

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7684/2026
CNR: RJHC010553132026
URN: CRLMB / 16908U / 2026

1. Ganesh S/o Sukhlal, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Bamaniya, Police Station Kheroda, District Udaipur, Rajasthan. (Lodged In Dist. Jail, Udaipur)
2. Mahaveer Kumar S/o Ganesh, Aged About 25 Years, Resident Of Bamaniya, Police Station Kheroda, District Udaipur, Rajasthan. (Lodged In Dist. Jail, Udaipur)
3. Raghuveer Kumar S/o Ganesh, Aged About 23 Years, Resident Of Bamaniya, Police Station Kheroda, District Udaipur, Rajasthan. (Lodged In Dist. Jail, Udaipur)
4. Balveer Kumar S/o Ganesh, Aged About 19 Years, Resident Of Bamaniya, Police Station Kheroda, District Udaipur, Rajasthan. (Lodged In Dist. Jail, Udaipur)

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor.

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7183/2026
CNR: RJHC010548972026
URN: CRLMB / 15854U / 2026

Ashok S/o Mohanlal, Aged About 21 Years, Resident Of Bamaniya, Police Station Kheroda, District Udaipur, Rajasthan. (Presently Lodged In District Jail Udaipur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Akash Goyal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

09/07/2026

1. These applications for bail have been filed by the petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	64/2026
2.	Date of lodging FIR	14.04.2026
3.	Concerned Police Station	Bhinder
4.	District	Udaipur
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 115(2), 126(2) and 191(2) of BNS.
6.	Offences added, if any	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against them. He further submits that the incident narrated in the present FIR is a counter attack of the earlier FIR lodged by the present petitioners against the complainant on 02.04.2026. He contends that the injury sustained by the injured-Sardari Bai, is simple in nature. The injured-Mangilal sustained 5 injuries, out of which, 3 are simple in nature and 2 are grievous in nature. However, none of the grievous injuries is on the vital part of the body. Learned counsel further submits that the incident occurred all of a sudden when the present petitioners and the complainant had assembled for settlement talks with regard to the dispute arising out of the FIR dated 02.04.2026. The petitioners have no criminal antecedents. The petitioners are in judicial custody since 19.05.2026 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, they deserve to be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes these bail applications, however, he is not in a position to refute the fact that there are no criminal antecedents against the petitioners.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and upon perusing the case diary so also considering the fact that the injury sustained by the injured-Sardari Bai, is simple in nature; the injured-Mangilal sustained 5 injuries, out of which, 3 are simple in nature and 2 are grievous, however, the same too are not on the vital part of the body; the incident occurred abruptly after the settlement talks between the parties failed, resulting in the present incident, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail applications filed by the petitioners deserve to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, these bail applications filed under Section 483 of BNSS are allowed. It is ordered that petitioners-**Ganesh S/o Sukhlal, Mahaveer Kumar S/o Ganesh, Raghuveer Kumar S/o Ganesh, Balveer Kumar S/o Ganesh** and **Ashok S/o Mohanlal**, shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided they execute personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for their appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

43/Ajay Singh/663