

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10017/2026

Ashok Kumar S/o Shanti Lal, Aged About 32 Years, R/o 381,
Purohito Ka Vas, Basda Dhanji, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Commissioner Of Police,
Home Department, Jalore, Rajasthan.
2. Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Rajasthan,
Jaipur.
3. Branch Manager, Rajasthan Gramin Bank, Modern Near
Railway Station Modran Jalore, 343028, Jalore, Rajasthan,
Inida. ----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pradhuman Singh Rajpurohit

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

07/05/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the present Writ Petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate, order or directions-

- I. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank account bearing account number 0000083088730925 of the petitioner in the respondent bank.*
- II. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to not to freeze the bank account of the petitioner in future without prior notice.*
- III. That the respondent bank may kindly be directed to let the petitioner may access the undisputed remaining amount of the total frozen amount pending with the respondent bank and de-freeze the account with the same effect.*
- IV. Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be passed in favor of the petitioner.*

V. Cost of the writ petition may kindly be awarded to the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, the present civil writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to keep the disputed amount (the amount allegedly transferred illegally into the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J