

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7354/2026
CNR: RJHC010555232026
URN: CRLMB / 16189U / 2026

Amritlal S/o Suganaram, Aged About 51 Years, Nabbasar, Police Station Sandwa, District Churu, Rajasthan (At Present Lodged At Distt. Jail Bikaner)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Naman Mohnot
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jagdish Chandra Vyas Mr. Narendra Gehlot, PP with Mr. Om Prakash Choudhary

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

07/07/2026

1. The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is filed by the applicant-accused Amritlal S/o Suganaram seeking bail in respect of a criminal case registered as FIR No.688/2024 dated 28.12.2024 registered at P.S. Nokha, District- Bikaner, for the offence under Sections 318(4) and 61(2)(a) of BNS.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the matter and the investigation against him is complete and he is no more required in investigation. He further submits that there are no chance of fleeing of applicant accused from the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. He also submits that the

applicant undertakes not to repeat offence and cooperate with investigation/trial, which will take time.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, on the basis of a report lodged by the Manager of the State Bank of India, the police registered the FIR and arrested the petitioner on 15.03.2026. He further submits that the petitioner has submitted a settlement proposal to the Bank, which has been accepted by the local branch and forwarded to the higher authorities for approval. It is contended that the petitioner has always had a bona fide intention to repay the outstanding amount to the Bank and has specifically conveyed the same to the Bank as well as before the trial Court. He further submits that the petitioner undertakes to pay the entire outstanding amount due to the Bank. He further submits that, after the matter was adjourned on 01.07.2026, the Bank initiated a pre-litigation proceeding before the DLSA, Bikaner, on the basis of the settlement arrived at between the parties. The settlement proposal has been taken on record in the said proceedings. It is, therefore, submitted that in view of the settlement proposal accepted by the Bank, the petitioner deserves to be enlarged on bail.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the amount involved is public money and that the petitioner has deliberately cheated the Bank, thereby committing the alleged offence. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Bank submits that the settlement proposal submitted by the petitioner has been

accepted by the local branch and has been forwarded to the competent higher authority for approval. He further submits that, subject to the petitioner's compliance with the terms of the settlement and liberty to move an appropriate application for recall in the event of default, the petitioner may be considered for grant of bail.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material placed on record by both the parties.
6. On the basis of a report lodged by the Manager of the State Bank of India against the present petitioner, FIR No.688/2024 dated 28.12.2024 registered at P.S. Nokha, District- Bikaner. The petitioner was arrested on 15.03.2026. The allegation against the petitioner is that he committed cheating and criminal breach of trust with State Bank of India. The petitioner has submitted a settlement proposal before the Bank, which has been accepted by the local branch and forwarded to the competent authority for approval. The said settlement proposal has also been placed on record in pre-litigation, which was disposed of by the DLSA, Bikaner, on 06.07.2026. Considering the settlement proposal submitted by the petitioner and accepted by the Bank, subject to approval by the competent authority, I am of the considered view that, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, it is appropriate to consider bail application of present petitioner.
7. Upon hearing the arguments and perusing the record, we have found that the applicant-accused is no more required in

the investigation and he is in custody for quite some time. The further proceedings will take its own time, therefore, looking to entirety of facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the Court deems it appropriate to grant bail to the applicant-accused.

8. Thus, the instant bail application filed on behalf of applicant-accused **Amritlal S/o Suganaram**, is hereby allowed and the applicant-accused is ordered to be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond of ₹50,000/- with two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall abide by the settlement proposal submitted to the State Bank of India and shall faithfully discharge with all the terms and conditions thereof.
- (ii) In the event of any breach or non-compliance with the terms and conditions of the settlement proposal, it shall be open to the Bank to move an appropriate application seeking recall of the order granting bail to the petitioner.
- (iii) The applicant-accused shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.
- (iv) The applicant-accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.
- (v) The applicant-accused shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.

(vi) In case of any violation of above conditions, the bail granted to the applicant-accused shall be liable to be canceled.

9. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the Trial Court through E-mail.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J