

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11883/2020

Dharmendra Choudhary S/o Shri Chandraram Choudhary, Aged
About 35 Years, R/o House No. 42, Khasra No. 29, Near Hilodi
Market, Nandri Road, Jodhpur (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary,
Department Of Technical Education, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Rajasthan Subordinate And Ministerial Service
Selection Board, Jaipur Through Its Secretary.
3. The Director Technical Education (Training), W-6
Residency Road, Ratanada, Jodhpur.
4. Mukesh Kumar Prajapat S/o Sh. Mangi Lal Prajapat, R/o
Village Rampura, Post Bad Ke Balaji, Via Bhankrota, Tehsil
Sanganer, District Jaipur ,rajasthan.
5. Prem Prakash Mali S/o Sh. Kalu Lal Mali, R/o H. No. 383,
Road No. 5A, Ashok Nagar, Udaipur, Rajasthan.
6. Pawan Gour S/o Sh. Bansi Lal Gour, R/o H. No. 41
Vinobha Bhawe Nagar, District Kota, Rajasthan.
7. Kaishan Puri S/o Sh. Mohan Puri, R/o Vpo Jori Patta
Satyun, Ward No. 5, District Churu Rajasthan.
8. Ramniwas S/o Sh. Kisana Ram, R/o Maliyon Ki Dhani,
Bucheti, Tehsil Baori, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
9. Jai Singh S/o Sh. Murari Ram, R/o Ward No. 04, 10 Sds,
Khatsajwar, District Sriganganagar, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. B. S. Sandhu

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

09/11/2020

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though the
requisite qualification prescribed in the advertisement was diploma

in relevant trade, however the private respondents no. 4 and 9 having graduates' degree have been given appointment. In support of his arguments, he relies upon the judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court rendered in the case of Zahoor Ahmad Rather and Ors. Vs. Sheikh Imtiyaz Ahmad & Ors. reported in (2019) 2 SSC 404.

2. It is further contended that the respondents No. 4 to 9 do not have requisite experience, which is evident from their application forms.

3. The matter requires consideration.

4. Issue notice. Issue notice of the stay application also, returnable within six weeks.

5. Meanwhile, the appointment orders shall not be issued to the respondents Nos. 4 to 9, if not already issued.

(DINESH MEHTA),J

258-A.Arora/-

