

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11429/2026

CNR: RJHC010476412026

URN: CW / 20705U / 2026

1. Ram Niwas S/o Bheru Ram, Aged About 45 Years,
Resident Of Ward No. 8, Tehsil Jayal, District Nagaur.
2. Salag Ram S/o Himta Ram, Aged About 47 Years,
Resident Of Jayal, Tehsil Jayal, District Nagaur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Moola Ram S/o Megha Ram, Resident Of Jayal, Tehsil
Jayal, District Nagaur.
2. Teeja D/o Bheru Ram W/o Dharu Ram, Resident Of Jayal,
Tehsil Jayal, District Nagaur.
3. Rameshwari D/o Jai Narayan, Resident Of Kawadkhera,
Tehsil Jayal, District Nagaur.
4. Mukesh S/o Ram Niwas, Resident Of Pindiya, Tehsil Jayal,
District Nagaur.
5. State Of Rajasthan, Through Tehsildar Jayal, District
Nagaur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. B.R. Chahar

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

10/07/2026

The matter comes up on an application (IA No.01/2026) filed by the petitioner for seeking preponement of date of hearing.

For reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the matter is heard today.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners instituted a suit for declaration of khatedari rights and

correction in the revenue record, which was decreed in favour of the petitioners vide judgment and decree dated 15.10.2025 which was amended on 27.10.2025, and in pursuance said decree, the revenue record was corrected and mutation as well as the demarcation was carried out by the respondent(s)-Department. It is further submitted that the respondent Nos.1 & 2 have challenged the said decree after its execution before the Revenue Appellate Authority, Nagaur. The petitioners filed a caveat application, despite which, without affording opportunity of hearing and without considering the caveat application, an ex-parte interim order dated 18.11.2025 was passed and the parties were directed to maintain status quo with regard to the disputed land/property. The petitioners filed reply and sought vacation of ex-parte interim order and also moved an application under Order VII Rule 11 of CPC, but the application has not been considered and decided. Therefore, the petitioners moved to the Board of Revenue, Ajmer by way of revision petition which has been dismissed vide order dated 16.04.2026 on the ground of non-maintainability.

It is submitted that the respondent Nos.1 & 2 have no locus to challenge the decree. It is further submitted that in the garb of ex-parte interim order; respondent Nos.1 & 2 are trying to dispossess the petitioners from subject land.

The matter requires consideration.

Issue notice to respondent(s), returnable within six weeks.

In the meanwhile and until next date of hearing, the effect and operation of the order dated 18.11.2025 (Annex.7) passed by the Revenue Appellate Authority, Nagaur, shall remain stayed.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J

305/Mrityunjay Singh Rathore/652