

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9809/2025

Babu Lal S/o Adopted S/o Onkarji, Aged About 73 Years,
Resident Of Shyam Mandir, Near Kejari, Badibaas, Sardarshahar,
District Churu (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Sohanlal S/o Mohanlal, Adopted S/o Joraram, Resident Badibas, Sardarshahar District Churu.
2. Mahesh Kumar S/o Mohanlal, Adopted S/o Joraram, Resident Badibas, Sardarshahar District Churu.
3. Dharamchand S/o Late Bhanwarlal, Resident Badibas, Sardarshahar District Churu.
4. Prasanna Kumar S/o Late Bhanwarlal, Resident Badibas, Sardarshahar District Churu.
5. Smt. Kaushalya W/o Widow Of Late Bhanwarlal, Resident Badibas, Sardarshahar District Churu.
6. Smt. Taradevi W/o Dugarmal, D/o Mohanlal, Resident Badibas, Sardarshahar District Churu.
7. Smt. Meera W/o Bhinvaram, D/o Mohanlal, Resident Badibas, Sardarshahar District Churu.
8. Chunaram S/o Magharam, Resident Near Subedar Ki Tanki, Badibass, Sardarshahar, District Churu.
9. Kumbharam S/o Magharam, Resident Near Subedar Ki Tanki, Badibass, Sardarshahar, District Churu.
10. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Tehsildar (Revenue), Sardarshahar, Churu.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. H. R. Chawla
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT
Order

23/02/2026

1. Present writ petition has been filed challenging order dated 16.04.2024 passed by learned Board of Revenue, whereby

Revenue Appellate Authority, Bikaner has been directed to decide the pending appeal by passing appropriate order thereon within a period of three months, and an interim order was also passed directing parties to maintain status as well as the record in respect of disputed Arazi.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of directions being issued by Board of revenue way back on 16.04.2024 to decide pending appeal within a period of three months, the same has not been decided till date. Learned counsel also submits that even though dispute relates only to six bighas out of the total area of disputed Arazi, yet the status quo order has been passed in respect of entire Arazi.

3. Without commenting upon merits of said submissions, this Court wishes to record the following: it is disconcerting that in spite of specific directions being given by Learned Board of Revenue to decide the pending appeal within a period of three months, the same has not been decided even after a lapse of around two years thereon.

4. In this factual background, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of present writ petition with directions to learned Revenue Appellate Authority, Bikaner to decide the pending appeal No. 09/2024 (*Sohanlal and Others vs. Babulal and Others*) strictly within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, and also strictly in accordance with law. It is further directed that the appeal shall be decided without granting unnecessary adjournments to either party.

5. In case directions contained in this order are not complied with and the appeal in question is not decided within time-frame stipulated, it will be open for petitioner to approach this Court again.

6. With these observations, present writ petition is **disposed of**.

7. Stay application and pending applications, if any, also stand **disposed of**.

8. Status quo order passed by Learned Board of Revenue shall remain in currency till the disposal of appeal by Learned Revenue Appellate Authority, Bikaner.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

26-yagya/-