

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8657/2026
CNR: RJHC010584232026
URN: CRLMB / 18753U / 2026

Divya Bharti @ Sangeeta W/o Bajrang, Aged About 30 Years,
Resident Of Kumharo Ka Bass, Tinwri Tehsil District Jodhpur.
(Presently Lodged In Central Jail Jodhpur)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Neha D/o Mahesh Sharma, R/o New Indra Nagar,
Neemuch City, Neemuch, Madhya Pradesh, India.

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7721/2026
CNR: RJHC010572762026
URN: CRLMB / 16959U / 2026

1. Natthi Devi W/o Omprakash, Aged About 56 Years, R/o
Kumharo Ka Bass, Tinwri Tehsil District Jodhpur.
(Presently Lodged Ina Central Jail Jodhpur)
2. Bajrang S/o Omprakash, Aged About 28 Years, R/o
Kumharoka Bass, Tinwri Tehsil District Jodhpur (Presently
Lodged In Central Jail Jodhpur).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Neha D/o Mahesh Shrama, R/o New Indra Nagar,
Neemuch City, Neemuch, Madhya Pradesh, India.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shiva Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms.Sonu Manawat,PP Mr. Swaroop Singh

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT

Order

17/07/2026

1. The instant bail applications have been filed by the applicants **Divya Bharti @ Sangeeta W/o Bajrang, Nathi Devi W/o Omprakash and Bajrang S/o Omprakash** under Section 483 BNSS against the order impugned passed by learned court below in connection with **FIR No.73/2026** registered at **Police Station Mathaniya District Jodhpur** for the offence(s) under **Sections 87, 64(2)(m), 127(4), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS and Section 5(1)/6 of POCSO Act.**

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have been falsely implicated in the case. He further submits that the prosecutrix had voluntarily accompanied the co-accused with the intention of marrying him and resided with him for about one year. Learned counsel submits that the prosecutrix entered into physical relations with the co-accused of her own free will and consent. He submits that the applicants never subjected the prosecutrix to any physical assault or cruelty. Learned counsel further submits that applicants are behind the bars and trial may take long time to conclude thus, they deserve to be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail applications. Learned counsel for the complainant has no objection if the accused applicants are released on bail.

4. Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and looking to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits and demerits of the case, this court deems it just and proper to enlarge the applicants on bail.

5. Accordingly, the bail applications under Section 483 BNSS are allowed and it is ordered that the accused-applicants shall be enlarged on bail in the aforesaid FIR provided they furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Lower Court for their appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J