



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Civil Misc. Appeal No. 2407/2024

Sunil Tekwani S/o Shri Seva Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Ward No. 38, Gandhi Nagar, Opposite Jhulelal Mandir, Hanumangarh Junction, Tehsil And District Hanumangarh.

----Appellant

Versus

Divya Alias Devishri D/o Shri Gulabram, W/o Sunil Tekwani, R/o Nearby Bharman Hospital, Ward No. 21, Airtel Tower, Lunkaransar, Tehsil Lunkaransar, District Bikaner.

----Respondent

For Appellant(s) : None present

For Respondent(s) :

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT**

Order

04/11/2024

1. Vide order dated 30.08.2024, this Court had passed the following order :-

"Issue notice to the sole respondent subject to the condition that the petitioner shall deposit a demand draft in the sum of Rs.10,000/- to the sole respondent to meet the litigation expenses. The amount shall be deposited by demand draft or banker's cheque before the Registrar (Judicial) within 7 days from this order.

2. After submitting the demand draft, notices be issued to the sole respondent, returnable within a period of four weeks.

3. Call for the record.

2. Vide the aforesaid order, the petitioner was directed to deposit a demand draft of Rs.10,000/- as a pre-requisite for issuance of notice to the respondent-wife, but the appellant failed to comply with the aforesaid order dated 30.08.2024. However,



upon request of learned counsel for the appellant, last opportunity was granted to him on 17.10.2024. The order-sheet of which reads as under :-

"Learned counsel for the appellant seeks one last opportunity to comply with the order dated 30.08.2024. Opportunity prayed for is granted. List the matter on 04.11.2024."

3. It is noted that neither the compliance of the aforementioned order has been made nor the counsel for the appellant is present today to assist the Court.
4. This Court is of the considered opinion that a litigant who fails to comply with the basic conditions imposed by the Court's order and demonstrates blatant and repeated disobedience to the Court's directions, does not deserve the indulgence to continue with the litigation.
5. In view of the aforesaid observation, the present civil misc. appeal is dismissed.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J (DR.PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI),J

14-Sudheer/-