



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11808/2026

CNR: RJHC010525762026

URN: CW / 21342U / 2026

Ved Pal S/o Shri Omprakash, Aged About 39 Years, Resident Of
Vpo Dhanothi Chhoti, Tehsil Sidhmukh, District Churu,
Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The District Election Officer (Collector), Churu, Rajasthan.
2. The Election Registration Officer (S.d.m.), Ratangarh, District Churu, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Puna Ram Sen.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

06/07/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with the following prayer(s):

“xxxxxx

- a. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the order dated 04.07.2024 (Annex-02) passed by the respondent no. 02 may kindly be quashed and set aside.

xxxxxx”

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that in an identical controversy, Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 13.05.2026 passed in **SBCWP No.10353/2026 : Satpal Singh Rajera v. State of Rajasthan & Ors.**, disposed of the writ petition while granting liberty to petitioner to make a representation to the respondents with the directions that till the



decision of the representation, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner for not joining in consequence of the appointment order. The aforesaid order is reproduced hereunder:

“.....XXXXXXXXXX

5. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's case may be reconsidered in case any of the employees referred in Clause 1.1 and 1.2 of the amended Guidelines dated 05.06.2025 are available, they shall be appointed as BLO instead of the petitioner who is not a registered elector in the said booth.

6. The request made by the petitioner appears to be in tune with the guidelines issued by the Election Commission of India. On a clear reading of the impugned order of appointment it can be seen that there is no indication in the appointment order that the appointment of the petitioner as an Booth Level Officer where she was not a registered voter was resorted to on account of nonavailability of employees categorized in Clause 1.1 and 1.2 in the amended guidelines dated 05.06.2025. Therefore, this Court is inclined to dispose of this writ petition.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation indicating the names of the employees who are available in the booth for which the petitioner was appointed as BLO within a period of one week. If any such representation is made, the respondent authority shall reconsider the order of appointing the petitioner as BLO and pass appropriate orders within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

8. Till such representation is disposed off, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner for not joining in consequence of the appointment order. However, the respondents are given liberty to continue the order if the authorities found that the officer of category 1 and 2 are not available, they can resort to clause 1.3 of the guidelines.

9. All pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.”

3. Thus, in view of the above, the instant writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms as in the case of *Satpal Singh Rajera (supra)* with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation indicating the names of the employees who are available in the booth for which the petitioner was appointed as BLO within a period of one week. If any such representation is



made, the respondent authority shall reconsider the order of appointing the petitioner as BLO and pass appropriate orders within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

4. Till such representation is disposed of, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner for not joining in consequence of the appointment order. However, the respondents are given liberty to continue the order if the authorities found that the officer of category 1 and 2 are not available, they can resort to clause 1.3 of the guidelines.

5. Stay application as well as all other pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J

74-/Devesh Thanvi/609