

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5823/2026

Govind S/o Laxman, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Bhandara Police Station Dhambola District Dungarpur. (At Present Lodged In Dist. Jail Dungarpur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Ojha
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hathi Singh Jodha, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT (VACATION JUDGE)

Order

03/06/2026

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked by way of filing an application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. at the instance of accused-applicant. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	44/2026
2.	Concerned Police Station	Dhambola
3.	District	Dungarpur
4.	Offences alleged in the FIR	189 (2), 115 (2), 126 (2), 118 of BNS
5.	Offences added, if any	4/25 of Arms Act.
6.	Date of passing of impugned order	29.04.2026

2. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant submits that the complainant and the applicant are neighbours and, owing to a prevailing dispute between them, an incident of quarrel took place

during which the complainant sustained three injuries. Out of the said injuries, two were simple in nature.

3. It is further contended that the offences alleged are triable by Magistrate and the applicants have no criminal antecedents. Furthermore, the applicant has been in judicial custody since 22.04.2026.

4. Learned counsel also submits that during the period interregnum, the parties have entered into a compromise.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the complainant, while accepting the factum of compromise, has furnished a copy of the said compromise before this Court which is taken on record.

4. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Upon consideration of rival submissions, it is found that the allegations levelled against the applicant are triable by Magistrate; applicant is behind the bars since 22.04.2026; that no recovery has been made from the applicant; that the applicant has no criminal antecedents and the trial is likely to take a long time, this Court is of considered opinion that the continuation of the incarceration of the applicant is not warranted. Hence, without commenting upon the merits or demerits of the case, it is deemed suitable to grant benefit of bail to the accused-applicant in present matter at this stage.

7. Accordingly, instant bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. allowed and it is ordered that the accused-applicant -

Govind S/o Laxman shall be enlarged on bail; provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for his appearance before the Court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so till the completion of trial.

8. It is made clear that in the event, the applicant-accused is found to be involved in any offence of a similar nature in future or is found to have misused the liberty granted by this Court, it shall be open for learned Public Prosecutor to move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail, which shall be considered in accordance with law.

(SANJEET PUROHIT) VJ