

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Habeas Corpus Petition No. 348/2026

Tikma Ram S/o Sakaram, Aged About 34 Years, Resident Of
Nimbala Nadi, Modara, Tehsil Bhinmal, District Jalore Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary,
Department Of Home And Affairs, Government Of
Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Inspector General Of Police, Jodhpur Range, Jodhpur.
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Jalore .
4. The Station House Officer, Police Station Sayla, District
Jalore.
5. Kanti Lal S/o Mangi Lal, Resident Of Ummedabad, Tehsil
Jalore, District Jalore Raj.
6. Jetaram S/o Ramaram, Resident Of Ummedabad, Tehsil
Jalore, District Jalore Raj.
7. Rama Ram S/o Shankar, Resident Of Lur, Tehsil
Jaswantpura, District Jalore.
8. Narayan Lal S/o Ota, Resident Of Otwala, Tehsil Sayla,
District Jalore.
9. Gigaram S/o Ota, Resident Of Otwala, Tehsil Sayla,
District Jalore.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Binja Ram on behalf of Mr. Rakesh Matoria
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Choudhary, GA-cum-AAG Mr. Babulal, ASI, P.S. Sayal, Jalore (I.O.)

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR (VACATION JUDGE)
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA (VACATION JUDGE)

Order

22/06/2026

1. The present Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed by one
Tikma Ram, inter alia, alleging that the corpus 'D' has been kept
in illegal detention by respondent Nos. 5 to 9.
2. Today, when the matter was taken up, the corpus 'D'
appeared before this Court and candidly stated that, during the

pendency of the present Habeas Corpus Petition, she had voluntarily started residing with the petitioner, Tikma Ram. She further stated that she does not wish to reside with respondent Nos. 5 to 9 and, therefore, requested that the Investigating Officer be directed to ensure that respondent Nos. 5 to 9 do not create any unnecessary hindrance in her residing with the petitioner.

3. Mr. Deepak Choudhary, learned GA-cum-AAG, submitted that since the corpus 'D' has categorically stated before this Court that she is presently residing with the petitioner of her own free will and volition, nothing survives for adjudication in the present Habeas Corpus Petition and the same has, therefore, become infructuous.

4. This Court, having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the corpus 'D', and the learned GA-cum-AAG, is of the considered opinion that since the corpus 'D' is no longer in illegal detention, the present Habeas Corpus Petition does not survive for consideration.

5. Accordingly, the present Habeas Corpus Petition is disposed of. However, it is made clear that in the event the Investigating Officer receives any complaint regarding the corpus 'D' being unnecessarily harassed, threatened, or intimidated by respondent Nos. 5 to 9, he shall take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law.

**(CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA
(VACATION JUDGE)),J**

3-Dinesh/-

**(KULDEEP MATHUR
(VACATION JUDGE)),J**