

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7355/2026

Devraj S/o Durga Ram, Aged About 22 Years, Resident Of
Siyagpura Leelsar, Police Station Chauhtan District Barmer. (At
Present Lodged In District Jail, Pali).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Pf Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manphool Bishnoi
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Narendra Gehlot, PP

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU
(VACATION JUDGE)**

Order

23/06/2026

The instant bail application has been filed by the applicant under Section 483 BNSS (439 Cr.P.C). The applicant has been arrested in connection with **FIR No. 99/2026** registered at Police Station **Sadari, District Pali** for the offence(s) under Sections **8/28, 8/30 of NDPS Act.**

Learned counsel for the accused-applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that allegations against the petitioner are that he was going to purchase 45 kilograms of poppy husk and no recovery has been made from the petitioner and offences pressed against him are under Sections 8/28 and 8/30 of NDPS Act. He further submits that even if the allegations are taken on the face of it, the quantity is below commercial value and the petitioner is behind the bars since 10.05.2026, and the trial is likely to take a

considerable period of time to conclude. Accordingly, learned counsel prays that the applicant be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail application.

This Court has considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. The petitioner is stated to have five criminal antecedents, one of which pertains to an offence of a similar nature. Further, the allegations against the petitioner are that he was going to purchase 45 kilograms of poppy husk and no recovery has been made from the petitioner and offences are pressed against him are under Sections 8/28 and 8/30 of NDPS Act. Even, if the allegations are taken on the face of it, the quantity is below commercial and the petitioner is behind the bars since 10.05.2026

Hence, keeping in view of the fact that conclusion of the trial of the case is likely to take time and the prosecution has not shown any apprehension of the applicant fleeing away from justice, in case he is enlarged on bail; I deem it just and proper to grant bail to the applicant under Section 483 of BNSS.

Any observation made herein is confined solely to the disposal of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression on merits of the case. Thus, learned trial Court shall proceed independently and without being influenced by any such observation.

Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 BNSS (439 Cr.P.C.) is **allowed** and it is ordered that the accused-applicant **Devraj S/o Durga Ram** arrested in connection with

aforesaid FIR shall be enlarged on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned lower court for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU) VJ

91-Jatin/-