

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9971/2026

1. Manohar Singh S/o Sh. Dungar Singh, Aged About 42 Years, R/o 22, Lal Singh Nagar, Right Hand Nevel, Khirjan, Tibna, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
2. Ganpat Singh S/o Sh. Mod Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o 359, Bhakhari Walon Ki Dhani, Dechoo, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
3. Sajjan Singh S/o Sh. Jabar Singh, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Ramsani, Bilara, Ramasani, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
4. Prakash S/o Sh. Kistur Ram, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Pipaliya Nada, Salwa Kalan, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
5. Sunil S/o Sh. Bhanwaru Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Gokalgadh, Khara, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
6. Gordhan Singh S/o Sh. Jaswant Singh, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Dabla Ki Dhani, Bastwa, Bastawa, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
7. Mahendra Singh Bhati S/o Sh. Paip Singh, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Chamu, Shergarh, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
8. Dalpat Singh S/o Sh. Gajai Singh Bhati, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Kapooriya, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
9. Ram Niwas S/o Sh. Mana Ram, Aged About 46 Years, R/o 411, Railway Station Banar, Banar, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
10. Manohar Singh S/o Sh. Bheem Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Hameera, Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer (Raj.).
11. Amrit Singh S/o Sh. Shivilal Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Hameera, Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer (Raj.).
12. Sumer Singh S/o Sh. Jodh Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Tejmalta, Po Tejmalta, Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer (Raj.).
13. Lokendra Singh S/o Sh. Ummed Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Ward No. 7, Jasrasar, Churu, District Churu (Raj.).
14. Sohan Singh S/o Sh. Ganga Singh, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Barjal, Rajsamand, District Rajsamand (Raj.).
15. Satyendra Singh S/o Sh. Bhanwar Singh, Aged About 42 Years, R/o 50, Roopnagar, Krishna Vihar, Neerja Modi School, Khel Gav, Bhuvaana, Bhuwana, Udaipur, District Udaipur (Raj.).
16. Pitha Singh S/o Sh. Ram Singh, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Bhera Gurha, Bagana, Rajsamand, District Rajsamand (Raj.).
17. Arjun Singh S/o Sh. Bheru Singh, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Miyala, Theekarwas Khurd, Po Barar, District

Rajsamand (Raj.).

18. Prahlad Singh Rathore @ Prahlad Singh S/o Sh. Anop Singh Rathore, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Chhapara, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
19. Vinod Kumar S/o Sh. Manna Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Banwasa, Tehsil Didwana, District Nagaur (Raj.).
20. Vikram Singh Rathore @ Vikram Singh S/o Sh. Durjan Singh, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Asota, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
21. Chena Ram Roj S/o Sh. Shive Karan Roj, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Sandeela, Po Tamau, District Nagaur (Raj.).
22. Sumer Singh S/o Sh. Dhanney Singh, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Jodhiyasi, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
23. Virendra Singh S/o Sh. Samundar Singh, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Village Kapradi, Aghapur, Bharatpur, District Bharatpur (Raj.).
24. Gajendra Singh S/o Sh. Chandan Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o C-83, Indra Nagar, Bharatpur, District Bharatpur (Raj.).
25. Vinod Kumar Yadav S/o Sh. Manan Choudhary, Aged About 41 Years, R/o House No. 2 C 17, 18 Stc Housing Board Colony, Mathura Gate, Bharatpur, District Bharatpur (Raj.).
26. Brij Kishor @ Brij Kishor Singh S/o Sh. Banay Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Kaproli, Bharatpur, District Bharatpur (Raj.).
27. Krishan Kumar Yadav S/o Sh. Jaisingh Yadav, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ahir Bass, Toolera, Po Toolera, District Alwar (Raj.).
28. Ramniwas S/o Sh. Ram Jiwan, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Gahankhar, Po Gothra, District Alwar (Raj.).
29. Dharmveer S/o Sh. Hargyan, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Alipur, Po Basni, District Alwar (Raj.).
30. Raghuvir Prasad Sharma S/o Sh. Rameshwar Dayal Sharma, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Village Duhar Chaugan, Alwar, District Alwar (Raj.).
31. Neta Ram S/o Sh. Pancha Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Jaroda, Jaroda Gurjan, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
32. Nand Lal Bidiyasar S/o Sh. Ramdin Bidiyasar, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Khinyala, Po Khinyala, District Nagaur (Raj.).
33. Bahadur Singh S/o Sh. Chuna Ram, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Siradhana, Oladan, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
34. Joga Ram S/o Sh. Banna Ram, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Chuntisara, Po Chuntisara, District Nagaur (Raj.).
35. Om Prakash Beniwal S/o Sh. Hema Ram Beniwal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Beniwal Ki Dhani, Gular, Nagaur,

District Nagaur (Raj.).

36. Sukhdev S/o Sh. Kishna Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Bhadana, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
37. Ram Niwas S/o Sh. Kishna Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Banthadi, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
38. Peetha Ram S/o Sh. Munna Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Deva Ki Dhani, Moulisar, District Nagaur (Raj.).
39. Sharwan Ram S/o Sh. Ram Chandra, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Genana, Po Ratau, District Nagaur (Raj.).
40. Surender Singh S/o Sh. Inder Singh, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Medtiyara Rajputon Ka Bas, Aakeli-B, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
41. Manohar Dan S/o Sh. Alsi Dan, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Ghodaran, Untwaliya, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
42. Bhanwar Lal S/o Sh. Uda Ram, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Rupnagar, Bheekamkor, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
43. Jagdish Singh S/o Sh. Devi Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Dhoru Bhopalgarh, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
44. Om Prakash Bishnoi S/o Sh. Teja Ram, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Ekalkhori, Po Cherai, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
45. Ugam Singh S/o Sh. Farsa Ram, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Village Feench, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
46. Sumer Singh @ Sumer Singh Rajpurohit S/o Sh. Narpal Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Tilavato Ka Bas, Ghevara, Po Gheora, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
47. Murad Khan S/o Samundar Khan, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Kayamkhani Nagar, Degana, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
48. Ramniwas Jat S/o Sh. Pabu Ram Jat, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Narma, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
49. Prema Ram S/o Sh. Jumer Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Jakhera, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
50. Parma Ram Rulaniya S/o Sh. Sona Ram Rulaniya, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Budsoo, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
51. Bhugana Ram Basat S/o Sh. Ram Niwas Basat, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Jayal, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
52. Ram Kishore S/o Sh. Ramdev, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Jalwana, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
53. Pancha Ram S/o Sh. Heera Ram, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Kurchhi, Kurchi, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
54. Arjun Singh S/o Sh. Bheru Singh, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Gram Dabariyani Kalan, Ren, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
55. Harish Kumar Rad S/o Sh. Bhanwar Lal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Kasumbi Alipur, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).

56. Bharmal S/o Sh. Shankar Ram, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Rakyasani, Tehriyan Badi, Po Peeh, District Nagaur (Raj.).
57. Ramsukh Bishnoi S/o Sh. Ram Baksh, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Damboi Khurd, Po Damboi Khurd, District Nagaur (Raj.).
58. Shaitan Ram S/o Sh. Bhanwar Lal, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Tehsil Jayal, Rajod, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
59. Sharwan Ram Sangwa S/o Sh. Bhaga Ram Sangwa, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Vpo Tarnau, District Nagaur (Raj.).
60. Ram Kishor Kasaniya S/o Sh. Moti Ram Kasaniya, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Hirni Dhani, Jetpura Kalan, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
61. Hari Ram S/o Sh. Jagdish Ram, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Gram Satheran Padan, Satheran, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
62. Pratap Singh S/o Sh. Khooma Ram, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Thambariya, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
63. Ram Kishor S/o Sh. Mohan Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Guljar Nagar Nawa, Todas, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
64. Shimbhu Singh Rathore S/o Sh. Deep Singh Rathore, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Sewa, Nagaur, Didwana, District Nagaur (Raj.).
65. Suresh Kumar S/o Sh. Panna Ram, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Lora Ki Dhani, Lalas, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
66. Yogender Singh S/o Sh. Richpal Singh, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Koliya, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
67. Hanuman Ram Choyal S/o Sh. Aidan Ram, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Choylo Ka Bas, Titri, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).
68. Sandeep Singh S/o Sh. Shankar Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o 175, Rajputo Ka Bas, Gram Runwa, Tehsil Didwana, District Nagaur (Raj.).
69. Sohanlal S/o Sh. Ramniwas, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Egyasani Choliyas, Nagaur, District Nagaur (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Forest, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Rajasthan Jaipur (Raj.).
2. The Head Of Forest Force, Department Of Forest, Rajasthan Jaipur (Raj.).
3. The Principal Chief Conservator Of Forest, Rajasthan Jaipur (Raj.).
4. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest, Jaisalmer (Raj.).
5. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest (WI), Jaisalmer (Raj.).

6. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest (WI), Rajsamand (Raj.).
7. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest (WI), Nlcs, Dholpur (Raj.).
8. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest, Alwar (Raj.).
9. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest, Chittorgarh (Raj.).
10. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest (WI), Chittorgarh (Raj.).
11. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest, Sirohi (Raj.).
12. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest, Bhilwara (Raj.).
13. The Dy. Conservator Of Forest, Ajmer (Raj.).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pradeep Singh Khosa
For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR
Order

08/05/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue raised in the present writ petition is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in ***Manoj Khandelwal & Ors. v. State of Rajasthan & Ors. : S.B.C.W.P. No. 7283/2014***, decided on 16.07.2014 at Jaipur Bench and the said judgment has been followed in *Krishan Lal & Ors. v. The State of Rajasthan & Ors. : S.B.C.W.P. No. 19179/2017*, decided on 30.10.2017 at Jaipur Bench. The petitioners are also entitled to the same relief as granted in the case of ***Manoj Khandelwal (supra) and Krishan Lal (supra)*** claims the petitioners.

2. In view of the submissions made, the writ petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of with the similar directions as given in the case of *Manoj Khandelwal (supra)*, which read as under:-

"This Court in Suman Bai and Another Vs. State and Others – 2009 (1) WLC (Raj.) 381, held that candidates in lower order of merit cannot become entitled merely because they had approached court

earlier. Petitioners had a fresh cause of action for approaching in such situation and their writ petition not barred either as res judicata or as being him in properly constituted. This directed the respondents to treat petitioners senior to respondents, who were in lower order of merit.

It is further contended in the writ petition that in the matter of School Lecturers (English) in the same Department, where appointments were delayed because of the fault of the State authorities, the candidates were accorded appointment from the date the candidates stood lower in merit were appointed and they have been granted all consequential benefits of services.

The petitioners approached the respondents by way of representations for extending them same benefits of service which have been granted to the candidates who stood lower in merit than the petitioners, but till date nothing has been done. Hence, this writ petition on behalf of the petitioners for a direction to the respondents to treat their appointment from the date the candidates lower in merit, were given, with all consequential benefits of service, such as seniority, continuity of service, pay fixation, grant of annual grade increments.

Having regard to the facts of the case, writ petition is disposed of requiring the petitioners to make a representation to respondent no.2 – Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, alongwith a copy of this order, who shall, after verifying the facts stated above, consider and decide the same by a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of its making, addressing the grievance of the petitioners for extending them the relief as prayed for, as the candidates, who stood lower in merit, are getting benefit of higher pay, seniority, annual grade increments and other service benefits including the

selection scales. If the respondent no.2 decides to place the petitioners above in seniority than the candidates who stood lower in merit, then the petitioners would be entitled to all benefits of seniority but they would be entitled only to notional benefits.”

3. For the purpose aforesaid, the petitioner shall file representation before the competent authority giving out the requisite details along with certified copy of the order instant within a period of four weeks from today. On receipt of the representation, the concerned respondent shall decide the same, in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation and accord notional benefits to the petitioners from the date persons similarly situated to the petitioners and lower in merit were given appointment, if entitled.
4. Upon consideration of the representation so filed, if respondents find the case of the petitioners to be covered by the judgment(s) aforesaid, before giving actual benefits, an undertaking shall be procured from the petitioners to the effect that their rights/entitlements shall be subservient to the fate of the judgment(s) aforesaid and in case the same is reversed or modified in any manner, they shall also be liable for restitution of any benefits/emoluments so received.
5. The stay petition also stands disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J