

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8357/2026
CNR: RJHC010574162026
URN: CRLMB / 18192U / 2026

Amandeep Aman S/o Kalu Ram, Aged About 21 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 09, Mahiyawali, Police Station Chunawadh, District Sri Ganganagar. (At Present Lodged In Central Jail, Sri Ganganagar)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rakesh Matoria
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Narendra Gehlot, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

08/07/2026

1. The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is filed by the applicant-accused Amandeep Aman S/o Kalu Ram seeking bail in respect of a criminal case registered as FIR No.207/2024 dated 27.10.2024 registered at P.S. Chunawadh District- Sri Ganganagar, for the offence under Sections 103(1), 238(a) and 3(5) of BNS.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the matter and the investigation against him is complete and he is no more required in investigation. He further submits that there are no chance of fleeing of applicant accused from the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. He also submits that the

applicant undertakes not to repeat offence and cooperate with investigation/trial, which will take time.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, out of four accused, three accused, namely, Sushil Meghwal, Anil Meghwal and Rahul Kumhar, were granted bail by this Hon'ble Court, and the case of the present petitioner is at parity with those accused who have already been granted bail. He further referred to the statements of Indersen and Virendra Kumar and submitted that, as per the other statements recorded by the police under Section 180 of the BNSS, the star witness was Kaluram, who mentioned the factum of the murder by the accused to them. However, Kaluram has not been cited as one of the prosecution witnesses. He also submitted that the entire case rests upon circumstantial evidence, and the circumstances placed on record nowhere connect the petitioner with the alleged crime.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the allegations are grave and serious in nature. He also submitted that the police recovered an audio clip, and pursuant thereto, recorded the statement of Bhalaram.
5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner-accused and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material placed on record by both the parties.
6. Four accused, namely, Sushil Meghwal, Anil Meghwal, Rahul Kumhar and the present petitioner, have been charged for offences under Sections 103(1), 238(a), 3(5) and 140(1) of

the BNS in connection with FIR No. 207/2024 dated 27.10.2024 registered at Police Station Chunawadh, District Sri Ganganagar. The complainant initially lodged a missing person report regarding his brother, Inderjeet, who had been missing since 21.09.2024. Thereafter, a dead body was recovered, and the present petitioner, along with the other three accused, was charged for committing the murder of the deceased. This Court granted bail to Rahul, and thereafter, co-accused Anil and Sushil were also granted bail by this Court on 18.05.2026, wherein it was observed as under:

"6. On basis of an information by Mahendra (brother of deceased) on 27.10.2024 about missing and further recovery of dead body of deceased Indrajeet FIR No.207/2024 dated 27.10.2024 was registered at Police Station Chunawadh, District Sriganganagar under Sections 103(1), 238(a) and 3(5) of BNS. After investigation police has forwarded Sushil Kumar, Amandeep @ Aman and Anil Kumar to face trial under Sections 140(1), 103(1), 238(a), 3(5) of BNS. The police has also forwarded Rahul Kumar to face trial under Sections 238 (a), 3(5) of BNS. Accused Rahul Kumar has been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 13.06.2025.

7. We have gone through the entire material on record in particular the postmortem report dated 28.10.2024 and the statement of Virendra Bhambhu along with list of witnesses. There is no justification for not including name of Kaluram as a prosecution witness. We have considered the recovery and further FSL report. The petitioners-accused are in custody and the trial has not made a substantial progress."

7. Having considered the entire material placed on record and the principle of parity, I am of the considered view that the petitioner is also entitled to bail on the basis of the bail granted to the other accused.
8. Upon hearing the arguments and perusing the record, we have found that the applicant-accused is no more required in the investigation and he is in custody for quite some time. The further proceedings will take its own time, therefore, looking to entirety of facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the Court deems it appropriate to grant bail to the applicant-accused.
9. Thus, the instant bail application filed on behalf of applicant-accused **Amandeep Aman S/o Kalu Ram**, is hereby allowed and the applicant-accused is ordered to be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond of ₹50,000/- with two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the following conditions:-
 - (i) The applicant-accused shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.
 - (ii) The applicant-accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.
 - (iii) The applicant-accused shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.

(iv) In case of any violation of above conditions, the bail granted to the applicant-accused shall be liable to be canceled.

10. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the Trial Court through E-mail.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J