

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9893/2026

Sh. Banshilal S/o Bhaja, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of
Peepali Dodiyan Gram Panchayat Peepali Dodiyan, Tehsil
Railmagra, District Rajsamand

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Panchayati Raj
Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The District Collector, Rajsamand
3. Additional Divisional Commissioner, Rajsamand
4. Gram Panchayat Peepali Dodiyan, Through Its Sarpanch
Santoki Devi, Resident Of Bhamakhera Gram Panchayat
Peepali Dodiyan, Panchayat Samiti Railmagra, District
Rajsamand
5. Gram Panchayat Peepali Dodiyan, Through Dinesh
Chandra Verma, Village Development Officer, Gram
Panchayat Peepali Dodiyan, Panchayat Samiti Railmagra,
District Rajsamand

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Akshiti Singhvi with
Ms. Nishtha Mittal

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

07/05/2026

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that a
Patta in favour of the petitioner was duly issued by the Gram
Panchayat, Rajsamand after following the prescribed procedure
under law. It is submitted that after a lapse of about four years,
the Gram Panchayat, Rajsamand moved an application before the
District Collector seeking cancellation of the said Patta. Pursuant

thereto, notices were issued and the petitioner was duly represented through counsel on 14.11.2025.

Learned counsel submits that the order-sheet of the proceedings reflects the presence of counsel for the petitioner; however, while passing the impugned order dated 14.11.2025, the petitioner's counsel was incorrectly shown as absent. It is further submitted that without recording any cogent finding, the Additional District Collector, Rajsamand proceeded to pass the impugned order cancelling the Patta issued in favour of the petitioner by the Gram Panchayat, Rajsamand.

Aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the Divisional Commissioner; however, the same came to be rejected on the ground of lack of jurisdiction. Hence, the present writ petition has been filed.

The matter requires consideration.

Issue notice to the respondents, returnable within a period of six weeks.

In the meanwhile and till next date, the effect and operation of order dated 14.11.2025, passed by Additional District Commissioner, Rajsamand shall remain stayed.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J