

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9974/2026

Bhajan Singh Sandhu S/o Jagir Singh Sandhu, Aged About 69
Years, R/o 29 Gb Shivpuri, Sriganganagar (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Superintending Engineer, Water Resources Department, Circle Sri-Ganganagar, Sri-Ganganagar, Rajasthan.
3. Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department, Raisinghnagar Division, Sriganganagar, Rajasthan.
4. Assistant Engineer, Water Resources Department, Sub-Division Jaitsar, Sriganganagar, Rajasthan.
5. Chairman Water User Association, 28 Gb (First), Sri-Ganganagar, Rajasthan.
6. Kamaljeet Kaur W/o Kashmir Singh, R/o 29 Gb(A), Sri-Ganganagar, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kanishk Singhvi
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Mayank Sharma for Ms. Jaya Dadhich, AGC Mr. Baltej Singh Sandhu

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT
Order

07/05/2026

1. By way of the present civil writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought following reliefs :-

“Therefore, it is most humbly prayed that present writ petition may kindly be allowed and:-

- (A) *By an appropriate writ, order or direction, Respondents be directed to not close the Naka between Killa No.23 and 24 in Murabba No.24 (As per the Water Resources Department) and 28 (As per the Revenue Department) of Chak 29 GB (A) till the final decision by the Respondent No.3 pursuant to order dated 16.02.2026 (Annex-8) passed by Respondent No.2;*
- (B) *Any other relief that this Hon'ble Court deems fit may also be granted in favour of the Petitioners along with the cost.”*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.2 – Superintending Engineer, Water Resources Department, had directed respondent No.3 – Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department, to sanction the naka situated between Killa Nos.23 and 24 in Murabba No.24/28. It is further submitted that, despite the aforesaid directions, respondent No.3 rejected the petitioner's application seeking sanction of the said naka.

3. Aggrieved by the said rejection, the petitioner preferred an appeal before respondent No.2, which came to be partly allowed, and the matter was remanded to respondent No.3 for fresh consideration. It is, therefore, prayed that a direction be issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the petitioner's application for sanction of the naka between Killa Nos. 23 and 24 in Murabba No. 24/28.

4. Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-Department as well as respondent No.6 submit that, during the pendency of the petitioner's application, the State Government undertook restructuring measures, pursuant to which no water facility is presently required. However, learned counsel for the respondents submits that they have no objection if the petitioner's application is considered and decided in accordance with law.

5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the petitioner's application, in light of the order dated 16.02.2026 whereby the

matter was remanded for fresh consideration, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

6. In the meanwhile, for a period of ten days from today, the parties shall maintain status quo with respect to the naka in question, as existing on the date of this order. **It is clarified that upon expiry of the aforesaid period of ten days, the interim protection granted herein shall stand vacated automatically without any further reference to this Court.**

7. Stay petition and all pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J

61-mSingh/-