

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 4th Bail Application No. 7029/2026

CNR: RJHC010535782026

URN: CRLMB / 15576U / 2026

Shanker Lal Purbiya S/o Sh. Dal Chand Purbiya, aged about 51 Years, Resident of Bhingarh, Police Station Rashmi, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.

(At Present Lodged In District Jail, District Bhilwara)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jagdish Karwasra (Through VC)
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Surendra Bishnoi, P.P. (Through VC)

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

17/07/2026

1. This fourth criminal bail application has been filed by the petitioner Shanker Lal Purbiya S/o Sh. Dal Chand Purbiya, aged about 51 Years under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023, who was arrested by the police in connection with the FIR bearing No.443/2023 dated 18.11.2023 registered at Police Station Gangapur, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan for the offences punishable under Sections 8/15 & 8/18 of the NDPS Act, 1985.

2. Learned counsel submits that the third bail application filed by the petitioner was rejected by this Court on technical grounds by order dated 22.05.2026 and liberty was granted to file a fresh bail application. In terms of the previous liberty as granted by this Court by order dated 13.03.2026, i.e., after recording the

statement of the Investigating Officer, this fourth bail application has been filed.

3. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel submits that the police, after investigation, has filed the charge-sheet and no recovery is required to be made. Learned counsel submits that statement of the Investigating Officer was recorded by the learned Trial Court as PW-6 on 28.03.2026, which shows that case of the present petitioner is similar to the case of co-accused Devi Lal, who has already been enlarged on bail by this Court in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 3rd Bail Application No.6262/2026 (Devi Lal Vs. State of Rajasthan) by order dated 22.05.2026. Learned counsel further submits that the Investigating Officer in his statement has specifically stated that no recovery was made from the present petitioner and further, there are no communication details or his involvement in the financial transactions in the present case. In view thereof, learned counsel prays that the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposes the bail application, however, could not dispute the fact that the Investigating Officer has not made any allegation in his cross-examination against the petitioner and case of the present petitioner is similar to that of co-accused Devi Lal.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

6. This Court, after considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, to maintain parity with the co-accused Devi Lal and without making any comments

on the merits of the case, is inclined to exercise its power under Section 483 B.N.S.S. and deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner **Shanker Lal Purbiya S/o Sh. Dal Chand Purbiya** shall be released on bail, provided he executes a personal bond in a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for his appearance before that Court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till completion of the trial.

8. The petitioner is further directed not to take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty. It is made clear that the observation as made by this Court in the bail application will not affect the trial of the case. The petitioner shall mark his presence before the concerned SHO of the Police Station twice a month for the entire period of the trial. The SHO shall maintain register to mark presence of the petitioner. In case the petitioner fails to appear twice in any month then the same shall be considered as breach and this bail shall stand cancelled automatically without reference to the Court.

9. It is further directed that in case, the petitioner is found to be involved in any case including NDPS Act within a period of two years from the date of passing of this order, learned Public prosecutor shall file an application for cancellation of the bail.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J