

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10018/2026
CNR: RJHC010441982026 | URN: CW / 18309U / 2026

Shimla Devi D/o Sahabram W/o Palaram, Aged About 46 Years,
Resident Of Bhambhuwali, Tehsil Pilibanga, District
Hanumangarh (Rajasthan)-335803.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Tehsildar (Revenue), Sadulshahar, Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan).
2. Sahabram S/o Shri Rugharam, Resident Of Panniwali Jatan, Tehsil Sadulshahar, District Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan).
3. Pushpendra S/o Shri Ajay Kumar, Resident Of Panniwali Jatan, Tehsil Sadulshahar, District Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan).
4. Sonu D/o Shri Ajay Kumar, Resident Of Panniwali Jatan, Tehsil Sadulshahar, District Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan). (Respondent No. 4 Sonu Is Minor, Represented Through Her Natural Guardian, Mother Mamta W/o Shri Ajay Kumar).
5. Mamta W/o Shri Ajay Kumar, Resident Of Panniwali Jatan, Tehsil Sadulshahar, District Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kunal Singh Rathore
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Ashish Kumar

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

07/08/2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had preferred a revenue suit before the Sub Divisional Officer seeking partition of the property in question and the said suit was decreed vide judgment and decree dated 05.01.2026. He submits

that aggrieved thereby, the respondents preferred an appeal before the Revenue Appellate Authority, Sri Ganganagar, which came to be dismissed vide judgment dated 16.03.2026, affirming the findings recorded by the Sub-Divisional Officer, being aggrieved of the same, the respondents preferred a second appeal before the Board of Revenue, Ajmer and the Board of Revenue has admitted the appeal and granted an interim order in favour of respondent No.2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, on account of the operation of the said interim order, the petitioner is suffering irreparable loss and hardship. He, therefore, confines his prayer to a limited relief that the Board of Revenue, Ajmer be directed to decide the pending interim application filed in Second Appeal No. 2991/2026 expeditiously.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not oppose the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

Having regard to the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and the limited nature of the relief sought, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the Board of Revenue, Ajmer to decide the interim application filed in Second Appeal No. 2991/2026 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J