

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7768/2026
CNR: RJHC010570442026
URN: CRLMB / 17068U / 2026

Rahul S/o Raju Lal, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Javda Khurd, P.s.
Rawatbhatta, District Chittorgarh At Present Lodged At Sub Jail
Begu District Chittorgarh

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. RK Charan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP Mr. DS Chouhan

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

07/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	23/2026
2.	Date of lodging FIR	02.04.2026
3.	Concerned Police Station	Javada
4.	District	Chittorgarh
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 189(2), 324(2), 326(f), 326(g) and 331(4) of BNS, 2023
6.	Offences added, if any	-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have

been levelled against him. He submits that petitioner has not been named in the FIR. The co-accused who were named in the FIR have already been enlarged on bail by learned trial Court itself. Petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents. He further submits that subsequent to lodging of the present FIR, the dispute has been amicably settled between the complainant and the petitioner and other co-accused. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 28.05.2026 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the complainant submits that the present FIR was lodged on account of some misunderstanding and petitioner is not at all involved in the present incident. He submits that he has no objection if the petitioner is enlarged on bail.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant and perused the material available on record.

6. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the challan so also considering the fact that petitioner has not been named in the FIR; the other co-accused have already been enlarged on bail and that parties have amicably settled the dispute, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing

any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner- **Rahul S/o Raju Lal** shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided he executes personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J