

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6306/2026
CNR: RJHC010472212026
URN: CRLMB / 13981U / 2026

Mahipal S/o Dhanaram, Aged About 24 Years, Jodhras, P.s.
Bhawanda, Dist. Nagaur Raj (Lodged In Dist. Jail Nagaur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sangram Singh for Mr. Suresh Khadav
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hanuman Prajapati, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

09/07/2026

This application for bail under Section 483 of the BNSS has been filed by the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No. 121/2025, registered at Police Station Bhawanda, District Nagaur, for offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 118(2), and 109(1) of the BNS.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material available on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have arrived at a compromise. It is submitted that although the first bail application was rejected and liberty was granted to file a fresh bail application after recording the statement of the injured, in the meantime, a compromise was arrived at between the parties and the same was filed before the learned trial Court. The

learned trial Court, vide order dated 09.06.2026, attested the compromise and acquitted the petitioner of the offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), and 109(1) of the BNS. Since the remaining offences are non-compoundable, the trial is continuing for those offences. It is further submitted that certified copies of the compromise application and the order of the learned trial Court have been placed on record. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody and that the trial is likely to take a considerable time to conclude. Therefore, the benefit of bail may be granted to the accused-petitioner.

Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application.

This Court has considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties. It is not disputed that the parties have arrived at a compromise. This Court finds that although the first bail application was rejected with liberty to file a fresh bail application after recording the statement of the injured, in the meantime, the parties entered into a compromise, which was duly filed before the learned trial Court. The learned trial Court, vide order dated 09.014.2026, attested the compromise and acquitted the petitioner of the offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), and 109(1) of the BNS. Since the remaining offences are non-compoundable, the trial is continuing in respect thereof. The certified copies of the compromise application and the order of the learned trial Court have also been placed on record.

Hence, keeping in view the fact that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take considerable time and that the prosecution has not expressed any apprehension that the petitioner would flee from justice if released on bail, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to grant bail to the petitioner under Section 483 of the BNSS.

Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 of the BNSS is **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-petitioner, **Mahipal S/o Dhanaram**, arrested in connection with **FIR No. 121/2025, registered at Police Station Bhawanda, District Nagaur**, shall be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- and two sureties of Rs. 25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, for his appearance before the said Court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so, till the conclusion of the trial.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J