

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7008/2026

CNR: RJHC010535232026

URN: CRLMB / 15545U / 2026

1. Lokesh Kumar S/o Laxman, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Bhilwara Police Station Bawalwada District Udaipur (At Present Lodged In Central Jail Udaipur)
2. Prakash S/o Laxman, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Bhilwara Police Station Bawalwada District Udaipur (At Present Lodged In Central Jail Udaipur)
3. Mahesh S/o Laxman Kharadi, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Bhilwara Police Station Bawalwada District Udaipur (At Present Lodged In Central Jail Udaipur)

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ram Singh Rawal.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Lalit Kumar Sen, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL
Order

09/07/2026

1. This application for bail has been filed by the petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.). The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	0016/2026
2.	Date of lodging FIR	15.01.2026
3.	Concerned Police Station	Bawalwada
4.	District	Udaipur
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 103(1), 115(2), 126(2) and 189(2) of BNS, 2023
6.	Offences added, if any	Section 3(5) of BNS, 2023

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against them. The petitioners are in judicial custody since 19.01.2026. He argued that the principal allegation of causing the stab injury is against co-accused Nikunj and no recovery has been made from the present petitioners. He further argued that all the allegations levelled against the present petitioners are omnibus in nature, without assigning any specific role on the individual accused petitioners. He also argued that though some enmity between petitioner No.3 Mahesh and injured (deceased) has been pointed out, however, considering the fact that the allegations against all three petitioners are identical and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, they deserve to be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application and submits that considering the nature of injury sustained by the deceased, petitioners are not entitled to be enlarged on bail.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of the case and after perusing the charge-sheet so also considering the fact that no recovery is made from the present petitioners; the principal accused, who inflicted the knife injury is the co-accused Nikunj and all allegations levelled against the present petitioners are omnibus in nature, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by

keeping the petitioners behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is ordered that petitioners – **(1)- Lokesh Kumar S/o Laxman; (2)- Prakash S/o Laxman; and (3)- Mahesh S/o Laxman Kharadi** shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided they execute personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for their appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J