


**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12366/2026

CNR: RJHC010551452026

URN: CW / 22425U / 2026

Rajasthan Solar Association, Having Its Registered Office At 542, Mahima Trinity Mall Swej Farm Sanganer Road, Jaipur- 302019, Rajasthan, Through Its Authorized Representative Mr. Nitin Agarwal S/o Late Shri Raj Kumar Agarwal Aged 38 Years, Residing At Plot No. 17, Kesar Nagar, Bajri Mandi Road, Panchya Wala, 200 Feet Bypass, Jaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India, Through Its Secretary, Ministry Of New And Renewable Energy, Block No. Cgo Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi - 110003, Email Id Uoidhc@gmail.com Mohammedmuqem@gmail.com

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Dr. Sachin Acharya, Sr. Adv. assisted by Mr. Nishant Kumar & Mr. Gopal Sandu
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rajendra Saraswat Mr. Shyam Sunder Paliwal

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Judgment

22/07/2026

1. In the backdrop of the earlier orders passed by this Court on 13.07.2026 and 20.07.2026, it is observed that certain subsequent developments have taken place on 18.07.2026. It is submitted that the operation of the impugned memorandum dated 25.05.2026 has been kept in abeyance by the authorities for a period of the next seven months.

2. In light of the aforesaid subsequent developments, the cause of action in the matter at hand does not survive at this juncture,

and the relief sought in the present writ petition has been of academic importance as on date.

3. However, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to agitate his grievances afresh in the event that any prejudice is caused to the petitioner on account of future subsequent developments, or for any other justified reasons. The said liberty is hereby granted.

4. Moreover learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that if the petitioner exercises the aforesaid liberty, the respondents may concurrently be granted the liberty to raise all justified objections available to them under the law, including preliminary objections regarding the maintainability of the writ petition.

5. Considering the aforesaid submissions and the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition, granting the respective liberties to both sides as prayed for.

6. In light of the aforesaid observations and liberties, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SAMEER JAIN),J