

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11888/2026

CNR: RJHC010529212026

URN: CW / 21539U / 2026

1. Pradeep Kumar Pareek S/o Dinanath, Aged About 64 Years, Kiosk Holder Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner.
2. Durga Prasad S/o Nandram, Aged About 64 Years, Kiosk Holder Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner.
3. Nand Kishore S/o Mangilal, Aged About 63 Years, Kiosk Holder Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner.
4. Moti Singh S/o Ramgopal, Aged About 673 Years, Kiosk Holder Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner.
5. Chenaram S/o Bhanwarlal, Aged About 52 Years, Kiosk Holder Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner.
6. Sundarlal S/o Laduram, Aged About 41 Years, Kiosk Holder Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner.
7. Ramrakh S/o Rawtaram, Aged About 36 Years, Kiosk Holder Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner.
8. Sanjay Sethia S/o Motilal, Aged About 50 Years, Kiosk Holder Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner.
9. Manji Khan S/o Hamid Khan, Aged About 59 Years, Kiosk Holder Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Agriculture Marketing, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Agriculture Marketing, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti (Grain), Bikaner, Through Its Secretary.
4. The District Collector, Bikaner.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ajay Kumar Vyas
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

13/07/2026

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present writ petition has been filed by nine persons who are holders of *kiosks*/canteens. It is submitted that the petitioners have been operating their respective *kiosks* bearing designated numbers from several decades, and during this period, they were also permitted to avail electricity connections, in accordance with the welfare policy of the State.

It is further submitted that the *kiosks* were initially allotted on a temporary basis and the allotments were renewed from time to time. Subsequently, the authorities invited bids for permanent allotment of the said *kiosks*, and that the petitioners upon participating in the bidding process and were declared successful bidders, as is evident from Annexure-7.

Learned counsel submits that the dispute arose when, despite the petitioners having been declared successful bidders, the respondents, contrary to the applicable policy and without assigning any valid reason, re-allotted the *kiosks* and reshuffled their designated numbers. It is contended that such reshuffling neither serves any public interest, nor advances any legitimate administrative purpose.

Accordingly, the petitioners have challenged Annexure-8 i.e. ---- , whereby, for instance, the petitioner who was earlier allotted Canteen No. 1 has now been allotted Canteen No. 2, and similar changes have been effected in respect of the other petitioners.

Being aggrieved by the reshuffling of the *kiosk*/canteen numbers and the consequential allotments, the petitioners have filed the present writ petition, seeking protection of their original allotments and maintenance of status *quo*, in the meantime.

Heard.

Issue notice, returnable within a period of three weeks.

Requisition be given '*Dasti*' to learned counsel for the petitioner, if so desired.

In the meanwhile, the effect and operation of the order dated 25.03.2026 (Annexure-8) shall remain stayed. The parties shall maintain status *quo*, with regard to the allotment and possession of the respective kiosks/canteens as it exists today.

(SAMEER JAIN),J

25-Arun/-