

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

D.B. Habeas Corpus Petition No. 356/2026
CNR: RJHC010575782026
URN: HC / 561U / 2026

Teja Ram S/o Bhura Ram, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Patlaiyonki
Dhani, Bheelon Ka Vas, Punasa, Jalore.
(Father Of Corpus Urmila Kumari)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Home
Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat,
jaipur
2.

The Director General Of Police, Police Head Quarters
Jaipur.
3.

The Superintendent Of Police, Jalore.
4.

The Sho, Police Station, Bhinmal, Jalore.
5.

Jeetaram S/o Daularam,
6.

Mukesh Kumar S/o Vasram,
7.

Samera S/o Daularam,
8.

Bheraram S/o Sardararam,
9.

Vachnaram S/o Genaram,
10.

Vasram S/o Daularam,
11.

Sheraram S/o Jamtaram,
12.

Ashok S/o Naringaram,
All b/c Bheel, R/o Karwada, Police Station Karda, District
Jalore.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Daya Gulsar Mr. Sravan Sainee
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Choudhary, GA-cum-AAG with Mr. K.S. Kumpawat Mr. Dungar Ram, ASI & Ms. Sangeeta, FC, P.S. Bhinmal, Dist. Jalore.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA

Order

17/07/2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The present habeas corpus petition has been filed by the father of the corpus Mst. 'U' alleging therein that she is in illegal detention of the private respondents.
3. In pursuance of the directions issued by this Court, the corpus Mst. 'U' has been produced before us.
4. We have conferred with the corpus Mst. 'U'. She has stated that she is 18 years of age and understanding her well-being quite well. She has further stated that the petitioner got her engaged with respondent No.5 – Jeeta Ram, but now the petitioner and her family members are planning to get her married at some other place, who is not of her choice. She has further stated that she wants to get married with respondent No.5 only. She has further stated that at present, she is living with her cousin *Bhua* on her own free will and wants to continue to live with her only. She also stated that she is not in illegal detention of any person including private respondents.
5. We have considered the statements made before us and we are of the view that since the corpus Mst. 'U' is a major lady understanding her well-being quite well and she being not in illegal detention of any person including private respondents, therefore, she is free to live at a place and with a person of her own choice.



6. In view of the discussion made above, the present habeas corpus petition fails and the same is hereby dismissed.

(CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA),J (VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J

1/Payal Rani/620