

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 1296/2025

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Ayurved, Yoga And Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha And Homeopath (Ayush) Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Ayurve Yoga And Naturopathy Unani Siddha And Homeopathy (Ayush), Department. Rajasthan, Jaipur Through Joint Secretary.
3. Ayurveda Directorate Ayurveda Savitri Chauraha, Ajmer Through Its Director.
4. Rajasthan Ayurved Nursing Council Ayush Bhawan, Sector 26. Pratap Nagar, Jaipur Through Registrar.
5. Dr Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan Rajasthan Ayurved University, Nagaur Road, Nh-65, Jodhpur Through Its Registrar

-----Appellants

Versus

Vinayak Ayurved College, Rajgarh- Sidhmukh Road Sidhmukh District- Churu (Raj.) Running By Trust Shri Laxmi Group Welfare Trust, Sidhmukh, Churu (Raj.). Through Its Vice- President Andi Kumar S/o Shri Roop Chand, Aged About-36 Years, Address Ward No.30 Idea Public School, Rahgarh, Sadulpur, Churu (Raj.).

-----Respondent

For Appellant(s)	:	Ms. Kanchan Jodha for Mr. N.S. Rajpuorhit, AAG
For Respondent(s)	:	---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL
Order

27/05/2026

Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

The matter is listed on the application preferred under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 169 days in filing the present appeal. However, the matter itself is taken up for hearing on merits.

The present appeal has been filed against the order dated 05.01.2024, whereby the learned Single Judge has directed the respondents to inspect the petitioner-institution for grant of permission to establish the nursing college for B.Sc. Nursing (Ayurved) course and diploma in Ayush Nursing & Pharmacy (D.A.N. & P.). It was also directed that after inspection, if the petitioner-institution fulfills all the requisite guidelines and requirements, the respondents were to pass appropriate orders preferably within a period of three months strictly in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the appellant though tried to challenge the order dated 05.01.2024, but there are no substantial grounds to interfere in the directions issued by the learned Single Judge. The directions are only to the extent of inspecting the institution and if the respondents found that the institute is fulfilling all the requisite guidelines and requirements, the respondents were directed to pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

In the considered opinion of this Court, the order dated 05.01.2024 does not suffer from any infirmity.

Accordingly, there is no force in the present spacial appeal and the same is hereby dismissed.

Consequently, the application preferred under Section 5 of the Limitation Act also stands dismissed.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

(VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J