

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Appeal (Sb) No. 1112/2026

CNR: RJHC010569772026

URN: CRLAS / 2539U / 2026

1. Manish Bijaraniya S/o Lekhram Jat, Aged About 23 Years,
Resident Of Village Sadhasar, Police Station Jasrasar,
District Bikaner (Rajasthan.) (Presently Lodged In District
Jail, Bikaner)
2. Ramratan S/o Kanaram Siyag, Aged About 24 Years,
Resident Of Village Bidasariya, Police Station Jasrasar,
District Bikaner (Presently Lodged In District Jail, Bikaner)

----Appellants

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor
2. Rameshwarlal S/o Tikuram, Resident Of Gali No.6,
Ambedkar Colony, Jai Narayan Vyas Colony, Bikaner,
Rajasthan

----Respondents

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Jogendar Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP Mr. Ravindra Singh Bhati, AGA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

16/07/2026

1. Learned Public Prosecutor submits that notice issued to
respondent No.2 has been served.
2. Service report dated 12.07.2026 is taken on record.
3. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked by way of
filing an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of SC/ST (Prevention
of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at the instance of accused-

appellants. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	168/2023
2.	Concerned Police Station	Jai Narayan Vyas Colony
3.	District	Bikaner
4.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 452, 323, 341, 504 and 143 IPC, 1860
5.	Offences added, if any	Sections 325, 307 and 34 of IPC and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) and 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act
6.	Date of passing of impugned order	05.06.2026

4. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that no case for the alleged offences is made out against them and their incarceration is not warranted. There are no factors at play in the case at hand that may work against grant of bail to the accused-appellants and they have been made accused based on conjectures and surmises.
- 4.1 He further submits that there were three injured persons in the present case, namely, Rameshwar, Ramkishan and Basant. Out of these three injured persons, Ramkishan (PW-3) sustained two injuries out of which one was reported to be dangerous to life and he deposed that the said injury was caused by co-accused Fusaram. He submits that Rameshwarlal (PW-2) sustained one grievous injury, however, he has made omnibus allegations against all three accused persons and has not assigned any specific role to

the present appellants. Further, injured – Basant sustained simple injuries.

- 4.2 Based on these submissions, it is argued that the appellants, who are in judicial custody since 30.05.2026, are entitled to be enlarged on bail as the trial will take sufficiently long time to conclude.
5. Contrary to the submissions of learned counsel for the appellants, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the appeal and submits that in the present case, appellants were arrested at a later stage and as far as the statement of Ramkishan (PW-3) is concerned, it was recorded while considering the involvement of co-accused Fusaram as appellants were absconding at that point of time. Therefore, the present case is not fit for enlargement of accused – appellants on bail.
6. Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
7. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for both the parties; the facts and circumstances of the case; the challan papers so also the statements recorded; injured – Basant sustained simple injuries; Ramkishan (PW-3) has sustained injury which is stated to be dangerous to life, however, he has attributed the same to co-accused Fusaram; Rameshwarlal (PW-2) has sustained omnibus allegations, this Court is of the considered view that no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the appellants behind bars for an indefinite period. Thus, without expressing any opinion on

merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the appeal filed by the appellants deserves to be allowed.

8. Consequently, the instant appeal is allowed. The impugned order dated 05.06.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge, SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Cases, Bikaner is set aside. It is ordered that the accused-appellants- **Manish Bijaraniya S/o Lekhram Jat** and **Ramratan S/o Kanaram Siyag** arrested in connection with aforesaid FIR, shall be released on bail, provided they furnish a personal bond of Rs.50,000/- each and two sureties of Rs. 25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation to appear before that Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.
9. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J