

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10530/2025

Sham Lal S/o Shri Chhagan Lal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward
No. 15, Padampur, District Sri Ganganagar (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Local Self
Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat,
Jaipur.
2. The Director, Local Self Government, Government Of
Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Municipal Board, Padampur, District Sri Ganganagar
Through Its Executive Officer.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abhinav Pareek.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Tushar Jain for Mr. Aishwarya Anand.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

10/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that
the issue involved in the writ petition is squarely covered by the
order dated 24.10.2024 passed in the case of ***Madhusudan Vs.
State of Rajasthan & Ors.: SBCWP No.17699/2024***, wherein,
the Coordinate Bench of this Court has disposed of the writ
petition in light of and with similar directions as given in the case
of ***Jitendra Kumar Tailor Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.:
SBCWP No.1952/2019***.
2. In the case of Jitendra Kumar Tailor (supra), a Co-ordinate
Bench of this Court inter alia directed as under:

"In this view of the matter, I deem it just and appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the State Govt. to decide the matter at the earliest to facilitate issuance of requisite order of regularization of petitioner's services, strictly in accordance with law. The requisite exercise be undertaken by the State Govt. at the earliest, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date presentation of this order."

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner's case of relaxation is pending decision before the screening committee, however, is not in a position to refute that the issue involved in the writ petition is covered by the judgment passed in the case of Jitendra Kumar Tailor (supra).

4. In view of the above fact situation, the writ petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of, in light of and with similar directions as given in the case of Jitendra Kumar Tailor (supra).

5. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition, the respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case, the averments made therein are found to be correct, the petitioner would be entitled to the relief.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J