and the incident of forgery is of 1987 for which report was registered in the year 2017, but action was taken in the year 2026."

7. The present petitioner was arrested on 06.06.2026 and has been in custody since then. The impugned order passed by the trial Court indicates that, as per the FSL report, the thumb impression on the agreement to sale was different from that of the complainant, Roshal Lal. It has been submitted that the present petitioner is also a senior citizen.
8. Upon hearing the arguments and perusing the record, we have found that the applicant-accused is no more required in the investigation and he is in custody for quite some time. The further proceedings will take its own time, therefore, looking to entirety of facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the Court deems it appropriate to grant bail to the applicant-accused.
9. Thus, the instant bail application filed on behalf of applicant-accused **Devidayal @ Devilal S/o Shanchand**, is hereby allowed and the applicant-accused is ordered to be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond of ₹50,000/- with

two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the following conditions:-

- (i) The applicant-accused shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.
- (ii) The applicant-accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.
- (iii) The applicant-accused shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.
- (iv) In case of any violation of above conditions, the bail granted to the applicant-accused shall be liable to be canceled.

10. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the Trial Court through E-mail.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J