

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7995/2026
CNR: RJHC010572722026
URN: CRLMB / 17418U / 2026

Munnaram S/o Modaram, Aged About 27 Years, Chowkidaro Ka Bas Balada, Police Station Anandpur Kalu, District Beawar, Rajasthan (Lodged In Jaitaran Jail)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through The Public Prosecutor

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shrijeet Singh Solanki Mr. Pritam Joshi
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

06/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	0187/2024
2.	Date of lodging FIR	13.04.2024
3.	Concerned Police Station	Jaitaran
4.	District	Beawar
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 143, 365, 323 and 506 of IPC, 1860.
6.	Offences added, if any	Sections 376-D, 376(2)(n), 342, 452 and 504 of IPC, 1860

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against him. This Court has already enlarged co-accused Manohar Lal, Ekamram and Sawai Ram @ Sawai Singh on bail.

2.1 While referring to the order granting bail to Manohar Lal vide order dated 11.02.2026 in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.15503/2024 learned counsel submits that Manohar Lal was granted bail considering the fact that the role assigned to the other co-accused Sawai Singh was not distinguishable and he was earlier enlarged on bail vide order dated 30.01.2026 while considering S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.5779/2025. Further, co-accused Ekamram was enlarged on bail vide order dated 11.02.2026 passed in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.15045/2025. He submits that the role assigned to the present petitioner is not in any manner distinguishable from the role assigned to the co-accused Manohar Lal, Ekamram and Sawai Ram @ Sawai Singh.

2.2 While referring to the statement of PW-2 (prosecutrix), learned counsel contends that the role assigned to the present petitioner is in no manner distinguishable viz-a-viz role assigned by her to other co-accused Manohar Lal and Sawai Ram @ Sawai Singh who have already been enlarged on bail. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 20.02.2026 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail while maintaining parity.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application, however, he is not in a position to refute the fact that the role assigned to the present petitioner by the prosecutrix in her statement recorded as PW-2 viz-a-viz the role assigned to the co-accused who have already been enlarged on bail, is not distinguishable.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the challan papers so also the statement of prosecutrix (PW-2) wherein the role assigned to the present petitioner is in no manner stated to be different from the role assigned to the other co-accused whom this Court have already enlarged on bail, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner- **Munnaram S/o Modaram** shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided he executes personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J