

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Application No. 306/2026
IN

S.B. Criminal Misc. Interim Bail Application No.4426/2026

Paburam Gorchiya Alias Pabuji S/o Shri Hanumanram, aged
about 36 Years, R/o Badi P.S. Bhinmal, District Jalore,
(Petitioner Released From 30-04-2026 To 09-05-2026)

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sawpan Chauhan Mr. Neeraj Chauhan (through V.C.)
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Lalit Kishore Sen, PP (through V.C.)

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANEESH SHARMA

Order

08/05/2026

1. The proceedings were conducted before the Jaipur Bench through Video Conferencing.
2. The criminal miscellaneous application has been preferred by the applicant/petitioner seeking extension of the interim bail granted by this Court at the Principal Seat, Jodhpur vide order dated 28.04.2026, in Criminal Miscellaneous Interim Bail Application No.4426/2026, arising out of FIR No.74/2018, registered at Police Station Pratap Nagar, District Jodhpur City West, for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323 and 365 of the IPC, 1860.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the surgery of the petitioner's wife has been postponed on account of the petitioner's wife being unfit for surgery due to certain medical complications, and the petitioner's wife has been further advised

to seek consultation after 15 days. In order to support his contention he places on record a prescription dated 01.05.2026 issued by Government Hospital, Bhinmal, District Jalore; he accordingly prays that the interim bail may kindly be extended by a period of 30 days.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposes the present extension application, and prays that the present application for extension of interim bail may kindly be dismissed.

5. Heard and considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

6. Looking to the medical reports of the petitioner's wife, it is revealed that the surgery of the petitioner's wife has been postponed due to certain medical complications, and the patient has been further advised to seek consultation after 15 days, therefore, there is no immediate need for surgery warranting extension of time to surrender, as prayed in the present application.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, more particularly that there is no immediate need for surgery as discussed above. No good ground for extension of the interim bail has been made out, thus, this Court is not inclined to extend the interim bail granted to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the present criminal miscellaneous application for extension of interim bail is dismissed.

9. However, the petitioner shall always be at liberty to move a fresh interim bail application in future, if any date is fixed for the surgery of the petitioner's wife or other exigency arise.

(MANEESH SHARMA),J

SOURAV /1