

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7505/2026

CNR: RJHC010542892026

URN: CRLMB / 16496U / 2026

Kalulal son of Devilal, aged about 60 years, resident of Udaliyas,
Police Station Badliyas, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

(Presently lodged at Sub Jail, Begun, District Chittorgarh)

----Applicant

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, through Public Prosecutor.
2. Ladu, resident of Village Jodhpuriya, Tehsilbegun, District Chittorgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Rajesh Kumar

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Hathi Singh Jodha, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP SHAH

Order

08/07/2026

1. The applicant has filed the present bail application being aggrieved against the order dated 26.05.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Begun, District Chittorgarh (Rajasthan) in Sessions Case Nos.72/2026, whereby the bail application filed by the accused-applicant under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. was rejected. The accused-applicant is behind the bars, pursuant to the F.I.R. No.100/2025 registered at Police Station Parsoli, District Chittorgarh for the offences punishable under Sections 467, 468, 471, 420 & 120-B of IPC.

2. Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was shown only as a witness to the sale deed in question and is not a beneficiary thereof. Learned counsel further submits that the beneficiary, Smt. Beena as well as another similarly situated co-witness Sohan Lal, have already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide a common order dated 17.11.2025 passed in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application Nos. 13410/2025 and 13411/2025. Learned counsel submits that the case of the present applicant is not distinguishable from that of the co-accused, Sohan Lal, who has already been enlarged on bail by this Court. Learned counsel further submits that the alleged offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate, the applicant has been in judicial custody since 20.05.2026, and no recovery is to be effected from him. He also submits that there are no criminal antecedents of a similar nature against the applicant. Therefore, he prays that the benefit of bail be granted to the accused-applicant.

4. *Per contra*, the learned Public Prosecutor opposes the bail application. However, he is not in a position to dispute the fact that the above mentioned co-accused have already been enlarged on bail by this Court.

5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the alleged offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate, the applicant has been in judicial custody since 20.05.2026, and no recovery remains to be effected from the applicant, this Court, without

expressing any opinion on the merits or demerits of the case, is inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-applicant **Kalulal son of Devilal** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.100/2025 registered at Police Station Parsoli, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan shall be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case, provided he furnishes a personal bond of Rs.50,000/- and two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each, to the satisfaction of learned trial court, for his appearance before that court on each & every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till completion of the trial.

7. It is further, made clear that the findings recorded/observations made herein above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application and the same shall not prejudice the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANDEEP SHAH),J