

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

1. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9311/2026
CNR: RJHC010657462026 | URN: CRLMB / 20234U / 2026

Sukhpreet Singh @ Siddhu S/o Pala Singh, Aged About 27 Years,
Chak 19 Amp Shahpini Police Station Sangariya Dist
Hanumangarh. (At Present Lodged In Dist. Hanumangarh)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

2. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7374/2026
CNR: RJHC010559722026 | URN: CRLMB / 16232U / 2026

Smt. Jasvindra Kaur Alias Sabbi W/o Malkit Singh, Aged About
44 Years, resident of 23 K Bypass Road, Ward No 29, Suratgarh
Presently Ward No 4, Nahar Par Chunaroad Gurudwwara Near
Baba Deep Singh, Suratgarh, District Sri Ganganagar. (Presently
Lodged At Central Jail, Bikaner)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Achala Ram Mr. Surendra Singh Shaktawat
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hathi Singh Jodha, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP SHAH

Order

24/07/2026

1. The applicants have filed the present bail applications under
Section 483 of BNSS being aggrieved against the orders dated
04.07.2026 and 02.06.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge,
(NDPS Cases), Hanumangarh in Criminal Misc. Bail Case

Nos.287/2026 (Sukhpreet Singh @ Siddhu v. State of Rajasthan) & 266/2026 (Smt. Jasvindra Kaur @ Sabbi v. State of Rajasthan) whereby the bail applications filed by the accused-applicants under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. were rejected. The accused-applicants are behind the bars, pursuant to the F.I.R. No.313/2026 registered at Police Station Pilibanga, District Hanumangarh for the offence punishable under Sections 8/21 of NDPS Act.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the recovered narcotic contraband, i.e., 31.55 grams of heroin, is below the threshold limit of commercial quantity. Learned counsel for the applicant Sukhpreet Singh @ Siddhu, submits that the applicant has no criminal antecedents. Learned counsel for the applicant Smt. Jasvindra Kaur @ Sabbi, submits that although there are criminal antecedents against the applicant, she is a lady and the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent criminal Court. They further submit that the recovery of the contraband in question was effected from the co-accused Sukhchain Singh, and that the applicants have been implicated in the present case with the aid of Section 29 of the NDPS Act. They further submit that the co-accused Sukhchain Singh, has already been enlarged on bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 23.06.2026 passed in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7862/2026 (Sukhchain Singh v. State of Rajasthan). Learned counsel further submit that the applicants have been in judicial custody since their arrest i.e. 25.05.2026 and that the trial of the case is likely to take a considerable time to conclude. They, therefore, pray that the benefit of bail be granted to the accused-applicants.

4. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the bail applications. However, he is not in a position to dispute the fact that the recovered contraband is below the threshold limit of commercial quantity, as also the fact that the above named co-accused has already been enlarged on bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

5. Heard learned counsel for the applicants as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

6. Having considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, more particularly the facts that the recovered contraband is below the threshold limit of commercial quantity, the co-accused, Sukhchain Singh, from whose conscious possession the contraband in question was recovered, has already been enlarged on bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, the applicant, Sukhpreet Singh @ Siddhu, has no criminal antecedents and that although some cases of a similar nature are pending against the applicant, Smt. Jasvindra Kaur @ Sabbi, she is a lady and the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Criminal Court, and further, as the embargo contained under Section 37 of the Act of 1985 does not apply in the present case and the trial is likely to take a sufficiently long time to conclude, this Court prima facie finds it to be a fit case to enlarge the accused-applicants on bail.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-applicants **(1) Sukhpreet Singh @ Siddhu S/o Pala Singh** and **(2) Smt. Jasvindra Kaur @ Sabbi W/o Malkit Singh** arrested in

connection with F.I.R. No.313/2026 registered at Police Station Pilibanga, District Hanumangrh shall be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case, provided each of them furnishes a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/- and two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of learned trial court, for their appearance before that court on each & every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till completion of the trial.

8. It is further, made clear that the findings recorded/observations made herein above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application and the same shall not prejudice the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANDEEP SHAH),J